

Effects of coconut oil cakes on the growth performance of gima kalmi (*Ipomoea aquatica*)

11
12
13

ABSTRACT

A pot experiment was conducted to investigate the effect of coconut oil cakes on the growth performance of gima kalmi (*Ipomoea aquatica*). The experiment was laid to fit a completely randomized design (CRD) with three treatments (5, 10, 20 tonha⁻¹) of coconut oil cake treatment along with control (no oil cake) each having three replications for this experiment. After plant harvesting, the laboratory investigation was carried out in the Soil, Water and Environment Discipline, Khulna University, Khulna, Bangladesh. Yield contributing characters like plant height and leaf number were significantly ($P < 0.05$) influenced by different treatments. Among the three treatments applied 20 tonha⁻¹ treatment has shown highest response to plant growth due to nutrient availability of the soil. The sequence of response was in the order 20 tonha⁻¹ > 10 tonha⁻¹ > 5 tonha⁻¹ significantly ($P < 0.05$) difference in plant growth from the control (0 tonha⁻¹). But the application of organic manure as coconut oil cake, decrease in soil pH was probably due to the production of organic acids during organic manure decomposition or by nitrification and EC value of soil was increased with different treatments. In addition, increased the organic carbon of the soil. Organic carbon increased due to the application of organic manure but insignificantly.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

Keywords: Effects, Coconut oil cake, Growth performance, Organic carbon, Ipomoea aquatica

1. INTRODUCTION

In present day, heavy doses of chemical fertilizers and pesticides have been aggressively used by the farmers to get a better yield of various field crops. Imbalanced fertilization has led to mining out scarce native soil nutrients to support plant growth and production, the dominant soil ecological processes that severely affected the fertility status and production capacity of the major soil in Bangladesh. Excessive use of inorganic fertilizers creates environment related problems, and situation can be improved through the use of bio-fertilizers [1]. Due to adverse effect of chemical fertilizers interest has been stimulated for the use of organic fertilizer. In organic agriculture it is generally believed that a soil treated for years with massive doses of chemical fertilizers and pesticides can be restored in three years with compost and a return to traditional practices. In comparison with mineral fertilizers, compost produces significantly greater increases in soil organic carbon and some plant nutrients [2, 3].

In today's era, the growth of world population is increasing day by day and for this reason higher pressure of food production appears. It has resulted in a greater demand of fertilizer. The continuous use of inorganic fertilizer will be caused damage on physical, chemical and biological properties of soil, so that the soil fertility will be more decreased [4]. Chemical fertilizers often have low use efficiency, meaning that only a portion of the applied nutrients are taken up by plants [5]. The rampant use of chemical fertilizers contributes largely

35 deterioration of the environment, loss of soil fertility less agricultural productivity and soil
36 degradation [6]. The hazardous environmental consequences and high cost of inorganic
37 fertilizers make them not only undesirable but also uneconomical and out of reach of the
38 poor farmers [7]. Although chemical fertilizer increases soil fertility, it is doing more harm
39 than good in that the soil itself is being degraded in one hand and the environment is being
40 polluted on the other hand [8]. Although organic and synthetic fertilizers add the same
41 nutrients to the soil, organic fertilizers work in a different way. Organic fertilizers work double
42 duty by providing required nutrients to growing plants while also feeding the soil. A balanced
43 blend of organic fertilizer provides nutrient sources for important microorganisms and growth
44 is difficult to sustain. Therefore, scientists and planners of both developed and developing
45 countries are interested to search the alternate technology to reduce the dependence on the
46 chemical fertilizer. The answer to this, is organic fertilizer.

47
48 Organic fertilizers provide all nutrients in readily available forms and also enhance the
49 uptake of nutrients by plants and play a major role in improving growth and yield of different
50 field crops. Among them coconut oil cake acts as an organic fertilizer. Oil cakes have a high
51 nutritional value. When we apply oil cake with soil then it plays a beneficial role on the
52 growth response of water spinach (*Ipomoea aquatic*). It is evident that the application of
53 organic manures enhances the soil physical, chemical and biological properties, as well as
54 plant growth and yield. Organic manures can also help in reducing environmental pollution
55 and increasing the use of organic fertilizers in soil. The application of manures to soil
56 provides potential benefits including improving the fertility, structure, water holding capacity
57 of soil, increasing soil organic matter and reducing the amount of synthetic fertilizer needed
58 for crop production [9]. They provide organic acids that help dissolve soil nutrients and make
59 them available for the plants [10]. The soil scientists pay more attention towards making use
60 of organic materials (both organic manures as well as organic wastes) for improving the
61 physical properties of soils that allow profitable crop production. Due to changing pattern of
62 soil fertility management of cultivable land with emphasis on organic matter replenishment,
63 the organic fertilizers could play a vital role in restoring fertility as well as organic matter
64 status of the soil [11]. Organic matter in soil considered to be the life blood of soil. The
65 present research was the application of organic fertilizer as coconut oil cake to observe the
66 effect of oil cake on the growth response of gima kalmi (*Ipomoea aquatic*). The main
67 objectives of this study were to evaluate the influence of coconut oil cake on the growth
68 response of gima kalmi (*Ipomoea aquatic*) and test the organic carbon status of post-harvest
69 soil due to application of coconut oil cake.

