

1

2

PROXIMATE ANALYSIS OF SOME SELECTED

3

INFANT FORMULA SOLD IN MAKURDI

4

METROPOLIS

5

6

7 **Abstract**

8 Infant formula is a synthetic version of mother's milk and belongs to a class of food materials
9 known as dairy substitutes. This study was designed to evaluate the proximate composition in
10 some selected infant formula sold in Makurdi metropolis. Six (6) different brands of infant
11 formula samples were purchased from the major markets, Wadata market and North bank
12 market Makurdi Benue State, North Central Nigeria. The six different brands comprises of
13 three (3) infant milk formulae and three (3) infant cereal formulae. Samples on some Selected
14 Infant Formulae were analysed in the laboratory for proximate composition and the data were
15 subjected to Analysis of Variance to rank the significant differences in means. There were
16 significant different ($P < 0.05$) across the selected infant formulae sample for moisture, ash,
17 crude protein, crude fat, crude fibre and carbohydrates. The result shows that FRISOGOLD
18 significantly ($P < 0.05$) has the highest moisture content (11.60%) with cowbell having the
19 lowest (2.45%) while the ash content of cowbell was found to be the highest compared to
20 other formulae. In conclusion, the commercial baby food samples (MYBOY, NAN and
21 cowbell) have low moisture content which suggest an asset as this prolongs the shelf life and
22 also inhibits microbial activity on these products thereby preventing spoilage.

23

24

25 INTRODUCTION

26 Infant formula is a synthetic version of mother's milk and belongs to a class of food materials
27 known as dairy substitutes. Milk and its products are foodstuffs that have various forms of
28 usage in nature. It contains more than twenty different trace elements including copper, zinc,
29 manganese and iron which are cofactors in many enzymes and participates in many
30 physiological functions in mammals [10]. The overview on infant formula reported that
31 breast milk is the best food for infants, as it prevents Diarrhoea and other infant diseases. It
32 should be the sole source of food for the first six (6) months, and only when breast feeding is
33 not sufficient or if the mother is taking a drug that could harm the baby, should infant formula
34 be administered [12].

35 According to [13] infant formula has almost all the major nutrients as the diet that will
36 enhance the growth of the child and more so that these infant formulae are designed to
37 provide the required nutrients as recommended diet intake (RDI) of minerals for infants and
38 toddlers. Infant formula as food supplements has a part to play in the diets of infants that is
39 very important. In the sense that, they supply to the body minerals and vitamins which is
40 required in a larger quantity. Since they are primarily derived from animals or plants, they are
41 therefore mostly milk, soya or cereal-based. They almost have all the nutrient requirements
42 that are in breast milk, although it is difficult to produce a formula equal in all respects to
43 breast milk [17].

44 There are different brands of infant formulae manufactured and mostly used worldwide;
45 therefore the availability depends on the demand of the people. They include Milk based
46 formulae – Cowbell infant formula, SMA gold infant milk, NAN infant milk, My Boy infant
47 milk, Lactogen, Frisolac Gold, Nutriben etc and Cereal based formulae – Cerelac of different
48 ages like 6 months, 8 months, 12 months (Maize, milk & Rice), Friso Gold (wheat base and
49 rice base), Nutrend, Thrive (pediacain), Nutriben (8 cereals and 4 fruits).

50 The World Health Organization and Food Agriculture Organization have issued some
51 guidelines to produce infant formula commercially, thereby controlling its production.
52 However, reports have shown that various nutritional inadequacies have been cited in some
53 infant formulae. But when infant formula is formulated in accordance with applicable Codex
54 Alimentarius standards, it is nutritionally adequate and safe to be a complementary food and
55 a suitable breast milk substitute. [15] reported that baby food composition varied according to
56 region and economic status. More than 70% of lactating mothers do not breast feed their
57 infants exclusively for the recommended periods of six months [15]. As a result infants are
58 subjected to intake of formula milk. The US Foods and Drugs Administration in 2002 stated
59 that infant formula milk was not a sterile product [8]. Therefore, this study was designed to
60 evaluate the composition in some selected infant formula sold in Makurdi metropolis.

