



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_45753
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISM OF BACTERIA GROWTH ON SELECTED FRUITS (PAWPAW, ORANGE, MANGO, PEAR, CASHEW, BANANA)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **lack of Novelty**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer s comment	Author s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This article is very basic and needs some corrections to improve.</p> <p>Lines 7-23: Abstract must be change because all text is in italic. It is important italic to highlight microorganism s names, e.g. <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp. The authors need to correct and highlight microorganism s names</p> <p>Line 27: Remove the space between the words important and component". I suggest remove the space between the paragraphs too. The author should justify the text because it is aligned left.</p> <p>The introduction was made using only 1 reference. It would be better to add other citations. I really think that is missing citations at the introduction.</p> <p>Lines 56-58: It needs English review because some words is wrong. E.g.: mac conkey >> MacConkey agar (because it is a name). MSA and EMb is not correct, it name is Mannitol salt agar Other words: ehanol (it is wrong and repeted), menthylated spirit beaker (maybe methylated spirit breaker) and aluminium (aluminium).</p> <p>Line 60: How many samples were analyzed in total? Huw many samples of each fruit?</p> <p>Lines 66 and 68: Remove spaces between words</p> <p>Line 82: correct wrong word acetine (acetone)</p> <p>Line 118: Correct the names staphylococcus spp, klebsiella spp, <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp, <i>Klebsiella</i> spp</p> <p>Line 122: Plebsiella ?</p> <p>Line 149: What were analysed? Fresh fruit (line 62) or fruit juice (line 149)? This conclusion is strange.</p> <p>REFERENCES: There is one big problem here because several references were not cited in the text and several citations were not showed in the references.</p> <p>This article is very basic and needs several corrections to improve such as English review, citations and references, number of samples analysed...</p>	Noted
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer s comment	Author s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>