1	<u>Original Research Article</u>
2	
3	Assessing the Nature of Risk Management
4	Implementation in Manufacturing Small Medium
5	Enterprises in Nigeria
6	
7	Abstract
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The survival of manufacturing small and medium enterprises depends on its capability to anticipate and prepare for setbacks, challenges and risks, rather than waiting for it to happen. Thus the purpose of this study was to investigate the nature of risk management implementation in manufacturing small and medium enterprises in Nigeria. A survey methodology was applied during this investigation. And the results revealed that as the owner/manager entrepreneurial experience grows, risk management experience is gained. Thereby leading to a better risk perception, where a more sophisticated risk management approach is implemented that ensures its survival during political or economic crises.
15	Keywords: Risk, Risk Management, Risk Perception, Manufacturing Small and medium enterprises
16	1.0 Introduction
17 18 19 20 21 22	There is risk in all activity that we take part in, it is found everywhere and spreads through every issues of life (Aureli & Salvatori, 2013). In the global business environment, unforeseen situations create severe loss exposures (Chiara & Venturini, 2013). In a typical small and medium enterprise environment, where access to capital is limited a sudden change of events could likely lead to operational activities being interrupted, financial loss and even bankruptcy (Duong, 2009). It is therefore important to have in place effective measures to counter such events.
23 24 25 26 27 28	The practice of risk management in small and medium enterprises (hereinafter SMEs), especially small firms is usually determined by the attitude to risk and the beliefs of the owners (Henschel, 2008). There is an informal approach to the implementation of risk management procedures, decisions are made in relation to the status of their business as a unit while ignoring techniques to manage risk (Jayathilake, 2012). These decisions are linked irrevocably as the strategic decision made by owner managers reflecting the management of risk they face (John Thompson, et al., 2014).
29 30	Despite having performed some form of risk identification and evaluation, SMEs owners may simply ignore a particular risk (Sparrow, 1999) (Sparrow & Bentley, 2000).
31 32 33 34	According to (Turpin, 2002), four out of ten SMEs have no official risk management strategy and this is due to the problem in communication and delegation of risk management competencies to employees. Despite the fact that business that fully adapt and implement a risk management strategy are more likely to survive and grow.
35 36 37 38 39 40	Most empirical research and literature has been focused on larger firms and little is known about risk management applications within SMEs (Duong, 2009). But according to (Henschel, 2008), the little research done summarized that risk management in SMEs is amorphous, complicated and solely in the hands of the owner who may or may not implement risk management procedures depending on their risk perception, therefore the purpose of this study is to investigate the nature of risk management implementation in manufacturing small and medium enterprises in Nigeria.

## 42 2.0 Methodology

43 Information from the primary data source was collected using a survey questionnaire, which 44 according to (Leedy, 1997) and (Douglas C. Montegomery & Runger, 2007), is a common instrument for obtaining data beyond the physical reach of the researcher. A survey offers a reliable and cost 45 effective solution of obtaining data related to the current state of risk management practice by SMEs. 46 47 The target population is represented by owner/mangers of manufacturing SMEs. Information about 48 the target population was obtained from the Small and Medium Enterprise Development Agency of Nigeria, with a sample size of sixty eight participants responding out of 80 participants from various 49 50 enterprises

51

65

### 52 2.1 Data Analysis and Evaluation

53 Data obtained from respondents were coded according to a predetermined coding scheme and entered 54 into the Microsoft excel. This coded data was then imported into statistical package for social science 55 (spss), software program for statistical analysis.

56 The following inferential statistics was performed on the data:

Factor analysis: used to analyze interrelationship between a numbers of variables and to explain these variables in terms of their common underlying factors (See Appendix A). This is a useful tool as it helps in identifying underlying factors, variable relationships and screening of variables. It was chosen as a statistical approach to analyze and compare various variables ard their common aspect. It is calculated using the following model equation below:

62  $Yn = \alpha n1F1 + \alpha n2F2 + \alpha n3F3 + \dots \alpha nxFx$ 63 Where Y = variable with known data

64  $\alpha = A \text{ constant}$ 

F = Function of some unknown variable

66 Evaluations are made based on results arranged in patterns of relationships where a number of 67 variables are related to the same function.

