

Original Research Article

The Effect of Different Organic Nutrients on Some Quality Properties of Popcorn (*Zea mays L. everta*)

ABSTRACT

Aims: Study was to aimed find out effect of different organic nutrients on some quality properties of popcorn.

Study design: Trial was designed in complete randomized block design with tree replications. Ant-Cin-98 popcorn cultivar was used in the study. Each parcel comprised 4 lines. The planting was made into a depth of 5-6 cm along the lines 5 meters long with a row spacing of 70 cm and intra row of 20 cm.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted in Diyarbakır - Cermik conditions of Turkey between 2010 and 2011.

Methodology: The effect of conventional and fifteen different organic materials (torf, compost, cattle manure, chicken manure, horse manure, sheep manure, pigeon manure, vermicompost, seaweed + cattle manure, compost + humic acid, cattle manure + humic acid, chicken manure + humic acid, horse manure + humic acid, sheep manure + humic acid, torf + humic acid) to some quality parameters of popcorn were researched in the study.

Results: According to the investigated results, the highest and the lowest values were ranked between 19.98% (torf + humic acid) and 17.26% (vermicompost) for cob ratio, 138.65 g (seaweed + cattle manure) and 122.48 g (chicken manure) for 1000-kernel weight, 81.29 kg hl⁻¹ (horse manure + humic acid) and 75.62 kg hl⁻¹ (vermicompost) for test weight, 19.71 cm³ g⁻¹ (torf) and 17.17 cm³ g⁻¹ (sheep manure + humic acid), for popping volume 5.92% (torf) and 3.65% (horse manure + humic acid) for number of unpopped kernel.

Conclusion: Higher values obtained from organic nutrient sources than conversional application in all tested quality parameters. The implementation of organic fertilizers together with humic acid in popcorn produced better results in comparison to alone implementation of organic fertilizers. Also it was determined that using of natural enemies of *Trichogramma* spp against to corn borer can be possible without any chemicals.

Keywords: Organic nutrients, popcorn, popping volume, test weight

1. INTRODUCTION

The corn is also used in human nutrition directly and indirectly in addition to the use as industrial raw material and animal feed in the world. Although dent corn (*Zea mays L. indentata*) varieties comprise the vast majority of corns grown both in world and in Turkey, no statistics related to the cultivation area, manufacture and consumption amount of popcorn (*Zea mays L. everta*) in Turkey. It is reported that planting is made around the provinces of Adana, Canakkale, Adapazari, Antalya, Isparta and Burdur, in Aegean and Mediterranean Regions of Turkey [1]. Consumption of popcorn is increasing every passing day in Turkey.

23 The sub type of corn having grains popped when heated is popcorn. Popcorn is directly used
24 in human nutrition. It generates pressure inside the grain through expansion when the
25 humidity in the endosperm is heated because its grain is hard, its hull is thick and
26 impermeable. At the same time the starch in the endosperm transforms with the effect of
27 heat. The hull can't resist this pressure and bursts by splitting suddenly. The volume of the
28 grains burst expands and they are eaten by salting or adding oil. Its consumption rises also
29 in Turkey because of low cost and easy to prepare with popping machines, in pans or pots.
30 Popcorn is commonly consumed while watching cinema and soccer matches and television
31 during winter months. Additionally, it is preferred much by children [2].

32 Nowadays environmental pollution has reached a significant level as a result of the use of
33 synthetic and chemical inputs in excessive amounts due to the production increase within
34 conventional agriculture system. Organic fertilizers/matters, which are essential inputs of
35 organic agriculture systems that have become widespread in parallel with the interest of
36 people in organic products, are made available for producers under a great variety of names
37 and contents in the market. It is necessary to utilize these matters in various ways to prevent
38 environmental pollution caused by wastes, and to enhance organic matter level of our soil.

39 Different results have been obtained in studies conducted in different parts of the world
40 regarding the subject of the study. Anac and Okur [3] have reported that application of
41 Biofarm (certified organic fertilizer) and farm fertilizer as organic fertilizer (uncertified) to trial
42 soil has led to significant increase in dry weight, mineral content and efficiency of corn
43 compared to control. Yazici and Kaynak [4] have reported that seaweed increases yield and
44 quality in organic farming, regulate the growth of plants, increase resistance to pests and
45 diseases, improves the structure of the soil. Seker and Ersoy [5] have investigated the
46 effects of different doses of compost, cattle manure, chicken manure and leonardit on the
47 soil properties and the development of corn (*Zea mays* L.). They found as a result of the
48 research that type and dose of used organic fertilizer affects soil properties and the corn's
49 growth.

