

Value Addition to Beans: The Case of Bean balls “akara” Production in Idemili South Local Government of Anambra State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

The great need to help homemakers in Nigeria better appreciate and prepare cowpea bean balls as snacks for income generation and women empowerment in Idemili South Local Government Area of Anambra State, Nigeria informed this study. The study examined socio-economic characteristics of the bean balls producers, profitability of production, determinants of profit, reasons for starting the business and constraints to production of bean balls in the area. Multi-stage, purposive and random sampling techniques were used to select 50 respondents. Data were collected using structured questionnaire and analyzed by means of descriptive and inferential statistics. Findings on socio economic factors of the respondents gave mean age, education level and years of experience of 42.4years, 13.5years and 8.9 years respectively; majority (92%) of the respondents were females, majority (60%) married and most (70%) had household size of 4-6 persons. The enterprise proved profitable with monthly mean net income and net return on investment values of ₦75,990 and 0.39 respectively. Significant determinants of net production returns were gender, educational level and costs of inputs. Main reasons for starting the business were scarcity of job, profitable nature of the business, high demand and small start-up capital. Serious constraints to production of bean balls in the area were conjunctivitis due to emitted smoke, high and unstable price of raw materials and high cost of production. Policy measures such as bulk purchasing of beans, regulation of market prices of product, provision of infrastructures (water, electricity e. t. c.), use of cooking gas as source of heat would mitigate the problems, improve productivity, output and enterprise profitability.

Keywords: Value addition, women empowerment, Bean balls, Anambra State, Nigeria

1. Introduction

Bean can be defined as the edible nutritious seed of any of various erect or climbing plants (as of the genera *Phaseolus* and *Vigna*) of the legume family. Bean is a common name for large seeds of several genera of the flowering plant family *Fabaceae* (also known as *Leguminosae*) which are used for human or animal food. International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA), 2009) reported that cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) is one of the most common varieties of beans; cowpea is a food and animal feed crop grown in the semi-arid tropics covering Africa, Asia, Europe, United States and Central and South America; the grains contain 25% protein, and several vitamins and minerals; the plant tolerates drought, performs well in a wide variety of soils, and being a legume replenishes low fertility soils when the roots are left to decay. It is grown mainly by small-scale farmers in developing regions where it is often cultivated

42 with other crops as it tolerates shade; it also grows and covers the ground quickly,
43 preventing erosion. Cowpea's high protein content, its adaptability to different types of
44 soil and intercropping systems, its resistance to drought, and its ability to improve soil
45 fertility and prevent erosion makes it an important economic crop in many developing
46 regions; all parts of the cowpea crop are used as all are rich in nutrients and fibre.

47 Value addition to an agricultural product involves the creation of form, place, time and
48 possession utilities on the product in order to improve its quality, functionality and
49 acceptability to the consumer (Ugwumba and Uzuegbunam, 2010). The process of
50 value adding necessitates additional expenses but ensures higher monetary benefits to
51 the operator. Okoh, Ugwumba and Elue (2008), stated that form utility is provided by
52 processing, place utility is provided by transportation, time utility is provided by
53 storage, and possession utility is provided by transfer of ownership to consumer. Value
54 addition therefore provides consumers satisfaction in terms of utility in addition to
55 enhancing the shelf life of the agricultural product. This, to a large extent minimizes
56 waste and post-harvest losses (Ashaver, 2008).

57 Black-eyed cowpea is the type of bean used in the production of bean-ball "Akara"
58 Black-eyed cowpea is cream colored but has a distinctive dark spot around the hilum.
59 Akara is a deep-fat fried ball prepared from whipped cowpea paste, flavoured with
60 pepper, onion and salt (Olapade, Ugokwe, Ozumba, Solomon, Olatunji and Adelaja,
61 2004). Whipping of the paste is usually done prior to the addition of other ingredients to
62 incorporate air and enhance the formation of stable foam (Hung and McWatters 1990).
63 Akara is the most common cowpea-based product in West Africa (Reber, 1983), which
64 makes its contribution to diet particularly significant. Blending and whipping are
65 important steps in processing of cowpea into akara. Akara is highly proteinous and
66 nutritious, consumed by virtually everybody in Nigeria; taken as snacks to school by
67 children and to work by adults; and consumed in the homes as breakfast. Ironically it is
68 rarely produced in the homes, instead it is mostly produced and sold by the street
69 vendors.