70 71 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

72
73 In this research, a pot experiment was carried out to assess the effect of coconut oil cake on
74 the growth performance of water spinach.

75 76 **2.1 SOIL AND POT PREPARATION**

77 Soil sample was collected from the field of Khulna University, Bangladesh by composite soil
78 sampling method [12]. The bulk soil was air-dried at room temperature and all the plant
79 debris was removed manually. Then the massive aggregates were broken by gentle
80 crushing with a wooden hammer and passed through a 0.5 mm sieve. Twelve plastic pots
81 were used in this experiment. The pot experiment was conducted in the field laboratory of
82 Soil, Water and Environment Discipline, Khulna University.

83 84 **2.2 COLLECTION OF COCONUT OIL CAKE**

85
86 Coconut oil cake was purchased from local market of Fulbarigate, Khulna. It was dried and
87 kept in a sack for 3 months before using. This helps the particle to decompose more rapidly

88 before use. After taking in the laboratory, it was sieved with 0.5 mm sieve for chemical
89 analysis such as determination of pH, EC and organic carbon.

90

91 **2.3 EXPERIMENTAL LAYOUT**

92

93 Twelve plastic pots (250gm) were collected for this experiment. 200gm soil was poured in
94 each pot. There were three rates (5, 10, and 20 ton/ha) of coconut oil cake treatment along
95 with control (no oil cake) and 3 replications for each treatment.

96

97 **2.4 SOWING OF THE SEED**

98

99 Only the healthy, plump and large sized seeds were selected for sowing. Seeds of water
100 spinach were sown by spreading them over the surface of the soil. The seeds were covered
101 by a thin layer of soil. The pots were allowed to germinate. After seed sowing, due care was
102 taken to ensure that there was no infestation of pests and damages by birds and to raise
103 healthy and strong seedling.

104

105 **2.5 HARVESTING**

106

107 The experimental plants were harvested 44 days after sowing date. The harvested plants
108 were tagged separately, weighed and different growth parameters were measured and
109 recorded.

110

111 **2.6 METHODS FOR SOIL ANALYSIS**

112

113 Soil samples were analyzed in the laboratory to determine the physical and chemical
114 properties of soil. The methods used for the determination are presented in the following
115 sections. Soil pH was determined electrochemically with the help of glass electrode pH
116 meter suggested by Jackson [13]. Electrical conductivity (EC) of soil samples was measured
117 by EC meter, [12]. Organic carbon of soil samples was determined by Walkley and Black's
118 wet oxidation method as outlined by Jackson [14]. Organic matter was calculated by
119 multiplying the percent value of organic carbon with the conventional Van-Bemmelene's
120 factor of 1.724 [15].

121

122 **2.7 DATA COLLECTION OF DIFFERENT ATTRIBUTES OF THE TEST CROP**

123

124 The following parameters were recorded and their mean values were calculated from the
125 sample plant after the harvest. The number of plants of each pot was counted. The number
126 of leaves of plants of each pot was counted and average value was considered. Plant height
127 was measured using a meter scale from ground level to the tip of the plant. Harvest of plants
128 from each pot, fresh weight was weighed by an electrical balance and their mean value was
129 calculated as plant fresh weight was expressed in gm.

130

131 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

132


133 The major findings obtained from the present study regarding the effect of coconut oil cake
134 on the growth of gima kalmi have been presented with significant and relevant discussion in
135 this chapter. The chapter starts with mentioning the results on the influence of coconut oil
136 cake on different growth parameters of gima kalmi.