50 Shafiq et al. [6] have conducted a study to determine the effect of four organic (chicken
51 manure, farmyard manure, biofertilizer) and chemical fertilizer on efficiency and growth of
52 two maize varieties. The researchers have stated they have found parameters such as plant
53 height, seed number, 1000-kernel weight, grain yield and net profitability in the parcels
54 where chemical fertilizers were applied higher when compared to other parcels and this has
55 been followed by chicken manure applied parcels.

56 Selcuk and Tufenkci [7] have found that increasing humic acid application to corn has
57 provided significant increase in number of grains per cob, cob length, plant height, 1000-
58 kernel weight and the number of cobs. Cengiz et al. [8] have conducted a study to determine
59 the effect of synthetic and organic fertilizers on yield and quality of the corn plant. They have
60 reported that according to the results obtained, the effect of organic preparations and
61 organic fertilizers in the trials to yield and yield factors is at least as favorable commercial
62 fertilizers.

63 In this study, it was aimed determining the effect of some organic nutritional sources (torf,
64 compost, cattle manure, chicken manure, horse manure, sheep manure, pigeon manure,
65 vermicompost, seaweed + cattle manure, compost + humic acid, cattle manure + humic
66 acid, chicken manure, horse manure + humic acid, torf + humic acid) on some quality
67 properties of second crop popcorn.

68 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

69 **2.1. Material**

70 Experiment was conducted in Diyarbakır province Cermik district under second crop
 71 conditions. Ant-Cin-98 popcorn variety was used in the experiment. Organic nutrient sources
 72 were used in the study (Table 1). Amount of total pure nitrogen both conventional and
 73 organic growing were 17 kg da^{-1} based on the regulation, principles and applications of
 74 organic agriculture in Turkey [9]. According to nitrogen content of organic material, maximum
 75 pure nitrogen amount (17 kg da^{-1}) was calculated for organic applications (Table 1). For
 76 conventional applications total of 17 kg da^{-1} nitrogen, 8 kg da^{-1} phosphor and potassium (15-
 77 15-15 NPK as bottom fertilizer and urea as top fertilizer) were given as pure per decare.
 78 Nitrogen content of nutritional sources used in the study and the amount of fertilizer thrown
 79 per decare were given in Table 1.

80 **Table 1. The nitrogen content of organic nutrient sources and applied amount**

	Nutritional Sources	N content (%)	The amount of applied (kg da^{-1})
1	Conventional manure (urea)	46	36.96 kg da^{-1}
2	Torf	1.2	1416 kg da^{-1}
3	Compost	2.5	680 kg da^{-1}
4	Cattle manure	3.5	486 kg da^{-1}
5	Chicken manure	3.0	567 kg da^{-1}
6	Horse manure	2.0	850 kg da^{-1}
7	Sheep manure	2.0	850 kg da^{-1}
8	Pigeon manure	6.0	283 kg da^{-1}
9	Seaweed + Cattle manure	$2.0 + 3.5$	$51.5 \text{ kg da}^{-1} + 457 \text{ kg da}^{-1}$
10	Vermicompost	1.5	$1133 \text{ kg da}^{-1} + 140 \text{ g da}^{-1}$
11	Compost + Humic acid	2.5	$680 \text{ kg da}^{-1} + 140 \text{ g da}^{-1}$
12	Cattle manure + Humic acid	3.5	$486 \text{ kg da}^{-1} + 140 \text{ g da}^{-1}$
13	Chicken manure + Humic acid	3.0	$567 \text{ kg da}^{-1} + 140 \text{ g da}^{-1}$
14	Sheep manure + Humic acid	2.0	$850 \text{ kg da}^{-1} + 140 \text{ g da}^{-1}$
15	Torf + Humic acid	1.2	$1416 \text{ kg da}^{-1} + 140 \text{ g da}^{-1}$
16	Horse manure + Humic acid	2.0	$850 \text{ kg da}^{-1} + 140 \text{ g da}^{-1}$

81

82 **2.1.1. Climatic characteristics of the research area**

83 Climate values of 2010 and 2011 in which the research was conducted with long years were
 84 given in Table 2. The average highest temperature (32.7°C) was observed in July, the lowest
 85 temperature (12.0°C) in November between June-November months in 2010, and in 2011
 86 the average highest temperature (31.5°C) in July, the lowest temperature (6.6°C) in
 87 November in accordance with the data received from Diyarbakır Regional Directorate of
 88 Meteorology. The highest value (61.8%) in terms of relative humidity occurred in October
 89 2010, and the lowest value (22.3%) in August 2011. The water need of plants was met
 90 through irrigation during the growing period.

