



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_47436
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Development versus Social Development: with special reference to Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In this paper authors have investigated / examined as to how healthy the social welfare system in Sri Lanka has functioned to date as a development strategy. After the independence from British in 1948, political power in Sri Lanka has basically shifted between two major political parties. Even though they have different perspectives about the political vision, in office both have operated welfare programs as a development strategy. It was concluded that the fact of Sri Lanka is neither following purely welfare policies nor even growth oriented policies supported by welfare programs. It can be considered a substitute for more forth right institutional measures for overcoming poverty and inequality and development of a country.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The study is interesting and manuscript is almost structured properly. Following amendments are needed- 1. Page 8: CONCLUSION should be re-written points wise. 	Thanks for the comments. Followed the comments and revised accordingly.
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is recommended for publication after incorporating above suggestion / comments. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	