70 In spite of the abundant documented high nutrient content and health benefits of beans,
71 many people still go to so much length to avoid beans consumption for so many reasons
72 which include; high cost of beans, very long cooking/preparation time, high fuel/energy
73 (for cooking) requirements, lack of proper storage/preservation facilities, consumers
74 also tire of monotonous flavor, thus the need for this study; to identify the socio-
75 economic characteristics of the producers; profitability status of the enterprise;
76 determinants of profitability; producer's reason for starting the business and constraints
77 to production of bean balls in the study area.

78 **2. Research methodology**

79 **2.1 Description of the study area**

80 This study was carried out in Idemili South Local Government Area of Anambra State,
81 Nigeria. It is one of the 21 Local Government Areas (L.G.A) of the state. It covers a
82 total land area of about 139,000 km² and has a population of about 206,816 persons
83 living within this area. The people of Idemili South are predominantly farmers of
84 various crops and livestock and traders. They also engage in the production and
85 marketing of cowpea value-added products such as bean balls, “moi-moi”,etc. Bean
86 balls production is common and popular among female citizens of the seven town
87 communities in the area. It seems to be one of the desired food items in the area,
88 especially for breakfast.

89 **2.2 Sampling techniques and data collection instruments**

90 All the producers of bean balls in the study area constituted the study's population.
91 Simple random sampling technique was used for the selection; five communities were
92 selected out of the seven communities that make up the L.G.A; two villages were
93 selected from each of the five communities to arrive at 10 villages and finally, five bean
94 balls producers were selected from each of the selected villages to arrive at a sample
95 size of 50 producers for the study.

96 A set of structured and pretest questionnaires were used for the study. Data were
97 collected on the socio-economic characteristics of the producers such as gender, age of
98 producers, marital status, experience, level of education and size of family. Information
99 on reasons for starting up the business was also collected. Additional data were
100 collected on revenue and cost variables as well as constraints to bean balls production.
101 Descriptive statistical such as means, percentages, flow chart and frequencies were used
102 to achieve objective (i) socio economic characteristics of bean ball producers, objective
103 (v) producers reasons for starting the business and objective (vi) constraints to bean ball
104 production, Objective (iii), profitability was achieved by the use of budgetary method
105 and finally objective (iv), determinant of net production returns was achieved by means
106 of Ordinary least squares (O.L.S) regression

107 **2.3 The empirical model**

108 The empiric budgetary technique model deployed for profitability assessment for the
109 producers is given as:-

$$110 \quad VA / NI / Profit =$$

111

112 Where:

113 NPR = Net production returns;

114 Σ =Sum;

115 $P_{yj}Y_j$ = Price x quantity of respondent's output = Total revenue (TR);

116 $P_{xij}X_{ij}$ = Prices x quantities of respondent's variable inputs = total variable cost (TVC);

117 F_{ij} = Depreciation of equipment, annual rent for store, interest in loan, e.t.c. of
118 respondent = Total fixed cost (TFC);
119 TC = Total cost = TVC + TFC; and
120 NROI = Net return on investment = NPR/TC.

121 The multiple regression model employed to examine the influence of socio-economic
122 factors of bean ball producers on net production income is implicitly defined as:

123 $PDR = f(AGE, EDU, EXP, HOS, GEN, MAS, COI, QTP, SOB, POB, e)$

124 Where:

125 PDR = Production returns (₦);

126 AGE = Age (years);

127 EDU = Level of education (years);

128 EXP = Experience (years in the business);

129 HOS = Household size (number);

130 GEN = Gender (dummy: male = 1; female = 2);

131 MAS = Marital status (dummy: married = 1; otherwise = 2);

132 COI = Cost of inputs (₦);

133 QTP = Quantity produced (kg);

134 POB = Price of balls (dummy: ₦50 balls = 1; ₦10 balls = 2); and

135 e: Stochastic error term.

136 The regression model was fitted with the data and tried in four functional forms (linear,
137 exponential, semi log, and double log) and output of the form with the best result in
138 terms of economic, statistical and econometric criteria was chosen as the lead equation.