91 **2.1.2. Soil characteristics of the research area**

92 Total salt content was found to be 0.03%, organic matter content 1.19%, lime rate 9.8%,
 93 phosphor amount 2.75 kg da^{-1} , potassium amount 82.05 kg da^{-1} and soil pH 7.4 in the soil
 94 sample take from 0.30 cm soil depth in the place where trial was established in Diyarbakır
 95 province Cermik district.

96 **Table 2. Rainfall, temperature and relative humidity values for 2010, 2011 and long**
 97 **years in Diyarbakır province**

Months	Min. Temp. (°C)	Max. Temp. (°C)	Average Temp. (°C)	Rainfall (mm)	Relative humidity (%)	
June	2010	14.9	40.8	27.2	8.0	47.6
	2011	13.2	37.9	26.3	14.6	33.9
	Long years	16.9	33.7	26.3	7.2	36.0
July	2010	18.0	44.0	32.7	0.0	34.3
	2011	18.4	45.0	31.5	0.2	22.6
	Long years	21.7	38.5	31.2	0.7	27.0
August	2010	18.0	43.6	32.4	0.0	32.2
	2011	16.0	43.5	31.2	0.0	22.3
	Long years	21.0	38.1	30.3	0.3	27.0
September	2010	13.6	41.2	26.8	3.0	44.7
	2011	12.8	38.1	25.6	1.9	28.5
	Long years	16.0	33.1	24.8	2.6	31.0
October	2010	7.3	30.0	17.6	49.2	61.8
	2011	3.0	32.8	17.4	57.4	52.5
	Long years	10.1	25.3	17.2	30.8	48.0
November	2010	1.0	26.1	12.0	0.0	57.4
	2011	-4.7	19.9	6.6	104.0	61.1
	Long years	3.6	15.9	9.3	54.6	68.0

98 Resource: Anonymous [10].

99 2.2. Method

100 Before the starting of experiment, the trial area was planted with wheat in 2008 and 2009 for
 101 making the area suitable for organic farming in which the trial would be established, and
 102 wheat was cultivated and harvested without application of any chemical fertilizer and
 103 agricultural pesticide. Physical and chemical properties of the trial area were determined by
 104 taking soil sample from a depth of 0-30 cm on the trial area before planting.

105 The soil was made ready for planting by processing with goble disc and then with disc
 106 harrow prior to planting. The trial was established with three replicates according to
 107 randomized complete block experimental design. Each parcel comprised 4 lines. The
 108 planting was made by hand into a depth of 5-6 cm along the lines 5 meters long with a row
 109 spacing of 70 cm and intra row of 20 cm in 15-30 June. Most of the nutritional organic
 110 sources were applied with planting. Also some part of seaweed manure was applied before
 111 planting and the rest as foliar fertilizer in three times. An equal amount of water was given to
 112 the parcels with sprinkler irrigation after planting for germination, and furrow irrigation
 113 throughout the growing period due to lack of moisture in sufficient levels for output. A space
 114 of 2 meters was left between parcels to hinder water passage between parcels and the
 115 parcels were surrounded with berm. Cultural measures (tractor and hand hoeing) were
 116 implemented to combat the weed. Chemical pesticides were not used in the search,
 117 *Trichogramma sp.* predator that was obtained from Adana Agricultural Research Institute
 118 Biological Control Unit was used within scope of biological control against Corn Cob Worm
 119 which leads to great productivity losses for corn plants. Values were taken from two rows in
 120 the middle after discarding 0.5m from both beginnings and one rows situated at either sides
 121 of the parcel as edge effect during the harvest between 20-25 September. Variance analysis
 122 was made with the values obtained by using Totemstat-C software package, the significance
 123 of the differences between averages were determined through Duncan multi comparison test
 124 [11]. In the study, the years were subjected to variance analysis separately and jointly.