61

62 **Materials and Methods**

63 The study area is Otukpo town in Otukpo Local Government Area and located along latitude
64 $7^{\circ}13'57''\text{N}$ and longitude $8^{\circ}05'26''\text{E}$ of Benue State. It is bounded to the North by Apa Local
65 Government, the East by Obi Local Government, and the South by Ohimini Local
66 Government, all of Benue State. The town is strategically located at the intersection of the
67 eastern railway line and the only trunks “A” road linking the Northern parts of the country to
68 the Eastern parts. The town has double maxima rainfall: the major one is around April – July
69 and the minor between September – October. The dry dusty harmattan wind blow from the
70 North between November and December. Depending upon a particular year, there are
71 variations of rain output, thus from no rains, isolated rains or fair to heavy rains. The area is
72 underlain by the Agwu shales, a unit of cretaceous sedimentary formation of the Benue
73 valley. The sedimentary rock consists of lateritic soils, clays and shales with occasional thin
74 lenses of sand stone. Besides, the area is endowed with thick forests. The cultural life of the

75 people in Otukpo is linked to farming, trading, small scale soap-making and palm oil
76 industries.

77 **Sample collection**

78 Six (6) different brands of infant formula samples were purchased from the major markets,
79 Wadata market and North bank market Makurdi Benue State, North Central Nigeria. The six
80 different brands comprises of three (3) infant milk formulae and three (3) infant cereal
81 formulae.

82 The manufacturer's date, expiring dates and NAFDAC numbers are subsumed in Table 1

83

Table 1: manufacturer's date, expiring dates and NAFDAC numbers of different milk brand

Milk Brand	manufacturer's date, expiring dates	NAFDAC numbers
Nan	02 2014, 29 02 2016	01 – 0096
My boy	04 2014, 04 2017	01 – 0901
Cowbell	05 11 2014, 05 11 2016	01 – 0558
Cerelac	11 2014, 05 2016	01 – 8379
Nutrend	03 2014, 09	01 – 0672
Friso gold	03 09 2014 23 08 2016	01 – 7770

84 [4]

85 **Proximate Analysis of the Selected Infant Formulae**

86 **Determination of Moisture Content**

87 The method described by [2] was adopted. A clean crucible was dried to a constant weight in
88 an air oven at 110⁰C, cooled in a desiccator and weighed (W_1). Two gram (2g) of the sample
89 was accurately weighed into the previously labeled crucible and reweighed (W_2). The

90 crucible containing the sample was dried in an oven to a constant weight (W_3). The
91 percentage moisture content was calculated thus:

$$92 \quad \% \text{ Moisture Content} = \frac{W_2 - W_3}{W_2 - W_1} \times 100 \text{-----}(1)$$

93 **Determination of Ash Content**

94 The [1] method was used. The porcelain crucible was dried in an oven at 100°C for 10
95 minutes, cooled in a desiccators and weighed (W_1). Two grams of the sample was placed into
96 the previously weighed porcelain crucible and reweighed (W_2). It was first ignited and then
97 transferred into a furnace, which was then set at 550°C . The sample was left in the furnace
98 for eight hours to ensure proper ashing. The crucible containing the ash was then removed
99 cooled in the desiccators and weighed (W_3). The percentage ash content was calculated as:

$$100 \quad \% \text{ Ash content} = \frac{W_3 - W_1}{W_2 - W_1} \times 100 \text{-----}(2)$$

101 **Determination of crude lipid content**

102 The lipid content was determined as in the [1]. A clean, dried 500ml round bottom flasks,
103 containing few anti-bumping granules was weighed (w_1) and 300ml of petroleum ether (40-
104 60°C) for the extraction was poured into the flask with soxhlet extraction unit. The extractor
105 thimble containing twenty grams was fixed into the soxhlet extraction unit. The round bottom
106 flask and a condenser were connected to the soxhlet extractor, and cold water circulation was
107 put on. The heating mantle was switched on and the heating rate adjusted until the solvent
108 was refluxing at steady rate. Extraction was carried out for six hours. The solvent was
109 recovered and the oil was dried in the oven at 70°C for one hour. The round bottom flask and
110 the oil were cooled and weighed (W_2). The lipid content was calculated thus:

$$111 \quad \% \text{ crude lipid content} = \frac{w_2 - w_1}{w_t \text{ of sample}} \times 100 \text{-----}(3)$$