137

138 **3.1 PLANT NUMBER**

139

140 The average number of plants showed that the applied treatments (5, 10, 20) tonha^{-1} and
141 control 0 tonha^{-1} value were 3, 1.33, 2.67 and 2.33 respectively. The maximum plant number
142 was found for 5 tonha^{-1} and the minimum for 10 tonha^{-1} . Here the number of plants
143 decreased in the order of (5>20>0>10) tonha^{-1} which shown in Fig. 1. But there found an
144 insignificant change in treatments. This is a short-term effect of coconut oil cake but in case
145 of long-term effect, coconut oil cake will provide positive result. Decrease in plant number
146 was probably due to the production of organic acids during organic manure decomposition or
147 by nitrification [4, 9]. There found insignificant change where p value was 0.427.
148




149 **Fig. 1 Number of plants (gima kalmi) treated with different rates of coconut oil cake**
150 **application.**

151

152 3.2 PLANT HEIGHT

153

154 The height of plants showed that the applied treatments (5, 10, 20) tonha^{-1} and control 0
155 tonha^{-1} value were 10.5 cm, 7.78 cm, 12 cm and 19.22 cm respectively. The maximum
156 height was observed in 0 tonha^{-1} and the minimum height was observed in 10 tonha^{-1} . Here
157 the plant height decreased in the order of (0>20>5>10) tonha^{-1} which shown in Fig. 2. Here
158 found a significant change in treatments. Decrease in plant height in the 10 tonha^{-1} was
159 probably due to the production of organic acids during organic manure decomposition or by
160 nitrification [6, 9]. There found a significant change in treatment 0 tonha^{-1} to 10 tonha^{-1} but
161 insignificant between treatment 5 tonha^{-1} and 20 tonha^{-1} . Here the height of plants decreased
162 significantly where the p value was 0.017.
163



164 **Fig. 2** Changes in height of gima kalmi under no treatment and under 5, 10 and 20
 165 tonha⁻¹ level of coconut oil cake application.

166

167

3.3 LEAF NUMBER

168

169

170

171

172


173

174

175

176

The number of leaves is an important parameter. Leaf number showed significant variations by the application of organic manure treatments. The average number of leaves showed that the applied treatments (5, 10, 20) tonha⁻¹ and control 0 tonha⁻¹ value were 8.19, 4.67, 11.43 and 7.75 respectively. The maximum plant number was observed in 20 tonha⁻¹ and the minimum plant number was observed in 10 tonha⁻¹. Here the leaf number decreased in the order of (20>5>0>10) tonha⁻¹ which shown in Fig. 3. By the application of coconut oil cake, the growth performance of leaves in other treatments was shown better result than the control. Here the number of leaves increased significantly where p value was 0.012.



177

178

179

180

181

182

Fig. 3 Number of leaves of gima kalmi treated with different rates of coconut oil cake application.

183

184


185

186

3.4 FRESH WEIGHT

Fresh weight showed significant variations by the application of coconut oil cake as organic manure. The fresh weight of plants showed that the applied treatments (5, 10, 20) tonha⁻¹ and control 0 tonha⁻¹ value were 1.1 gm, 0.25 gm, 1.45 gm and 0.72 gm respectively. The maximum weight was found for 20 tonha⁻¹ and minimum weight for 10 tonha⁻¹ which shown

187 in Fig. 4. Fresh weight decreased in the order of (20>5>0>10) tonha^{-1} . There found a
188 significant change in 10 tonha^{-1} and 20 tonha^{-1} but there found an insignificant change in
189 treatment 0 tonha^{-1} and 5 tonha^{-1} . Decrease in fresh weight was probably due to the
190 production of organic acids during organic manure decomposition or by nitrification [9]. Here
191 the p value was 0.021.




192 **Fig. 4 Changes in fresh weight of gima kalmi under no treatment and under 5, 10, and**
193 **20 tonha^{-1} level of coconut oil cake application.**

194

195 3.5 THE pH OF POST-HARVEST SOIL

196

197 The pH of this study showed that the applied treatments (5, 10, 20) tonha^{-1} and control 0
198 tonha^{-1} soil value were 8.08, 7.98, 8.03 and 8.05 respectively. The highest value 8.08 was
199 found for 5 tonha^{-1} and lowest value 7.98 was found for in 10 tonha^{-1} which shown in Fig. 5.
200 So, most of the applied treatments reduced the pH of the studied soil. Decrease in soil pH
201 was probably due to the production of organic acids during organic manure decomposition or
202 by nitrification [6]. Here found insignificant change in pH values to the applied treatments.
203




204 **Fig. 5 Response of pH of post-harvest soil with different rates of coconut oil cake**
205 **application.**

206

207 3.6 EC OF POST-HARVEST SOIL

208 The EC of this study showed that the applied treatments (5, 10, 20) tonha^{-1} and control 0
209 tonha^{-1} soil value were 0.016 dSm^{-1} , 0.017 dSm^{-1} , 0.018 dSm^{-1} and 0.014 dSm^{-1}
210 respectively. The maximum value of EC was found for treatment 20 tonha^{-1} and minimum
211 value was found for control (0 tonha^{-1}) which is shown in Fig. 6. Here positive correlation
212 was found in different treatments. EC value of control was low compared to other treatments.
213 But the application of organic manure as coconut oil cake, EC value of soil was increased
214 with different treatments. This might be caused by the effect of coconut oil cake. EC of the
215 other three treatments was increased insignificantly compared to the control.