125 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

126

127 3.1. Cob Ratio (%)

128 Considering 2010-2011 year averages according to Table 3, cob ratio varied between
129 percent 17.26-19.98 in different nutrient applications. The highest cob ratio value was
130 determined to be 19.98% in torf + humic acid. The lowest cob ratio was obtained as 17.26%
131 from vermicompost application along combined averages in the trial.

132 **Table 3. Cob ratio (%) values found in popcorn grown using different nutritional**
133 **sources and the groups formed according to Duncan Test**

Nutritional Sources	2010^{ns}	2011^{ns}	Average[‡]
Conventional fertilizer	15.74	20.24	17.99 AB
Torf	14.44	22.40	18.42 AB
Compost	14.29	20.63	17.46 AB
Cattle manure	15.25	22.06	18.65 AB
Chicken manure	15.41	22.41	18.91 AB
Horse manure	15.37	20.01	17.69 AB
Sheep manure	15.46	19.39	17.43 AB
Pigeon manure	15.93	21.99	18.96 AB
Seaweed + cattle manure	15.73	24.16	19.95 A*
Vermicompost	15.30	19.21	17.26 B
Compost + humic acid	14.67	20.87	17.77 AB
Cattle manure + humic acid	15.50	22.92	19.21 A
Chicken manure+ humic acid	15.36	23.43	19.40 A
Sheep manure. + humic acid	15.71	22.14	18.92 AB
Torf + humic acid	15.51	24.45	19.98 A
Horse manure + humic acid	15.64	21.40	18.52 AB
Average	15.33 B	21.73 A	
LSD	Year: 2.014		
	2010-2011 Average nutritional sources: 2.539		

134 *There is no significant difference at 0.05 level according to Duncan Test among the
135 averages falling within same letter group.

136 †: $P \leq 0.01$, ‡: $P \leq 0.05$ ns: No significant

137 3.2. 1000-Kernel Weight (g)

138 Considering 2010-2011 year averages according to Table 4, 1000-kernel weights varied
139 between 122.48-138.65 g in different nutrient applications. While the highest 1000-kernel
140 weight value was determined to be 138.65 g in seaweed manure + cattle manure, and
141 afterwards this was followed by horse manure + humic acid (137.41) with sheep manure
142 +humic acid (137.11) respectively. In the meantime, conventional fertilizer application ranked
143 sixth among the applications with a 1000-kernel weight value of 132.41 g. The lowest 1000-
144 kernel weight was obtained as 122.48 g from chicken manure application along combined
145 averages in the trial. In terms of 1000-kernel weight, we can say that the abundance of all
146 applications in second year compared to the first year resulted from both climate and
147 environmental conditions and positive effect of nutritional sources.

148 The effect of nutritional sources plant on 1000-kernel weight in respect of corn plant was
149 given by obtaining different results in different studies. Prasanna et al. [12] have reported
150 that they received the highest 1000-kernel weight from vermicompost in respect of corn

151 plant, Shafiq et al. [6] said that chemical fertilizer yielded 1000-kernel weight higher than
 152 organic fertilizers (chicken manure, farmyard manure, bio-manure).

153 **Table 4. 1000-kernel weight (g) values found in popcorn grown using different**
 154 **nutritional sources and the groups formed according to Duncan Test**

Nutritional Sources	2010[†]	2011[†]	Average[†]
Conventional fertilizer	123.38 a*	141.43 de	132.41 A-E
Torf	113.97 a-d	131.68 e	122.82 DE
Compost	105.78 cd	141.89 cde	123.84 CDE
Cattle manure	115.6 abc	144.02 bcd	129.81 A-E
Chicken manure	105.22 cd	139.73 de	122.48 E
Horse manure	117.10 ab	148.31 a-d	132.71 A-E
Sheep manure	118.27 ab	150.04 a-d	134.16 ABC
Pigeon manure	120.25 a	148.00 a-d	134.13 A-D
Seaweed + cattle manure	121.47 a	155.84 a	138.65 A
Vermicompost	104.40 d	148.73 a-d	126.56 B-E
Compost + humic acid	107.73 bcd	146.87 a-d	127.30 B-E
Cattle manure + humic acid	117.72 ab	148.64 a-d	133.18 A-E
Chicken manure+ humic acid	108.85 bcd	144.84 a-d	126.85 B-E
Sheep manure. + humic acid	120.30 a	153.92 ab	137.11 AB
Torf + humic acid	116.38 abc	143.64 cde	130.01 A-E
Horse manure + humic acid	121.00 a	153.82 abc	137.41 AB
Average	114.84 B	146.34 A	
	Year: 4.826		
LSD	2010 Nutritional sources: 10.021		
	2011 Nutritional sources: 10.175		
	2010-2011 Average nutritional sources: 9.841		