68 Anova (Analysis of Variance): used to determine if there is a significant difference between two or 69 more means (See Appendix B). This statistical approach was chosen to determine whether a variable 70 has a significant different means within a subset of scales identified by a second variable. It is 71 calculated using the following equations:

72	$F = \frac{MST}{MSF} \qquad (1)$
73	Where $F = ANOVAs$ coefficient
74	MST = Mean sum of squares
75	MSE = Mean sum of error
76	Where MST = $\frac{SST}{P-1}$ (2)
77	$SST = \sum_{n} (x - X) 2$ (2.1)
78	Where $SST = Sum \text{ of squares}$
79	P = Total number of population, n = Total number of samples
80	And $MSE = \frac{SSE}{N-P}$ (3)
81	$SSE = \sum (n-1)S^2 \dots (3.1)$
82	Where SSE = Sum of squares
83	S = Standard deviation
84	N = Total number of observations
85	By evaluating the statistical significance, null hypothesis is rejected if there is no difference
86	between means, thus accepting the alternative hypothesis.

## 87 **3.0 Results**

88 The aim of factor analysis is to investigate whether a number of variables of interest are linearly 89 related to a smaller number of unobservable factors. It also shows the underlying constructs of the 90 survey questionnaire.

An exploratory factor analysis was carried out to determine the factor structure responsible for co variation in the data analysis.

In order to determine the appropriate number of factors to extract, the Kaiser criterion (eigenvalue >1)
and the "elbow" criterion were applied using the scree plot as shown in figure two



95

96 Figure 1: Scree plot

97 Results including the rotated component matrix are shown in table 1 and appendix A. the principal
98 factorial analysis was used, followed by a verimax (orthogonal) rotation. The scree plot suggested
99 eleven meaningful factors also confirmed with a parallel analysis (Monte Carlo eigenvalue
100 simulation).

101 A variable is said to load on a given factor if the factor load is 0.40 or greater, and less than 0.40 on 102 other factors. Using this criterion, a total of twenty seven variables loaded on various given factors.

Five variables were found to load on the first factor and were subsequently labelled "Impact of risk on SME operations". One variable loaded on the second factor was labelled "SME business activity". Three variables loaded on the third factor was subsequently labelled "SME business environment". One variable loaded on the fourth factor was labelled "Managerial experience in current firm". Two variables loaded on the fifth factor were subsequently labelled "Entrepreneurial experience and risk perception in small business management". Four variables loaded on the sixth factor were labelled "Importance of implementing risk management procedures".

110 Two variables loaded on the seventh factor were labelled "Risk associated with company structure".
111 Four variables loaded on the eighth factor was subsequently labelled "Risk barriers to
112 projects/products and investments". One variable loaded on the ninth factor was labelled "Company
113 structure". Two variables loaded on the tenth factor were labelled "Risk management knowledge and
114 decision making". Two variables loaded on the eleventh factor were subsequently labelled
115 "Governance and legislative framework barriers".

Item	Factors	5									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Q30_5	0.64										
Q30_6	0.58										
Q30_8	0.72										
Q30_9	0.51										
Q30_10	0.55										
Q22_1		1.62									
Q23_3			0.73								
Q23_5			0.58								
Q24_2			0.53								
Q16				0.58							
Q17					0.52						
Q26					1.26						
Q30_3						0.71					
Q21_3						0.40					
Q21_2						0.48					
Q21_4						0.63					
Q18							0.43				
Q13							0.91				
Q22_2								0.52			
Q23_4								0.41			
Q29								0.49			
Q30_1								0.48			
Q12									0.96		
Q27										0.65	
Q28										0.73	
Q21_6											0.52
Q21_7											0.57

## 117 Table 1: Factor analysis: Verimax rotation, Loadings > 0.4 shown

118

One way ANOVA was used for to analyse the factors that owner/manager entrepreneurial experience
 affects perception to business risk. Question seventeen was identified as the independent variable and
 question twenty six as the dependent variable.

From the analysis, it was observed that the significance level is 0.07 which is below 0.05, therefore there is a statistically significant difference in perception to business risk as a result of entrepreneurial experience gained. This is further supported by literatures cited during literature review [4] [1]

### 125 4.0 Discussion

As identified from the results, the process for the implementation of risk management is the responsibility of the owner of the owner/ manager. Resulting into risk management not being formal and widely implemented. The final decisions on identified risk are assessed by the owner manager, who strongly depends on entrepreneurial experience accrued over the years. Should the owner/manager be absent or a vacuum for a long period of time, it will present a serious issue since risk management is not widely implemented.

In-cooperated companies have in place, procedures and plans for risk identification and risk
 management implementation, this is as a result of the need to fulfil legal requirements and
 government regulations.