112 **Determination of crude fibre**

113 The method described by [1] was used. Two grams of the sample was weighed out into a
 114 round bottom flask, 100 cm³ of 0.25 M sulphuric acid solution was added and the mixture
 115 boiled under reflux for 30 minutes. The hot solution was quickly filtered under suction. The
 116 insoluble matter was washed several times with hot water until it was acid free. It was
 117 quantitatively transferred into the flask and 100 cm³ of 0.31M sodium hydroxide solution was
 118 added and the mixture boiled again under reflux for 30 minutes and quickly filtered under
 119 suction. The insoluble residue was washed with boiling water until it was base free. It was
 120 dried to constant weight in the oven at 100⁰ C, cooled in desiccators and weighed (C₁).

121 The weighed sample (C₁) was then incinerated in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 2 hours,
 122 cooled in the desiccators and reweighed (C₂).

123 **Calculation**

124 The loss in weight on incineration = C₁ – C₂. The calculation was carried out thus:

$$125 \quad \% \text{ crude fibre} = \frac{W_3 - W_1}{W_2 - W_1} \times 100 \quad \text{-----}(4)$$

126 **Determination of Nitrogen and Crude Protein**

127 **Protein Digestion:**

128 The method of [2] was used. Exactly 1.5 grams of defatted sample in an ashless filter paper
 129 was dropped into 300 cm³ kjeldahl flask. 25 cm³ conc. H₂SO₄ and 3 g of digesting mixed
 130 catalyst (weighed separately into an ashless filter paper) was dropped into the kjeldahl flask.
 131 The flask was then transferred to the kjeldahl digestion apparatus. The sample was digested

132 until a clear green color was obtained. The digest was cooled and diluted with 100 cm³ with
133 distilled water.

134 Distillation of the Digest:

135 20 cm³ of the diluted digest was measured into a 500 cm³ kjeldahl flask containing anti-
136 bumping chips and 40 cm³ of 40 % NaOH was slowly added by the side of the flask. A 250
137 cm³ conical flask containing a mixture of 50 cm³ of 2 % boric acid and 4 drops of mixed
138 indicator was used to trap the ammonia liberated. The conical flask and the kjeldahl flask
139 were then placed on the kjeldahl distillation apparatus, with the tubes inserted into the conical
140 flask and the kjeldahl flask. The flask was heated to distill out NH₃ evolved. The distillate
141 was collected into the boric acid solution. From the point when the boric acid turned green,
142 10 minutes were allowed for complete distillation of the ammonia present in the digest. The
143 distillate was then titrated with 0.1M HCl.

144 Calculation:

$$145 \quad \% N = \frac{14 \times M \times V_t \times T_v}{\text{Weight of test sample}(mg) \times V_a} \times 100$$

$$146 \quad \% \text{ Crude protein} = \% \text{ Nitrogen (N}_2) \times 6.38 \quad [1]$$

147 Where M = Actual molarity of acid

148 T_v = Titre value of HCl used

149 V_t = Total Volume of diluted digest

150 V_a = Aliquot volume distilled

151 **Determination of Carbohydrate**

152 The total carbohydrate content was determined by difference. The sum of the percentage
153 moisture, ash, crude lipid, crude protein and crude fibre was subtracted from 100 [1].

154 % Total carbohydrate = 100 – (% moisture + % ash content + crude fat +
155 % crude protein + % crude fibre).

156 **Statistical analyses**

157 The results obtained in this study were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using
158 Statistical Package for Social Science. For multiple comparisons of means across different
159 infant formulae brand, ANOVA was used. In all the case p-values less than 95% confidence
160 level ($\alpha = 0.05$) were considered significantly different using Tukey test.