155 **There is no significant difference at 0.05 level according to Duncan Test among the*
 156 *averages falling within same letter group.*

157 *†: P ≤ .01, ‡: P ≤ .05 ns: No significant*

158 Various results were obtained in different studies carried out related to 1000-kernel weight in
 159 popcorn. Idikut et al. [13] 114.9-122.9 g; Ertas et al. [14] 54.8-64.6 g; Gokmen et al. [15]
 160 129.0-213.0 g; Ozkan [16] 127.0-133.0 g; Tekkanat and Soylu [17] 114.68-175.93 g; Oktem
 161 et al. [26] 291.0-342.0; Ozkaynak and Samancı [18] have reported 1000-kernel weight
 162 varying between 86.0-140.0 g in lines, 83.0-115.0 g in hybrids.

163 **3.3. Test weight (kg hl⁻¹)**

164 Average values of the proportion of test weight determined in different nutritional sources in
 165 popcorn grown organically between 2010 and 2011 and the groups formed according to
 166 Duncan multi comparison test were given in Table 5.

167 Considering 2010-2011 year averages, test weight ranged from 75.62 kg hl⁻¹ and 81.29 kg
 168 hl⁻¹ in different nutrient applications. When examined the Table 5, the highest test weight
 169 value was 81.29 kg hl⁻¹ in horse manure + humic acid application, and afterwards
 170 respectively, torf + humic acid (80.58 kg hl⁻¹) and sheep manure + humic acid (80.56 kg hl⁻¹)
 171 applications. Meanwhile, the lowest test weight was obtained as 75.62 kg hl⁻¹ from
 172 vermicompost. The difference of nutrient elements in the structure of organic and
 173 conventional nutritional sources at the end of the study, was seen affecting these fertilizer
 174 sources at different levels. In the trial, the difference among fertilizer applications was found
 175 to be statistically significant.

176 As a result, the highest test weight of the parcel is administered with a growth regulator of
 177 humic acid organic fertilizer is taken. The studies have shown that humic acids in plant dry
 178 weight effects are available. Some researchers reported that fresh and dry weights
 179 increased significantly ($P < .05$) with treated humic acid at different levels compared to
 180 control [6, 19, 20]. Asli and Neuman [21] reported that the humic acids reduce the dry weight
 181 of corn.

182 **Table 5. Test weight (kg hl⁻¹) values found in popcorn grown using different nutritional**
 183 **sources and the groups formed according to Duncan Test**

Nutritional Sources	2010 [†]	2011 [†]	Average [†]
Conventional fertilizer	80.57 ab	76.55 e	78.56 AB
Torf	78.70 ab	80.62 abc	79.66 A
Compost	75.68 bc	79.47 bcd	77.58 AB
Cattle manure	76.43 abc	80.60 abc	78.52 AB
Chicken manure	75.98 abc	79.62 bcd	77.80 AB
Horse manure	78.13 abc	81.32 abc	79.73 A
Sheep manure	76.10 abc	79.82 bcd	77.96 AB
Pigeon manure	79.28 ab	80.63 bc	79.96 A
Seaweed + cattle manure	79.38 ab	79.25 cd	79.32 A
Vermicompost	73.47 c	77.77 de	75.62 B
Compost + humic acid	75.95 bc	82.78 a	79.37 A
Cattle manure + humic acid	76.87 abc	81.42 ab	79.14 AB
Chicken manure+ humic acid	80.95 a	79.80 bcd	80.38 A
Sheep manure. + humic acid	80.23 ab	80.88 abc	80.56 A
Torf + humic acid	79.75 ab	81.40 abc	80.58 A
Horse manure + humic acid	80.88 ab	81.70 ab	81.29 A
Average	78.02 B	80.23A	
	Year: 0.463		
LSD	2010 Nutritional sources: 4.445		
	2011 Nutritional sources: 1.955		
	2010-2011 Average nutritional sources: 3.346		

184 *There is no significant difference at 0.05 level according to Duncan Test among the
 185 averages falling within same letter group.

