# Different Type of Ant Species Nests in Periyanaickenpalyam Village, Coimbatore District, TamilNadu 


#### Abstract

The present study deals with the ant species trees associate in and around Periyanaickenpalyam village, Coimbatore district, TamilNadu from November 2017 to February 2018. The seasonal assemblage of ants and their species composition of ants were mostly presented in Mesquite ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ )trees followed by Azadiracaindica $(\mathrm{n}=10$ ) and Mangiferaindica( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) Ficusreligiosa $(\mathrm{n}=8)$, Shorearobusta( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ),Toona( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ),Musa acuminate ( $n=3$ ) ants species, were less in Cocosnucifera, Ficusbenghalensis, Bambuseae, each species ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ )andThespepsiapopulnea,,Cassia fistula,Santalum album, ,Gmeliaarborea, Caricapapya,Delonix, Tamrindsindicawhich contain ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ) for each species.Species wise, subfamily Formicinae abundant with mesquite $(\mathrm{n}=8)$ followed by AzadiracaindicaandMangiferaindica(n=4),Ficusreligiosa,Cocosnucifera,Musa acuminate,Shorearobusta,Bambuseae,Delonix,Tamrindsindicawhich has ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ) species for eachspecies.TheDolichoderinae species only occurred in Sandalum album ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ ).
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Ants are ubiquitous in distribution and occupy almost all terrestrial ecosystems. There are about 15000 species of ants [1] only 11,769 species have been described [2].

Ants play an important role in with in the terrestrial ecosystems because they have numerous interactions with different plants species, including seed dispersers, leaf- and seed predators and in some cases, as pollinators [3, 4].

It is part of a series that details many aspects of the relationship between biodiversity, forest disturbance and reforestation at this same site although not as species globally as the Coleoptera, Hymenoptera (Parasitica) and Diptera, ants can contribute significantly to local species richness. For example, recorded 43species of ants [5, 6, and 7] from a single tree in Brazil. Ants are also relatively well known taxonomically, both in West and Central Africa, and for the world as a whole [8].

In urbanization process urban ecosystem are create. Rapid urbanization, natural areas. Under the urban zones will play critical role in safeguarding biodiversity [9]. All of this belong to a single family called Formicinae included in the super family vespoidea of the order Hymenoptera, which is placed in the largest class insect in the animal kingdom. Recently, these subfamilies Martialinae has been added to the family Formicidae [10]. The aim of nest behaving study is nourishment of soil by ants and their nesting.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study area

The field work was conducted in the Periyanaickenpalyam village, Coimbatore district, TamilNadu. Coimbatore lies at $11^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$, in south India at 411 metres ( 1349 ft ) above sea level on the banks of the Noyyal River, in southwestern Tamil Nadu. The average annual rainfall is around 700 mm ( 27.6 in ) with the northeast and the southwest monsoons contributing to $47 \%$ and $28 \%$ respectively to the total rainfall. Periyanaickenpalayam is a neighbourhood in Coimbatore in the Indian state of Tami nadu. It is located along National Highway NH 67, Mettupalayam road, an arterial road in Coimbatore.

### 2.2 Collection Method

We employed all out search method for the collection of ants in November 2017 to February 2018. Ants were collected using a brush and forceps during day time in between 11 am to 4 pm twice in every month.

### 2.3 Preservation method

Ant's species were preserved in $70 \%$ ethanol in plastic vials at the Department of Zoology, PSG College of arts and science. The stored ant specimens were then counted and identified up to genus level (some to species level) using microscope. Species identification was carried out under the help of the keys of "Ants identification guide" [11] collected ants were identified up to the genus level by using based on literature [12, 13, 14, 9 and 15]. Identified specimens will be kept in the air tight insect wooden box. Ant species were listed and each species was counted to calculate and compared composition, richness, species diversity, trees association, habitat type and identification of ants.

### 2.4 Measurement of diversity

Relative density of the species was calculated by the formula,
Relative Density (\%) = (Number of individuals of one species / Number of individuals of all species) $\mathrm{X}=100$.
(SDI), and Shannon-Wiener index. SDI is a measure of diversity which takes into account the number of species present, as well as the relative abundance of each species. SDI is calculated using the formula,

Where,
$\mathrm{D}=\Sigma n(n-1) / N(N-1)$
$n=$ total number of organisms of a particular species and $N=$ total number of organisms of all species. Subtracting the value of Simpson's index from 1, gives Simpson's Index of Diversity (SID).

Shannon-Wiener index $\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ is another diversity index and is given as follows
$H^{\prime}=-\Sigma P i \ln (P i)$,
Where,
$P i=S / \mathrm{N}$
$S=$ number of individuals of one species, $N=$ total number of all individuals in the sample, $\ln =\log$ arithm to base e. Dominance index is a measure of how dominants (or similar), (D) follows the formula $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{n}(100 / \mathrm{N})$, where $\mathrm{n}=$ individual number, $\mathrm{N}=$ total number of species.