161 **RESULTS**

162 **Proximate Analysis of Selected Infant Formulae**

163 Samples on some Selected Infant Formulae were analysed in the laboratory for proximate
164 composition and the data were subjected to Analysis of Variance to rank the significant
165 differences in means. Result of the proximate composition of selected infant food products is
166 presented in Table 2. There were significant different ($P < 0.05$) across the selected infant
167 formulae sample for moisture, ash, crude protein, crude fat, crude fibre and carbohydrates.
168 The result shows that FRISOGOLD significantly ($P < 0.05$) has the highest moisture content
169 (11.60%) with cowbell having the lowest (2.45%) while the ash content of cowbell was
170 found to be the highest compared to other formulae. The protein content of My Boy and
171 cowbell recorded the significantly ($P < 0.05$) the highest (15.48%) and that of FRISOGOLD
172 (11.91%) was lowest. NAN has the highest crude fat content and CERELAC and
173 FRISOGOLD with the lowest (5.8%). The crude fibre of NUTREND was the highest with
174 (1.65%) and no amount of crude fiber was detected in NAN, MY BOY and COWBELL.
175 FRISOGOLD has the highest carbohydrate content of (70.34%) with Cowbell having the
176 lowest of (47.12%).

Table 2: Proximate Analysis of the Selected Infant Formulae.

SAMPLE	%Moisture Content	% Ash Content	% Crude Protein	% Crude Fat	% Crude Fibre	% Carbohydrates
NUTREN D	7.37±0.0 ^c	2.15±0.02 ^b	14.29±0.01 ^c	4.60±0.02 ^d	1.65±0.02 ^a	69.96±0.02 ^c
NAN	3.55±0.01 ^e	0.75±0.01 ^f	14.00±0.02 ^d	28.8±0.02 ^a	0.00±0.00 ^d	52.90±0.03 ^c
COWBEL L	2.47±0.02 ^f	7.05±0.01 ^a	15.48±0.01 ^a	27.9±0.01 ^b	0.00±0.00 ^d	47.13±0.01 ^f
CERELAC	8.16±0.07 ^b	1.95±0.02 ^c	14.89±0.02 ^b	4.50±0.03 ^d	0.40±0.01 ^c	70.13±0.02 ^b
MY BOY	4.90±0.14 ^d	1.30±0.03 ^d	15.48±0.01 ^a	23.2±0.02 ^c	0.00±0.00 ^d	55.24±0.02 ^d
FRISO	11.7±0.07 ^a	1.15±0.02 ^e	11.91±0.03 ^e	4.50±0.01 ^d	0.50±0.02 ^b	70.36±0.03 ^a
P value	0.001*	0.001*	0.001*	0.001*	0.001*	0.001*

178 ^{abc}Means with different superscripts on the same column are significantly different (P<0.05)

179

180 DISCUSSION

181 The difference among proximate analysis of the infant formulae brand can be attributed to the
 182 different sources of raw materials processed to manufacture the different brands [16].The
 183 highest value recorded by FRISOGOLD for moisture content was higher than those reported
 184 in literature for baby food samples 3.7- 4.9% [9], 3.75- 9.32% [6] and 3.0- 4.60% [20],
 185 respectively. The lowest moisture content of 2.45% was found in Cowbell. The moisture
 186 content values from FRISOGOLD, CERELAC and Nutrend are all within the standard value

187 of 5-10% recommended by the Standard Organization of Nigeria [14]. The low moisture of
188 MYBOY, NAN and cowbell could be of advantage since it has been noted that low moisture
189 content remains an asset to shelf life and preservation of food nutrients whereas a higher
190 moisture content could lead to spoilage through increase in microbial action [17]. The very
191 low moisture contents suggest that 'when properly packaged and stored even under ambient
192 conditions, these samples would have long shelf life. Samples NAN, CERELAC,
193 FRISOGOLD, NUTREND and MYBOY were all within the maximum standard value of
194 2.0% [14] except for samples cowbell which were higher than the standard range. In similar
195 studies, values of ash content ranged in millet/soybeans based baby foods from 1.70-2.30%
196 [6] and cereal based baby foods ranged from 2.06 to 2.60% [9]. These were slightly higher
197 than the values obtained in this studies with the exception of cowbell but not far from the
198 maximum recommended standard value [14]. The low ash content in this study might be
199 attributed to the effect of fortification and loss of organic matter during processing. The crude
200 protein values obtained was lower than the values of 15.9- 16.9% from other studies [6] but
201 fell within the range of 10.5- 15.0% [9] and 14.4-18.2% [20]. The crude protein values of this
202 work was within the range when compared to other works and also falls within the minimum
203 range of 14-17% recommended by [14]. Protein is important for babies in their growth, so
204 increase in the protein content of this food is needed to optimise nutritional values derived
205 from their intake. It is very important that a child gets enough protein in their daily diet. They
206 are the building blocks of body tissue and can also serve as a fuel source [5]. Protein can be
207 found in all cells of the body and is the major structural component of all cells in the body,
208 especially muscle. They are complex combinations of smaller chemical compounds called
209 amino acids which are used as precursors to nucleic acid, co-enzymes, hormones, immune
210 response, cellular repair, and other molecules essential for life. Additionally, protein is
211 needed to form blood cells. Protein is needed by everyone to maintain and repair the body,