186 †: $P \leq .01$, ‡: $P \leq .05$ ns: No significant

187 3.4. Popping Volume (cm³ g⁻¹)

188 Considering 2010-2011 year averages according to Table 6, popping volume varied between
 189 17.17 cm³ g⁻¹- 19.71 cm³ g⁻¹ in different nutrient applications. When examined the Table 6,
 190 the highest popping volume value was 19.71 cm³ g⁻¹ in torf application, and afterwards
 191 respectively, vermicompost (19.41 cm³ g⁻¹) and pigeon manure (18.98 cm³ g⁻¹) applications.
 192 Meanwhile, the lowest popping volume was obtained as 17.17 cm³ g⁻¹ from sheep manure +
 193 humic acid. The difference of nutrient elements in the structure of organic and conventional
 194 nutritional sources at the end of the study, was seen affecting these fertilizer sources at
 195 different levels.

196 Besides, even though no study has been carried out about popping volume in organic
 197 popcorn, different study results obtained related to popping volume as 19.79-22.92 cm³ g⁻¹
 198 [14] ; 19.67-25.33 cm³ g⁻¹ [18]; 18.50-35.25 cm³ g⁻¹ [17]; 21.0-27.5 cm³ g⁻¹ [22]; 28.1-28.7 cm³
 199 g⁻¹ [16] have a nature supporting our research results.

200 **Table 6. Popping volume (cm³/g) values found in popcorn grown using different**
 201 **nutritional sources and the groups formed according to Duncan Test**

Nutritional Sources	2010^{ns}	2011^{ns}	Average[‡]
Conventional fertilizer	18.95	17.42	18.18 AB
Torf	20.41	19.00	19.71 A*
Compost	19.93	17.75	18.84 AB
Cattle manure	19.66	19.04	19.35 AB
Chicken manure	18.99	17.68	18.33 AB
Horse manure	19.02	18.43	18.72 AB
Sheep manure	19.00	17.64	18.32 AB
Pigeon manure	19.20	18.76	18.98 AB
Seaweed + cattle manure	18.54	16.65	17.60 AB
Vermicompost	20.56	18.26	19.41 A
Compost + humic acid	18.62	17.15	17.89 AB
Cattle manure + humic acid	18.27	18.09	18.18 AB
Chicken manure+ humic acid	18.95	17.65	18.30 AB
Sheep manure. + humic acid	17.40	16.93	17.17 B
Torf + humic acid	19.42	16.12	17.77 AB
Horse manure + humic acid	17.75	17.61	17.68 AB
Average	19.04 A	17.76 B	
LSD	Year: 0.467		
	2010-2011 Average nutritional sources: 2.011		

202 **There is no significant difference at 0.05 level according to Duncan Test among the*
 203 *averages falling within same letter group.*
 204 *‡: P ≤ .01, †: P ≤ .05 ns: No significant*

205 **3.5. Number of Unpopped kernel (%)**

206 Average values of number of unpopped kernel determined in different nutritional sources in
 207 popcorn grown organically between 2010 and 2011 and the groups formed according to
 208 Duncan multi comparison test were given in Table 7. Considering 2010-2011 year averages,
 209 number of unpopped kernel ranged from 3.65% to 5.92% in different nutrient applications.
 210 When examined the Table 7, the highest number of unpopped kernel value was 5.92% in
 211 peat application, and afterwards respectively, chicken manure (5.63%) and compost (5.16%)
 212 applications. Meanwhile, the lowest number of unpopped kernel was obtained as 3.65 %
 213 from horse manure + humic acid. The difference of nutrient elements in the structure of
 214 organic and conventional nutritional sources at the end of the study, was seen affecting
 215 these fertilizer sources at different levels. In the trial, the difference among fertilizer
 216 applications was found to be statistically significant.

217 Besides, even though no study has been carried out about number of unpopped kernel in
 218 organic popcorn, different study results obtained related to non-popped grain rates as 12.43-
 219 16.91% [14], 3.49-12.19% in lines and 6.33-9.94% in hybrids [18]; 2.42-9.90% [17]; 2.77-
 220 3.48% [16], have a nature supporting our research results. Many researchers [14, 24, 25]
 221 have found significant differences in non-popped grain rate which is among major quality
 222 parameters of popcorn, and they reported that the impact of varieties had a largest share in
 223 this situation.

