



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_45753
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISM OF BACTERIA GROWTH ON SELECTED FRUITS (PAWPAW, ORANGE, MANGO, PEAR, CASHEW, BANANA)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **lack of Novelty**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript entitled "COMPARISM OF BACTERIA GROWTH ON SELECTED FRUITS (PAWPAW, ORANGE, MANGO, PEAR, CASHEW, BANANA)" reports the isolated bacteria in fruits collected Nigeria.</p> <p>The article is written within the Journal required style but it is not suitable for publication in its present form. It needs major revision to be publish.</p> <p>The introduction part is quite explicatory but should summarize the objectives of the study. The material and methods part is quite well but should be enlarged in order to be explicatory. The results and discussion sections are quite explicatory.</p>	Noted
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change the title of the manuscript as Characterization of Bacteria collected to some fruits in Esa-Oke, Negeria 2. Add the aim of the study in the abstract and introduction section 3. Remove the materials section in methodology section. Materials section is suitable for thesis but not for an article. 4. Explain and refer the swabbing procedure. 5. It is not clear how many fruits were collected and how much swabs were used. Explain these topics. 6. Explain and refer properly the bacterial isolation and characterisation procedures. 7. Refer the procedure of Catalase test. 8. It is unnecessary to give two same graphs using with different shape. Combine the table 2 and the graphs giving only one graph indicating with the percentages. 	Noted
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	