## 3. RESULTS

94 Caricapapya,,Thespepsiapopulnea, Delonix, Tamrindsindicaand Cassia fistula $(\mathrm{n}=2)$ trees.
95 Table 1 showing the ants and their trees association in Periyanaickenpalyam village, Coimbatore district

| Trees association | H | D |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Azadiracaindica | 0.94325 | 2.38 |
| Ficusreligiosa | 0.90018 | 2.1335 |
| Shorearobusta | 0.673 | 1.923 |
| Cocosnucifera | 0 | 0 |
| Ficusbenghalensis | 0 | 0 |
| Santalum album | 0.6932 | 2 |
| Mangiferaindica | 1.213 | 2.909 |
| Toona | 0.56233 | 1.6 |
| Gmelinaarborea | 0.69315 | 2 |
| Bambuseae | 0 | 0 |
| Carica papaya | 0.69315 | 2 |
| Mesquite | 1.0997 | 2.51294 |
| Thespepsiapopulnea | 0.6932 | 2 |
| Delonix | 0 | 0 |
| Tamrindsindica | 0 | 0 |
| Cassia fistula | 0.6932 | 2 |
| Musa acuminate | 1.397 | 2.66 |

Ants sampled from November 2017 to February 2018, varied with the seasons and the type of trees. They were mostly represented by five distinct sub families viz, Formicinae, Myrmicinae, Dolichoderinae, Pseudomyrmicinae and Ponerinae.From the table 1 showing the trees association of ants species in the Periyanaickenpalyam village. The ants were associated with trees including the Neem (Azadiracaindica), Sacred fig (Ficusreligiosa), Sal (Shorearobusta), Coconut (Cocosnucifera), Banayan (Ficusbenghalensis), Sandalwood (Santalum album), Mango (Mangiferaindica), Toona (Toona), Whiteteak (Gmelinaarborea),Bamboo (Bambuseae),Papaya (Caricapapya), and Banana (Musa acuminate).The ants were mostly presented in karuva (Mesquite) ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ ) trees followed by Neem (Azadiracaindica) $(\mathrm{n}=10)$, Ficusreligiosa $(n=8)$, Mangiferaindica $\quad(n=6)$, Shorearobusta( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ),Toona( $\mathrm{n}=4$ ), Musa acuminate ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ) ants species, were as less in Cocosnucifera, Ficusbenghalensis, Bambuseae, Santalum album, , Gmeliaarborea,

## *D-Simpson's index, H-Shannon wiener index

Species wise, subfamily Formicinae abundant with karuva (Mesquite) ( $\mathrm{n}=8$ ) followed by Neem (Azadiracaindica), Mangiferaindica(n=4), Ficusreligiosa, Cocosnucifera, Musa acuminate, Shorearobusta, Bambuseae, Delonix, Tamrindsindicawhich constitute foreach $\operatorname{species}(\mathrm{n}=2)$ and the minimum level ant species occurred in Toona, Santalum album, Gmeliaarborea, Carica papaya,Thespepsiapopulnea Cassia fistula contain ( $n=1$ ) species.Subfamily Myrmicinae, maximum in Mesquite, Azadiracaindica ( $n=5$ ),

Shorearobusta, Toona, Cinamoumverum which contain ( $n=3$ ), Ficusreligiosa ( $n=2$ ), Santalum album, Mangiferaindicia,Thespepsiapopulnea, Cassia fistula, Musa acuminate, GmeliaarboreaandCaricapapya. Mymicinae subfamily absent in Bambuseae, ,Cocosnucifera,,Delonix,Tamrindsindica, Ficusbenghalensis along with and Subfamily Ponerinae present in Cinomoumverum ( $n=1$ ). Along with (SD: 0.243, SE: 0.059, AM: 1.35). Pseudomyrmicinae subfamily ants associated on some trees like Magniferaindica, Cinomumverum ( $n=2$ ), Azadiracaindica, Ficusreligiosa, Musa, acuminare which contain for each species ( $n=1$ ). The Dolichoderinae species only occurred in Sandalum album ( $n=1$ ) trees.

Table 2 showing the ant species and their nests in Periyanaickenpalyam village

| S.NO | Species | Number <br> Of <br> Species | Percentage <br> (\%) | Number <br> Of <br> Nests | Percentage <br> (\%) |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | radiates | 8 | $14 \%$ | 47 | $16.26 \%$ |
| 2 | compressus | 12 | $21 \%$ | 61 | $21.1 \%$ |
| 3 | Irritans | 3 | $5.26 \%$ | 11 | $3.80 \%$ |
| 4 | Parius | 3 | $5.26 \%$ | 12 | $4.15 \%$ |
| 5 | fabricus | 2 | $3.5 \%$ | 7 | $2.42 \%$ |
| 6 | sericeus | 1 | $1.75 \%$ | 11 | $3.80 \%$ |
| 7 | Maculatus | 2 | $3.5 \%$ | 11 | $3.80 \%$ |
| 8 | germinata | 7 | $12.2 \%$ | 44 | $15.2 \%$ |
| 9 | Destructor | 2 | $3.5 \%$ | 6 | $2.07 \%$ |
| 10 | Rufonigra | 5 | $8.7 \%$ | 25 | $8.65 \%$ |
| 11 | smaragidina | 1 | $1.75 \%$ | 6 | $2.07 \%$ |
| 12 | Pharaonis | 2 | $3.5 \%$ | 18 | $6.22 \%$ |
| 13 | gracillipes | 1 | $1.75 \%$ | 3 | $1.03 \%$ |
| 14 | longicornis | 2 | $3.5 \%$ | 3 | $1.03 \%$ |
| 15 | minimum | 2 | $3.5 \%$ | 8 | $2.76 \%$ |
| 16 | subnuda | 1 | $1.75 \%$ | 3 | $1.03 \%$ |
| 17 | allaborans | 2 | $3.5 \%$ | 6 | $2.07 \%$ |
| 18 | spp(flying) | 1 | $1.75 \%$ | 7 | $2.42 \%$ |