224

225 **Table 7. Number of unpopped kernel (%) values determined in popcorn grown using**
 226 **different nutritional sources and the groups formed according to Duncan Test**

Nutritional Sources	2010 [†]	2011 [†]	Average [†]
Conventional fertilizer	6.48 abc	3.51 b-e	5.00 A-D
Torf	7.05 a*	4.79 a	5.92 A
Compost	6.72 ab	3.59 b-e	5.16 ABC
Cattle manure	4.86 def	3.84 bcd	4.35 CD
Chicken manure	6.97 a	4.28 ab	5.63 AB
Horse manure	5.27 b-f	3.88 abc	4.58 BD
Sheep manure	4.74 ef	3.18 cde	3.96 CD
Pigeon manure	5.47 a-f	3.45 b-e	4.46 BCD
Seaweed + cattle manure	4.88 c-f	2.67 e	3.78 D
Vermicompost	5.91 a-e	3.63 bcd	4.77 A-D
Compost + humic acid	4.41 f	3.46 b-e	3.93 CD
Cattle manure + humic acid	6.33 a-d	3.23 cde	4.78 A-D
Chicken manure+ humic acid	4.63 ef	3.51 b-e	4.07 CD
Sheep manure. + humic acid	4.67 ef	2.81 de	3.74 D
Torf + humic acid	4.65 ef	3.10 cde	3.88 CD
Horse manure + humic acid	4.50 ef	2.79 de	3.65 D
Average	5.47 A	3.48 B	
	Yıl: 0.467		
LSD	2010 Nutritional sources: 1.393		
	2011 Nutritional sources: 0.889		
	2010-2011 Av. Nutritional sources: 2.011		

227 *There is no significant difference at 0.05 level according to Duncan Test among the
228 averages falling within same letter group.

229 †: P ≤ .01, ‡: P ≤ .05 ns: No significant

230

231 4. CONCLUSION

232

233 It has been determined with this study that organic popcorn production can be made also by
234 using different nutritional sources under Diyarbakır ecological conditions. It has been
235 ascertained that Ant-Cin-98 popcorn variety used in the trial can also be included in crop
236 rotation systems across in south eastern Anatolia region. Higher values obtained from
237 organic nutrient sources than conversional application in all tested quality parameters.
238 Furthermore, it was observed that the implementation of organic fertilizers together with
239 humic acid in popcorn produced better results in comparison to alone implementation of
240 organic fertilizers. It has been proved that corn production can be made without the use of
241 chemical pesticides in the trial. *Trichogramma sp.* beneficial insects can be introduced to
242 local farmers and its use may be encouraged on corn planted areas.

243

244 REFERENCES

245

- 246 1. Kun E. Warm Climate Cereals, Ankara University Printing House, Ankara, 1997.
- 247 2. Oktem A, Ulger A.C, Kirtok Y. Effects of different nitrogen doses and distances of row
248 spacing on grain yield and some agronomic characteristics of popcorn. Cukurova
249 University Journal of Agricultural Faculty, 2001;16(2):83-92.
- 250 3. Anac D, Okur B. Improving Soil Fertility in Natural Ways. Ecological (Organic, Biological)
251 Agricultural Organisation Society (ETO), p.37-73, 1996, Izmir.
- 252 4. Yazici K, Kaynak L. Possibilities of using seaweed in organic farming. Turkey 2nd
253 Ecological Symposium, 14-16 November 2001, Antalya, p. 344-352.
- 254 5. Seker C, Ersoy, I. Soil characteristics of different organic fertilizers and leonardit and their
255 effects on development of corn (*Zea mays L.*). Selcuk University Journal of
256 Agricultural Faculty, 2005;19(35):46-50.