With regards to company size, through the number of employees, the increase in the number of employees decreases the amount of responsibility for risk identification and management from the owner/manager. Units are created with the sole purpose of identifying and managing risk, with employees trained to gain more knowledge about risk management procedures.

A genuine risk management plan is not possible without an appropriate strategy. The identification and assessment of risk and the understanding of the dynamic business environment is the key to operating success. This helps SMEs in the assessment of risk and implementing methodologies to cushion the impact of risk on their business. Such approach will lead to a simplified risk management through an extended responsibility among other employees.

## 144 5.0 Conclusion

The interpretation of the analyzed data offered the validity in relation to the main purpose of this study. From the findings, the following can be drawn from the survey questionnaire research:

Company structure is plays an essential role in the formation, implementation and management of
 risk. With sophisticated legal forms comes sophisticated risk management approach, thus
 responsibilities are delegated to more experienced personnel.

Various SMEs have a structured program and plans in place to combat the impact of risk in their daily
 business activities. This enables them to identify critical activities prone to risk thus ensuring the
 survival of the business during difficult times.

The One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) provided empirical support that as the owner/manager entrepreneurial experience grows, risk management experience is gained. Thereby leading to a better risk perception, thus leading to a more sophisticated risk management approach that ensures company survival during political or economic crises.

The survey also revealed that manufacturing SMEs in Nigeria do not have a formal risk management strategy, this is due to the attitude to risk and the beliefs of the owner/managers. This informal approach becomes problematic, when there is a project, product or investment decisions involved.

Economic related factors such as labour uncertainty and union strikes, electricity outages, foreign
 exchange fluctuation currently experienced, the current hike in raw material prices etc plays an
 important influence on risk management decisions making and long term planning by SMEs.

163 The attitude and beliefs of owner/managers plays an important role on how risk are handled therefore 164 with proper training and development the current planning system of SMEs will be enhanced with the

165 owner/manager's knowledge and perception of risk and risk management activities.

## 166 **REFERENCES**

167 Aureli, S. & Salvatori, F., 2013. *The Current State of Risk Management in Italian Small and Medium*-

- 168 Sized Enterprises. Bucharest, Selected Works.
- Chiara, V. & Venturini, K., 2013. Managing Risks in SMEs: A Literature Review and Research Agenda.
   *Journal of Technology Management and Innovation*, 8(3), pp. 186-197.
- 171 Douglas C. Montegomery & Runger, G. C., 2007. Applied Statistics and Probability for Engineers. 4th
- ed. Arizona: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- 173 Duong, L., 2009. Effective Risk Management Strategies for Small-Medium Enterprises and Micro
- 174 Company A Case Study for Viope Solutions Ltd., helsinki: s.n.

- 175 Henschel, T., 2008. Risk Management Practices of SMEs. Evaluating and Implementing Effective Risk 176 Management Systems, Berlin: Erich Schmidt.
- 177 Jayathilake, P. M. B., 2012. Risk Management Practices In Small and Medium Enterprises: Evidence
- 178 from Sri Lanka. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 2(7), pp. 227-234.
- 179 John Thompson, Jonathan M. Scott & Martin, F., 2014. Strategic Management: Awareness and
- 180 Change. 7th ed. United Kingdom: Cengage Learning.
- 181 Leedy, P., 1997. Practical research - planning and design.. 6th ed. New Jersey: Prentice - Hall..
- 182 Sparrow, J., 1999. Using Qualitative Research to Establish SME Support Needs.. Qualitative Market 183 Research, 2(2), pp. 121-134.
- 184 Sparrow, J. & Bentley, P., 2000. Decision Tendencies of Entrepreneurs and Small Business Risk
- 185 Management Practices. Risk Management. An International Journal, 2(1), pp. 17-26.
- 186 Turpin, M., 2002. Risk Management in Europe 2002. An Investigation of Medium-Sized Enterprises,
- 187 London: Marsh & McLennan Companies.
- 188
- 189