From the Table 2 showing the ant species and their total number of nests in Periyanyackenpalayam village. In Periyanaickenpalayam village, Species compressus $(\mathrm{n}=12)$ which was dominant with the percentage of $21 \%$ followed by smaragidina $(\mathrm{n}=10)$ with $1.75 \%$, radiates $(\mathrm{n}=8)$ contain $14 \%$, germinata $(\mathrm{n}=7)$, $12.2 \%$,rufonigra ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ )with $8.7 \%$ and irritans, parius $(\mathrm{n}=3)$ with $5.26 \%$.In longicornis, allaborans, pharnois, destructor andfabricusspecies with the percentage of $3.5 \%$. The minimum level species weresubnuda, sericusgracillipes, spe (flying) ( $n=1$ ) with $1.75 \%$. Number of nests were different from place to place, maximum nests in compressus ( $n=61$ )

136 Ponerinae subfamily was more specific about its niche and food habits. Subfamily
137 DolichoderinaeThe only species in this genus was the ubiquitous Tapinoma sessile (Say).
138 Thisdiminutive, but aggressive, species showed no preference for nest substrate, consistent with 139 its ability to inhabit virtually any habitat [16].
140 Earlier, it was observed the dominance of Myrmicine ants during summer (April 141 May) and post rainy (October-November) seasons; Formicine ants during rainy (July - August) 142 and post rainy seasons (October-November) The Myrmicine ants also predominated in terms of 143 their proportion to total ants on trees viz., Sandalwood (15.43\%), Teak (14.23\%) and Neem $144(14.07 \%)$ irrespective of seasons, while the Dolichoderine ants exceptionally predominated on 145 Sissoo (9.35\%) [17].
146 The ants were associated with trees including the Neem (Azadiracaindica), Sacred fig 147 (Ficusreligiosa), Sal (Shorearobusta), Coconut (Cocosnucifera), Banayan (Ficusbenghalensis), 148 Sandalwood (Santalum album), Mango (Mangiferaindica), Toona (Toona), White teak 149 (Gmelinaarborea), Bamboo (Bambuseae), Papaya (Caricapapya) and Banana (Musa acuminate) 150 .The ants are mostly presented in karuva (Cinamoumverum) trees followed by Neem 151 (Azadiracaindica), Ficusreligiosa, Mangiferaindica, Shorearobusta, Toona, Musa acuminate 152 ants species were less in Cocosnucifera, Ficusbenghalensis, Bambuseae, Santalum album, 153 ,Gmeliaarborea, Caricapapya,,Thespepsiapopulnea, Delonix, Tamrindsindica and ,Cassia 154 fistula each.

155
Sunil Kumar et al [18] reported the ant species richness generally increases with increase 156 in vegetation. Every year, several millions of seeds per hectare are collected by those species 157 [19]. Seed harvesting is especially common within the Myrmicinae genera specifically Messor, 158 Pogonomyrmex as well as in some species of Pheidole found in arid habitats. In the case of 159 seed-dispersal or myrmecochory, plants produce seeds bearing a lipid-rich part, the elaiosome, 160 which attracts ants but does not play a role in germination. As the elaiosome is usually carried 161 back to the ant nest before it is eaten, this protects the seeds against predation, fire, or 162 desiccation and promotes seed germination. This mutualistic interaction between ants and plants 163 has been documented for approximately 11000 species of plants and is geographically 164 widespread and especially common in the arid regions of Australia or South Africa [20].

## 5. CONCLUSION

The diversity dynamic patterns of ants on various trees inurban habitat as observed in the present investigation indicated absence of anthropogenic pressures. So it was to maintain a variety of habitat types in order to conserve the high species richness in urban areas. Tree. Among the sub-families, Formicinaerepresented by 6-7 species dominated on all the trees. Maximum ant species abundant in Azradicaindicaand Mesquite trees. The Myrmicinaeants with 2-4 species were the nextpredominating in such undisturbed ecosystem across season (November 2017 to February 2018). Nesting and species number is rich depending trees association.

PLATE 1



1 Camponotus spp, 2 Componotus compressus, 3 Camponotus sericeus, 4 Camponotus fabricus, 5 Monomorium destructor, 6 Crematogaster subnuda, 7 Componotus spp, 8
Camponotuscompressus, 9 componotus spp, 10 Monomoriumpharaonis, 11
Oecophyllasmaragidina, 12 Paratrechinalongicornis
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