139 The explicit versions of the functional forms are given as:

140 Linear: $NFI = \beta_0 + \beta_1AGE + \beta_2EDU + \beta_3EXP + \beta_4HOS + \beta_5GEN + \beta_6MAS + \beta_7COI +$
141 $\beta_8QTP + \beta_{10}POB + e$

142 Exponential: $\ln NFI = \beta_0 + \beta_1AGE + \beta_2EDU + \beta_3EXP + \beta_4HOS + \beta_5GEN + \beta_6MAS +$
143 $\beta_7COI + \beta_8QTP + \beta_{10}POB + e$

144 Semi-log: $NFI = \beta_0 + \beta_1\ln AGE + \beta_2\ln EDU + \beta_3\ln EXP + \beta_4\ln HOS + \beta_5\ln GEN +$
145 $\beta_6\ln MAS + \beta_7\ln COI + \beta_8\ln QTP + \beta_{10}\ln POB + e$

146 Double-log: $\ln NFI = \beta_0 + \beta_1\ln AGE + \beta_2\ln EDU + \beta_3\ln EXP + \beta_4\ln HOS + \beta_5\ln GEN +$
147 $\beta_6\ln MAS + \beta_7\ln COI + \beta_8\ln QTP + \beta_{10}\ln POB + e$

148

149 **3. Results and Discussion**

150 **3.1 Socio-economic characteristics of bean ball producers**

151 The socio-economic factors of the producers, as summarized in Table1, showed that
152 bean ball production was dominated by women (92%). A mean age of 43 years with
153 minimum of 18 years and maximum of 60 years were recorded. A maximum formal
154 educational attainment of 18 years, minimum of zero year and mean of 9 years were
155 also computed for the producers. On the average, the producers acquired production
156 experience of 13.5 years with the least and most experienced marketers gaining years of

157 experience of 1year and 35 years respectively. Majority (60%) of the respondents were
 158 married with a mean average family size of 5 person. The result implied that most of the
 159 producers were young, educated and experienced women who had marital
 160 responsibilities at home. The result corroborates Onuk *et al* (2014); Ugwumba *et*
 161 *al.*(2014); and Gyang & Ojoko (2012), that production of bean ball and other
 162 convenience food were dominated by young, energetic, educated, experienced female
 163 producers.

164 **Table 1: Socio- economic characteristics of bean balls producers (N=50)**

165 Variables	Percentage	Mean
166 Gender		
167 Male	8.0	
168 Female	92.0	
169 Age		
170 18-20	4.0	
171 21-30	10.0	
172 31-40	32.0	42.4
173 41-50	22.0	
174 Above 50	32.0	
175 Marital status		
176 Married	60.0	
177 Single	14.0	
178 Widow	26.0	
179 Production Experience		
180 1-10	50.0	
181 11-20	26.0	13.5
182 21-30	18.0	
183 31 and above	6.0	
184 Educational Level		
185 Primary (1-6)	26.0	
186 Secondary (7-12)	60.0	8.8
187 Tertiary (13-18)	14.0	
188 Household size		
189 1-3	6.0	
190 4-6	70.0	5.7
191 7-10	24.0	

192 **Source: Field survey, 2018.**

193 **3.2 Cost and returns of bean ball production**

194 The estimated monthly profitability of bean ball producers is shown in Table 2. The
 195 result showed that the total variable cost incurred was ₦28,575,305 and constituted
 196 98.8% of the total cost. A total revenue of ₦13,428,120 was realized by the producers
 197 after spending ₦9,628,610 to make a profit of ₦3,799,510. A mean net incomes, return
 198 on investment and net return on investment figures of ₦75,990; 1.39 & 0.39 were made.
 199 The net return on investment figures implies that the producers realized 0.39kobo on
 200 every 100 kobo expended on the enterprise in a month and the result proved the

201 enterprise profitable. This result agrees with the study carried out by Ugwumba and
 202 Uzuegbunam (2010) on Soymilk production from Soyabeans in Awka Agricultural zone
 203 in Anambra State, Nigeria as it attest to higher profitability status of value addition to
 204 agricultural products.

205

206 **Table 2: Estimated cost and returns of bean balls production (n=50)**

Variable	Total amount (₦)
207 Total Revenue (TR)	13,428,120
208 Total Variable cost (TVC)	9,521,230
209 Total fixed cost (TFC)	108,380
210 Total cost (TC)	9,628,610
211 Gross Margin (GM)= (TR-TVC)	3,906,890
212 Net income (NI) = TR-TC	3,799,510
213 Mean net income= NI/n	75,990.2
214 Return on investment (ROI= TR/TC)	1.39
215 Net return on investment= (NROI=NI/TC)	0.39