#### 190 Appendix A

```
FACTOR
```

```
191
192
193
                     /VARIABLES= Q9 Q10 Q11 Q12 Q13 Q14 Q15 Q16 Q17 Q18 Q19 Q20 Q21 1 Q21 2 Q21 3 Q21 4 Q21 5 Q21 6 Q21 7
         Q22_1 Q22_2 Q22_3 Q23_1 Q23_2 Q23_3 Q23_4 Q23_5 Q24_1 Q24_2 Q24_3 Q25 Q26 Q27 Q28 Q29 Q30_1 Q30_2 Q30_3 Q30_4
194
195
196
         Q30_5 Q30_6 Q30_7 Q30_8 Q30_9 Q30_10 Q30_11
                     /CRITERIA = MINEIGEN (1) ITERATE (25)
                     /EXTRACTION =PAF
197
                     /METHOD = COVARIANCE
198
199
                     /PLOT = EIGEN
                     /PRINT = INITIAL EXTRACTION ROTATION
                  /CRITERIA = ITERATE (25)
/ROTATION = VARIMAX.
200
201
```

Rotated Factor Matrix

					Fe	actor					_
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
What legal form is your company?	.01	.02	.16	.06	.23	.00	- .13	.09	.12	.22	.01
Is the general manager one of the owners?	01	.02	.10	.00	.01	02	- .07	.03	- .19	.28	.01
Is the general manager a majority shareholder?	01	01	.00	.02	.07	05	- .11	04	.07	.39	.08
What is the percentage of shares held by the general manager?	.01	.00	.28	.15	.07	05	.07	05	.28	.95	.16
How many shareholders does the company have?	.00	03	.32	14	.07	03	.14	11	.93	.16	.23

# UNDER PEER REVIEW

Is the company family ow	ned and managed?	04	.03	.17	.04	.02	.03	- .27	.05	.18	- .25	- .19
Would you consider yourself to b	e an entrepreneur?	.03	.00	.46	14	.14	08	- .14	.03	.09	.01	.16
What is the number of years as owner/manag	er of current firm?	.08	03	.43	.12	.20	.01	.15	.13	- .04	.25	.15
What is the number of years of entrepreneurial experience in small busi	ness management?	.11	.03	.56	.08	.12	12	.00	11	.15	.13	.02
What is the age of the test of tes	of owner/manager?	.06	01	.18	.24	.01	06	- .11	.06	.45	.18	.19
Do you believe that your own judgment based on your experience plays a you.	n important role in r decision-making?	03	.03	.10	.39	.15	04	- .11	.00	- .09	- .01	- .08
Would you consider yourself to be a risk	-taker in business?	06	.02	.02	.14	.11	.01	- .09	.20	- .13	- .11	.04
How would you rate these risks in your business? (External risks, wh organization such as changes in the environment in	ich may affect your which you operate)	02	.00	.10	04	.20	1.17	.16	09	- .14	.12	.23
How would you rate these risks in your business? (Setting organizat ensuring you set the right ones a	ional objective and nd then meet them)	04	.06	.21	.17	.00	04	.45	.20	- .15	.18	.07
How would you rate these risks in your business? (Operational risks, w services you deliver or the activ	hich arise from the ities you carry out)	03	02	.00	21	.30	05	.42	.18	.10	.05	.03
How would you rate these risks in your business? (Financial risk facing terms of internal systems pla	the organization in nning, funding etc)	.01	.02	.03	.04	- .11	.04	.57	.06	- .07	.16	.15
How would you rate these risks in your business? (Risk associated wi management an	th the employment, d retention of staff)	02	.03	.28	02	.05	.04	- .17	16	.11	.02	.03
How would you rate these risks in your business? (Risk associa framework within which your org	ted with legislative anization operates)	.02	.10	.10	.18	.43	.02	.02	24	- .18	- .24	.02
How would you rate these risks in your business? (Governance- review) are part of the management of	ing the risks, which of the organization)	01	2.64	.17	.05	.16	.00	.14	08	- .08	.08	- .04
My business tends to be involved in business/projects/products that a normal/exp	re: Low risk with a ected rate of return	.04	01	.18	1.35	.03	08	.31	11	.04	.27	.01
My business tends to be involved in business/projects/products that are: sometimes higher than exp	Medium risk with a ected rate of return	.02	.00	- .08	.12	.05	.06	.04	.03	.13	.03	.52
My business tends to be involved in business/projects/products that an	e: High risk with a high rate of return	.00	.01	.11	09	.32	06	.08	03	- .07	.10	.28
The business environment in which my business operates is: Safe wit firm's surv	h little threat to my ival and well being	01	.01	.16	38	.21	06	- .04	06	.01	.00	.10
The business environment in which my business operates is: Mod achieving business goals may som	erately risky where netimes be affected	03	01	- .11	.14	.02	.08	- .08	.18	.04	.09	- .02
The business environment in which my business operates is: High risk wh can t	eere one false move result in severe loss	.01	.02	.04	.00	.64	02	.15	01	.02	.03	- .13
The business environment in which my business operates is: Ful mark	l of investment and eting opportunities	06	.04	.05	21	.04	.02	.02	.09	- .06	.06	.35
The business environment in which my business operates is: Stressful,	hostile and hard to survive in	.03	.04	.13	.09	.58	.10	.06	08	- .16	.11	- .14