216 **Fig. 6 Response of EC of post-harvest soil with different rates of coconut oil cake**
217 **application.**

218
219
220

3.7 ORGANIC CARBON OF POST-HARVEST SOIL

221 The OC of this study showed that the applied treatments (5, 10, 20) tonha^{-1} and control 0
222 tonha^{-1} soil value were 2.73%, 2.68%, 3.09% and 2.08%. The organic carbon of the post-
223 harvest soil was influenced by different treatments and highly positive correlation were
224 found. The maximum organic carbon (3.09%) was obtained in 20 tonha^{-1} . The minimum
225 organic carbon was recorded in 0 tonha^{-1} and the value was 2.08% which shown in Fig. 7.
226 According to the results, all treatments of organic carbon showed positive effect. The
227 difference of OC among treatments was statistically insignificant. By the application of
228 organic manure as coconut oil cake substantially increased the organic carbon of the soil.
229 Here positive response of organic carbon was shown in Fig. 7. Organic carbon increased
230 due to the application of organic manure but insignificantly.



231 **Fig. 7 Response of organic carbon of post-harvest soil with different rates of coconut**
232 **oil cake application.**

233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246

247

248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282

4. CONCLUSION

The study was undertaken to assess the effect of coconut oil cake on growth response of gima kalmi and some chemical properties of soil. The target of application of organic fertilizers was twofold- first to obtain reasonable growth and second to increase soil fertility to optimum levels. Manures have very long-term effect on the soil because nutrients are released very slowly. After harvesting of the plants, the soil OC was found to be increased due to the application of coconut oil cake but insignificantly. The reason might be due to the production of organic acids during organic manure decomposition at its initial stage and due to the short-term effect of coconut oil cake. But different parameters of the plant such as leaf number, fresh weight of plants increased significantly.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Saadatinia H, Riahi H. Cyanobacteria from paddy fields in Iran as a biofertilizer in rice plants. *Plant, Soil and Environment*. 2009;55:207-212.
2. Moradi H, Fahramand M, Sobhkhizi A, Adibian M, Noori M, Abdollahi S, Rigi K. Effect of vermicompost on plant growth and its relationship with soil properties. *International Journal of Farming and Allied Sciences*. 2014;3(3):333-338.
3. Nardi S, Morari F, Berti A, Tosoni M, Giardini L. Soil organic matter properties after 40 years of different use of organic and mineral fertilizers. *European Journal of Agronomy*. 2004;21:357-367.
4. Altuhaish A, Hamim N, Tjahjoleksono A. Biofertilizer effects in combination with different drying system and storage period on growth and production of tomato plant under field conditions. *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture*. 2014;26(8):716-722.
5. Adesemoye AO, Torbert HA, Kloepper JW. Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria Allow Reduced Application Rates of Chemical Fertilizers. *Microbial Ecology*. 2009;58:921-929.
6. Inbar Y, Hadar Y, Chen Y. Recycling of cattle manure: the composting process and characterization of maturity. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 1993;22:857-863.
7. Oyedeji S, Animasaun DA, Bello AA, Agboola OO. Effect of NPK and poultry manure on growth, yield, and proximate composition of three amaranths. In: Ashraf MY (ed.). *Journal of Botany*. 2014;1-6.
8. Higa T. Effective Microorganisms: A biotechnology for mankind. Presented in the 2nd international conference on Kyusei nature farming at the university of soa paul, College of Agriculture; 1991.
9. Blay ET, Danquah EY, Ofosu-Anim J, Ntumy JK. Effect of poultry manure on the yield of shallot. *Advanced Horticulture Science*. 2002;16:13-16.
10. Husson O. Redox potential (Eh) and pH as drivers of soil/plant/microorganism systems: A trans disciplinary overview pointing to integrative opportunities for agronomy. 2013;362:389-417.
11. Islam MS. Use of Bioslurry as Organic Fertilizer in Bangladesh Agriculture. Prepared for the presentation at the International Workshop on the Use of Bioslurry Domestic Biogas Programme. Bangkok, Thailand; 2006.

283 12. USDA (United states Department of Agriculture). Soil survey laboratory manual. Soil
284 survey investigation report no. 42, version 4.0, USDA-NRCS, Nebraska, USA; 2004.
285 13. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India, Private Limited, New
286 Delhi. 1973;495-498.
287 14. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall, In: Englewood Cliffs, New
288 Jersey, USA; 1962.
289 15. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Adelaide University Press Australia; 1950.

290
291

UNDER PEER REVIEW