212 and it is especially important for babies and young children because protein supports growth
213 and development. Protein is important for babies because walking requires protein to power
214 muscles, and brain cells need this nutrient to learn speech and language skills. Healthy 1- to
215 3-year-olds need 0.55 grams of protein per pound daily, which means the average child
216 should get 16 grams of protein each day [18, 19]. The low protein content observed for
217 FRISOGOLD calls for improvement on these foods by increasing the amount of protein or
218 use of protein supplements or pairing them with other suitable protein source. The crude fibre
219 content in the different brands of infant baby foods was less than 1.65%. These values are
220 lower to the values reported for soy/millet based baby foods 3.30- 5.0% [6]. However they do
221 not compare with other reported values for cereal based baby foods 9.29- 10.8% [9] which is
222 higher and exceeds the standard maximum value of 5% based on [14] recommendations. The
223 low fibre content in this study may be due to the fact that dehulled raw materials were used in
224 the formulation. Low fibre influences nutrient availability positively while high fibre lowers
225 plasma cholesterol levels [14]. NAN, Cowbell and My Boy were not detected, this is due to
226 milk dissolution properties and might not needed in the food of infants below six months.
227 The values for crude lipid content in the selected baby foods are ranged from 4.50% in
228 CERELAC and FRISOGOLD through 28.8% in NAN. The values reported for NUTREND,
229 CERELAC and COWBELL, however, do not compare to higher values of 7.0-9.0% [13] and
230 15.6% -17.7% [9] but lower than the values observed for CERELAC, FRISOGOLD and
231 NAN in this study. The recommended standard value for crude lipid fat content for
232 commercial baby foods is a maximum of 10.0% [14] and values obtained for NUTREND,
233 CERELAC and COWBELL from this work do not exceed the recommended standard value.
234 However an appropriate inclusion of essential fatty acids in infants and children's diet is
235 vital, because it does not only increase energy density and ensure proper neural development,
236 but also serves as a transport vehicle for fat soluble vitamins [4]. The carbohydrate content of

237 FRISOGOLD, NUTREND and CERELAC baby food samples evaluated in this work were
238 all above the recommended standard values of 60% minimum. However, these values are
239 higher than that of crude protein and also when compared to values from reported literature
240 67.5-68.75% [6]; 67.95-68.40% [9].The recommended standard value for carbohydrate
241 content is a minimum of 60% [14] and values from this work suggest that the samples of
242 FRISOGOLD, NUTREND and CERELAC baby foods evaluated contains a sufficient
243 amount of carbohydrate. Carbohydrates are important in infant and children's diet as it
244 provides energy. [4] recommended that foods fed to infants and children should be energy
245 dense ones. This, according to the recommendation is necessary because adequate energy
246 fuels child's metabolism, support growth, keeps their brain and nervous system working and
247 maintains overall health whereas low energy foods tend to limit total energy intake and the
248 utilization of other nutrients and functions as mentioned above [18].

249 **CONCLUSIONS**

250 The proximate composition and levels of trace metals in purposively six brands of infant milk
251 formula aged 0-6 months sold in Nigeria were determined using Atomic absorption
252 spectrophotometer techniques and they showed significant differences across different
253 brands. Also, the commercial baby food samples (MYBOY, NAN and cowbell) have low
254 moisture content which suggest an asset as this prolongs the shelf life and also inhibits
255 microbial activity on these products thereby preventing spoilage. Commercial baby food
256 good are good source of energy and other mineral elements but cannot be relied on as the sole
257 source of complete nutrient intake needed daily by its consumers since they were all low in
258 protein and fiber. These baby foods have to be paired with other protein of choice to get the
259 full nutrient value expected.