216 **Source: Field survey, 2018.**

217

218

219 3.3 Determinants of net production returns

220 Table 3 indicates the output of the four functional forms (linear, exponential, semi-log,
 221 and double-log) of the regression model on estimated determinants of net production
 222 returns for bean ball producers. The MINITAB Statistical software was used to run the
 223 regression. As shown in the table, the output of exponential form produced the best
 224 result in terms of numbers, signs and sizes of the parameter estimates and was chosen as
 225 the lead equation. The R^2 value of 72.3% indicated that 72.3% of variations in net
 226 production returns realized by the producers was attributed to variations in the
 227 independent variables while the remaining 27.7% were due to random disturbance. The
 228 F-statistic value was statistically significant, an indication that the independent variables
 229 collectively exerted significant influence on the net production return and that the model
 230 was a good fit for the data.

231 Out of the nine exogenous variables in the model, only three variables (gender,
 232 educational level and cost of inputs) exerted significant influences on net production
 233 returns. The coefficient of gender was negative and statistically significant at 5% level.
 234 This result is in agreement with the *apriori* expectations and implied that female
 235 producers were more likely to perform better in the business and realize more net
 236 production return than the male producers. Educational level had positive and
 237 significant relationship with net production return at 10% probability level in
 238 accordance with *apriori* expectations. Cost of inputs was positive and had statistically
 239 significant influence on net production return at 1.0% probability level in accordance

240 with *a priori* expectations. This implies that the higher the amount spent by a producer
 241 to increase production, the higher quantity returns expected from the business.

242

243 **Table 3: Influence of socio-economic factors of the respondents on net production**
 244 **income**

Predictor	Linear	Exp.	Semi-log	Double-log
245 Constant	32169	4.8081	-8754	1.282
246	(0.36)	(15.93)	(-2.16)	(1.20)
247 GEN	-33449	-0.1925	-8158	-0.5315
248	(-1.23)	(-2.10)**	(-0.68)	(-1.61)
249 AGE	-2389	-0.0041	-8511	-0.2129
250	(-1.18)	(-1.03)	(-0.62)	(-
251 0.2129)				
252 MAS	-1.1110	-0.0097	-4636	-
253 0.0670				
254	(-0.70)	(-0.18)	(-0.68)	(-
255 0.34)				
256 EDU	3469	0.0139		4303
257 0.2142				
258	(1.40)		(1.67)***	(-0.68)
259 (0.79)				
260 EXP		2858	0.0057	5038
261 0.0831				
262	(1.95)***		(1.16)	(1.00)
263 (0.57)				
264 COI	0.4837		0.000014	1813
265 0.4833				
266	(2.98)*	(2.54)**	(1.95)**	(1.78)***
267 HHS	-6962	-0.023	-4367	-
268 0.1917				
269	(-1.45)		(-1.41)	(0.58)
270 (0.87)				
271 POB	26330		-0.0134	9098
272 0.0471				
273	(1.11)		(-0.17)	(0.81)
274 (0.14)				
275 QTP	55.1		0.00048	43310
276 0.4349				
277	(0.55)		(1.40)	(0.55)
278 (1.89)***				
279 R ²	68.7%		72.3%	48.8%
280 63.9%				
281 R ² (Adjusted)	61.6%		66.0%	33.6%
282 55.8%				
283 F-statistics	9.74		11.58	3.76
284 7.86				
285 D-W Statistics	1.88		1.97	1.77
286 1.66				

287 Source: Survey data, 2018. Note: Figure in () are t-ratios. D-W stat = Durbin-Watson
288 Statistic.

289

290

291 3.4 Producers' Reasons for Starting the business

292 Table 4 shows producers' reasons for starting the business. Findings from table
293 indicated that lack of job (M= 2.7), profitability of the business (M=2.6), high demand
294 of bean balls in the area (M=2.54) and small capital startup (M=2.51) as the major
295 reasons why the producers starts up the business. The rest were considered minor
296 reasons for starting up the business.

297

298

299

300 **Table 4: Producer's reasons for starting the business**

	Reasons	Percentage	Mean	Rank
301	Lack of job	22	2.7	1 st
302	Profitability of the business	20	2.6	2 nd
303	High Demand	16	2.54	3 rd
304	Small startup capital	14	2.51	4 th
305	Easy entry into the business	12	1.96	5 th
306	Availability of raw material	10	1.94	6 th
307	Easy to produce and market	6	1.76	7 th

308 **Source: Field Survey, 2018**

309

310 3.5 Constraints to the production of bean balls

311 The bean balls production in the area was constrained by factors which are shown in
312 Table 5. Findings indicated that the problems include: conjunctivitis due to the emitting
313 of smoke (M= 2.7), high and unstable price of raw materials (M= 2.52) and high cost of
314 production (M= 2.50) ranked highest and were perceived as the most serious constraints
315 to the business. The problems of drudgery in production (M= 1.9), Power failure (M=
316 1.7), Inadequate storage facilities (M= 1.6), Losses due to fast deterioration (M= 1.6),
317 Insufficient capital (M= 1.4), Poor sales (M= 1.4).