# UNDER PEER REVIEW

My business: Can control and manage the business environment to its advantage	.01	.02	.08	12	- .29	05	.07	05	- .05	.06	.03
My business: Has little or no control over business environment in which it operates	01	.02	- .06	.07	.51	.02	- .11	.01	.03	.02	.14
My business: Has some control over the business environment	03	01	.06	09	- .16	05	- .06	.02	.24	.04	.09
Do you use have a FORMAL risk management strategy and/or processes and procedures (Risk Identification, Risk Assessment, Risk Mitigation, Risk Monitoring with regard to e.g. production, supply chain, finance, safety etc) in your company?	.00	02	.04	.02	- .09	.02	.04	.04	.02	.19	.15
How would you PRIMARILY define risk in your business environment?	3.72	01	.32	03	.08	04	.02	10	.05	.17	.03
Do you believe that risk in the business is well managed?	.03	02	.07	05	- .17	02	.03	1.08	.09	.01	.03
Do you believe that you have the resources (e.g. information, knowledge, technology) you need to make good business decisions regarding risk?	.00	02	.02	25	.04	17	.10	.36	.08	.04	.11
Please indicate the impact the following risks have on your business.(Unable to forecast (due to demand variation and no feedback from customers))	.01	.01	- .14	.35	.31	.09	.25	11	- .06	- .19	.36
Please indicate the impact the following risks have on your business(Raw material price volatility)	.05	.03	.07	06	.02	.05	.24	11	.08	.06	.07
Please indicate the impact the following risks have on your business (Accounts not being settled)	06	01	.06	.06	- .01	.10	.68	05	.01	.03	- .13
Please indicate the impact the following risks have on your business (Defective parts (from suppliers))	.04	01	.21	05	.04	07	.28	09	.00	.05	.18
Please indicate the impact the following risks have on your business (Variability in finished goods produced)	.01	02	.52	02	.13	05	.28	15	- .27	.01	.14
Please indicate the impact the following risks have on your business (Variability in raw materials from suppliers)	01	.01	.57	32	.10	07	.12	.06	.26	- .11	.08
Please indicate the impact the following risks have on your business (Inventory control (too high or too low))	.01	01	.58	.11	- .04	.07	.03	.06	.12	.13	.00
Please indicate the impact the following risks have on your business (Manual processes (e.g. stocktaking))	.02	.03	.76	.06	- .11	.02	.24	23	.08	.05	.12
Please indicate the impact the following risks have on your business (Poor supplier service (late deliveries, quality))	.02	01	.39	33	.14	.18	.18	01	- .09	.01	.00
Please indicate the impact the following risks have on your business (Other natural disasters (Floods/Heavy Rains, Earthquakes, Volcanoes, and Snow etc))	02	.03	.49	09	.05	.01	.08	.07	- .06	.18	.08
Please indicate the impact the following risks have on your business (Out sourcing certain activities)	02	03	.09	10	.19	.04	.08	.01	- .16	.03	.19

## UNDER PEER REVIEW

## 206 Appendix B

207ONEWAY /VARIABLES= Q26 BY Q17208/STATISTICS=DESCRIPT

08 /STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVES HOMOGENEITY . Descriptives

#### 95% Confidence Interval for Mean Upper Bound Std. Std. Lower Minimum Maximum N Mean Deviation Error Bound How would you PRIMARILY define risk in your 0 - 4 years 1.50 .58 .29 .58 2.42 business environment? 5 - 10 years 2.50 1.29 .65 .45 4.55 11 - 15 years 2.14 .90 .34 1.31 2.97 15 years and 31 3.10 1.40 .25 2.58 3.61 above Total 2.76 1.35 .20 2.36 3.16 46

209

Test of Homogeneity of Variances

	Levene Statistic	dfl	df2	Sig.
How would you PRIMARILY define risk in your business environment?	3.13	3	42	.04

ANOVA

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
How would you PRIMARILY define risk in your business environment?	Between Groups	12.80	3	4.27	2.58	.07
	Within Groups	69.57	42	1.66		
	Total	82.37	45			