260

261 REFERENCES

- 262 1. AOAC (1990). Official Methods of Analysis. 15th Edn., Association of Official
263 Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C. USA, pp. 200-210.
- 264 2. AOAC (2006). Official method of analysis_(18th edition). _Association of Official
265 Analytical Chemist, Washington D.C. U.S.A.
- 266 3. FAO/WHO. (2011). Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants:
267 Prepared by the seventy-third meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on
268 Food Additives (JECFA). WHO Food Additives Series. 64: 381-497.
- 269 4. FAO/WHO.(1998). Preparation and Use of Food Based Dietary Guidelines.*Report*
270 *of Joint*
271 *FAO/WHO Consultation. WHO Technical Report series 880*, Geneva.
- 272 5. Food and Nutrition Board. (2005). Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy,
273 Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein, and Amino Acids
274 (Macronutrients). *The National Academic Press, Washington, D.C.*
- 275 6. Iombor ,T.T., Umoh, E.J. and Olakumi.E.(2009). Proximate Composition and
276 Organoleptic Properties of Complementary Food Formulated from Millet, Soy
277 beans.*Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 8, 1676-1679.*
- 278 7. Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. Tolerable or acceptable daily
279 intakes, other toxicological information and information on specifications. In:
280 Summary Report of the Seventy-Fourth Meeting of JECFA. 2011: 3-9.
281
- 282 8. Lanphear, B., Dietrich, K., Auinger, P. and Christopher, C. (2008). Cognitive deficits
283 associated with blood lead concentrations less than ten micrograms per deciliter in US
284 children and adolescents. *Public Health Reports 4*: 87-96.
285
- 286 9. Mariam, S. (2005). Nutritive Value of Three Potential Complementary Foods Based
287 on Cereals and Legumes. *African Journal of Food and Nutrition Series 5(2)*: 1-14.
- 288 10. Mohammed A. A.Gasmalla, khadir E. khadir, Abubakar Musa,Waleed Aboshora and
289 Wei Zhao. (2013). Evaluation of some physiochemical parameters of three
290 commercial milk products: *Pakistan Journal of food science. 23 (2)*:62-65.
- 291 11. Nwokolo E. 1996. Lima bean (*Phaseolus lunatus*). Legume and oilseeds In: Nutrition.
292 E Nwokolo and J Smart (Eds.). London: Chapman and Hall. p:145-155.
- 293 12. Ojo, R.J.,and Olabode, O. S(2013)., Analysis of Heavy Metals and Hydrocyanic Acid
294 in Selected Infant Formula in Abuja, Federal Capital Territory of Nigeria., *Scholars*
295 *Academic Journal of Biosciences.,1(6)*:318-325.
- 296 13. Oskarsson,A(1998)., Risk Assessment in Relation to Neonatal Metal Exposure,
297 *Anlyst, 123(1)*:19-23.
- 298 14. SON. (1988). Standard for Foods for Infants and Children: Infant Formula.
299 *Nigerian Industrial Standard, Nigeria.*
- 300 15. Sullivan J.I. (2008). Cognitive development: Breast milk benefit Vs infant formula
301 hazard. *Archives of General Psychiatry. 65 (12)*: 1458-1459.
- 302 16. Tai, S., Shao, F., Chia, Y. and Wen, I. (2013). Investigation of the nitrate and nitrite
303 content in milk and milk powder in Taiwan. *Journal of Food and Drugs Analysis 21*
304 *(1)*: 73-79.
305

- 306 17. Temple, V.J., Badamosi, E.J., Ladeji, O. and Solomon, M. (1996). Proximate
307 Chemical Composition of Three Locally Formulated Complementary Foods. *West*
308 *African Journal of Biological Science*, 5, 134 – 143.
- 309 18. W.H.O. (2008). Strengthening action to improve feeding of infants And young
310 children 6– 23 months of age in nutrition and child health Programmes. *Report of*
311 *Proceedings*, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 312 19. W.H.O. (2009). *Infant and young child feeding. Model chapter for textbooks for*
313 *medical*
314 *students and allied health professionals. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO Press, World*
315 *Health Organization.*
- 316 20. William, A., Masters, J. and Kuwornuand, D. S. (2011). Improving Child Nutrition
317 through Quality Certification of Infant Foods: Scoping Study for a Randomized Trial
318 in Ghana. pp. 15-16.