318

319 **Table 5: Constraints to the production of bean ball**

	Reasons	percentage	mean	rank
320	Conjunctivitis due to the emitting of smoke	28	2.70	1 st
321	High and unstable price of raw materials	20	2.52	2 nd
322	High cost of production	14	2.50	3 rd
323	Drudgery in production	10	1.9	4 th
324	Power failure	8	1.7	5 th
325	Inadequate storage facilities	6	1.6	6 th
326	Loss due to deterioration of product	6	1.6	6 th

327	Poor sales	4	1.4	7 th
328	Insufficient capital	4	1.4	7 th

329 **Source: Field Survey, 2018.**

330

331 **4. Conclusion and Recommendations**

332 The bean ball production proved to be a profitable enterprise in the study area.
 333 Nevertheless, the efficiency and profitability would be improved if the constraints
 334 identified by the study are addressed as it will encourage many more people especially
 335 young, active and viable individuals, to venture into the enterprise.

336 The producer should make use of source of heat that emits little or no smoke such as gas
 337 cooker and kerosene stove for the safety of their health and eyes. Rehabilitation and
 338 construction of access and feeder roads within the study area and formation of
 339 cooperative groups by the producers in order to regulate market prices of products and
 340 improve access to government and other non-governmental credit facilities will
 341 sustainably enhance the producers profit and livelihood.

342

343 **References**

- 344 Gyang TD, & Ojoko EA, 2012. Analysis of the structural characteristics of sweet
 345 orange market in Kano Metropolis, ASN. 46th Annual Conference, Bayero
 346 University, Kano, Pp 134 – 138.
- 347 Hung YC & Mc Watters KH, 1990. Effects of holding time on the functionality of
 348 cowpea paste and quality of “akara”. *Journal of Food Science*, 55(2):558-559.
- 349 International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), 2009. Enhancing nutritional
 350 value. Retrieved from <http://www.iita.org/cowpea>.
- 351 Okoh RN, Ugwumba COA & Elue HO, 2008. Gender roles in foodstuff marketing in
 352 Delta North Agricultural Zone: The case of rice. In J. C. Ume, C. P. Obinne &
 353 W. Lawal (Eds), *Prospects and challenges of adding value to Agricultural*
 354 *products: Proceedings of the 22nd Annual National Conference of Farm*
 355 *Management Association of Nigeria (FAMAN)*,(pp. 114-123), Markudi,
 356 Nigeria.
- 357 Olapade AA, Ugokwe PU, Ozumba AU, Solomon HM, Olatunji O & Adelaja SO, 2004
 358 Physico-Chemical Properties of Premixes for Preparation of “Akara”. *Nigerian*
 359 *Food Journal* (22): 54-59.
- 360 Onuk EG, Shailong CN, Beshi BA & Adgizi EA, 2014. Social-economic determinants
 361 of supply and demand for convenience foods (okpa, moi-moi and meat Pie) in
 362 Lafia Urban of Nassarawa State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Agricultural*
 363 *Management and Development*, 4(4):287-296.
- 364 Reber EF, Eboh L, Aladeselu A, Brown WA. & Marshall DD, 1983. Development of
 365 high-protein low-cost Nigerian foods. *Journal of Food Science*, 48(2):1-7.
- 366 Ugwumba COA & Onwuemeodo JC, 2014. Fermented cassava flour marketing in
 367 Owerri Metropolis of Imo State, Nigeria. *SJ. Agric. Vet. Sc. (SJAVS)*,1(2): 100-
 368 104

369 Ugwumba COA. & Uzuegbunam CO, 2010. Value addition to soybean (Glycine Max):
370 A case study of traditional small scale soymilk production in Awka Agricultural
371 Zone, Anambra State, Nigeria. *Proceedings of the 24th Annual National*
372 *Conference of Farm Management Association of Nigeria, 11th-14th October,*
373 *2010*
374
375
376

UNDER PEER REVIEW