- 257 6. Shafiq R, Bukhsh M.A, Ishaque M. Comparative performance and profitability of two corn
258 hybrids with organic and inorganic fertilizers. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences
259 2008;45(3):8-12.
- 260 7. Selcuk R, Tufenkci S. Effects of ascending doses of zinc and humic acid applications on
261 yield and nutrient content of corn. Yuzuncu Yil University, Institute of Science,
262 Master's Thesis, Van 2009.
- 263 8. Cengiz R, Yanikoglu S, Sezer MC. Effects of synthetic and organic fertilizers on yield and
264 quality of corn (*Zea mays* L.). Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Ankara,
265 2010;213-220.
- 266 9. Anonymous. Official gazette, <http://mevzuat.basbakanlik.gov.tr/>, Official Gazette Number:
267 27676, Access date: 18.08.20103. 2010.
- 268 10. Anonymous, Monthly climatic report. Meteorological station. Sanliurfa. 2011.
- 269 11. Acikgoz N, Ilker E, Gokcol A. Assessment of Biological Research on the Computer.
270 TOTEM, 2004;2, Izmir.
- 271 12. Prasanna K, Halepyati A. S, Desai B.K, Pujari B.T. Effect of integrated nutrient
272 management on the productivity and nutrient uptake by maize (*Zea mays* L.).
273 Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2007;20(4):833-834.
- 274 13. Idikut L, Yilmaz A, Yururdurmaz C, Colkesen M. Determination of morphological and
275 agronomical properties of local popcorn genotypes. BİBAD Research Journal of
276 Biological Sciences, 2012;5(2):63-69.
- 277 14. Ertas N, Soylu S, Bilgici N. A research on determination of physical properties of corn
278 relations of quality parameters. Turkey 10. Food Congress, Erzurum, 21-23 May,
279 2008.
- 280 15. Gokmen S, Sakin M.A, Yildirim A., Yield, yield components and quality specifications of
281 some popcorn varieties grown in Tokat-Kazova conditions. Turkey VII. Field Crops
282 Congress, 2007;1:330-333, 25-27 June 2007, Erzurum.
- 283 16. Ozkan A. Effects of different nitrogen levels on grain yields, agricultural properties and
284 some quality parameters of two popcorn (*Zea mays everta* Sturt.) cultivars under
285 Cukurova conditions. Department of Field Crops Institute of Naturel and Applied
286 Sciences University of Cukurova, Phd Thesis, Adana,125p. 2007.
- 287 17. Tekkanat A, Soylu S. Determination of important quality characters and grain yield in
288 popcorn cultivars. Selcuk University Journal of the Faculty of Agriculture,
289 2005;19(37):41-50.
- 290 18. Ozkaynak E, Samanci B. Comparison of popcorn (*Zea mays everta* Sturt.) lines and their
291 testcrosses for yield and yield-related traits. Akdeniz University Journal of the Faculty
292 of Agriculture, 2003;16(1):35-42.
- 293 19. Dogru A, Darcin E.S, Tutar A, Dizman M, Koc Y. Effects of potassium humate to corn
294 (*Zea mays* L.) plant growth. Sakarya University The Journal of Arts and Science,
295 2012;1:25-35.
- 296 20. Sozudogru S, Kutuk A.C, Yalcin R, Usta S. 1996. The development of the bean plant
297 humic acid and nutrient intake effect. Ankara University, Journal of the Faculty,
298 No:1452, Ankara,
- 299 21. Asli S, Neumann P.M. Rhizosphere humic acid interacts with root cell walls to reduce
300 hydraulic conductivity and plant development. Plant and Soil, 2010;336:313-322.
- 301 22. Koc N, Ekiz H, Soysal M, Pamukcu M, Erdal S, Toros A. Popcorn population breeding.
302 Turkey 5. Field Crops Congress, Antalya 5-9 September 2005.
- 303 23. Song A, Eckhoff S.R, Paulsen M, Litchfield J.B. Effect of kernel size and genotype on
304 popcorn popping volume and number of unpopped kernels. Cereal Chemistry,
305 1991;68:464-466.
- 306 24. Gokmen S, Sencar O, Sakin M.A, Yilmaz I. Growing opportunities under Tokat-Kazova
307 conditions the type of popcorn (*Zea mays* L. *everta* Sturt). Turkey 3. Field Crops
308 Congress, 1999;1:287-292, 15-18 November 1999, Adana.

- 309 25. Gokmen S, Sakin M.A. Determination of yield, yield components and some quality
310 properties of popcorn. Turkey 3. Field Crops Congress, s.253-257, 17-21 September
311 2001,Tekirdag.
312 26. Oktem A., Ulger A.C, Coskun Y. Effects of some weed control methods on grain yield
313 and yield components of corn (*Zea mays* L.) under Harran plain conditions. Journal of
314 University Agricultural Faculty, 2004;8(1):51-57.

UNDER PEER REVIEW