

Original Research Article

Evaluation and comparative study of the nutritional profile and antioxidant potential of new quinoa varieties

ABSTRACT

Aims: Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) is an ancient crop known for its high nutritive potential. The goal of the present work is to study the nutritional composition, identify some antinutritional factors and antioxidant compounds, and evaluate their antioxidant activity in four advanced lines of quinoa seeds obtained in experimental plots.

Methodology: For this purpose, proteins, total lipids, fiber, moisture, ash and carbohydrates, as well as fatty acid composition and mineral content, were determined in whole meal flours of these advanced lines. The presence of trypsin inhibitors, saponins, nitrates, oxalates and phytate was also evaluated, as well as total phenols and antioxidant activity.

Results: These new quinoa varieties have good nutritional properties, with high protein content in comparison to cereals. In this work, the analysis of proximate and mineral profile of quinoa showed that this pseudocereal has a similar profile but significantly higher than rice, a traditional cereal. Quinoa is a rich source of magnesium, iron, manganese, copper and molybdenum, which are elements that are deficient in almost all gluten-free cereals. The tests performed on the evaluated antinutrient compounds resulted within the acceptable values for human consumption.

The seed extract showed a total phenol content between 43.42 ± 1.35 and 25.82 ± 1.47 mg of gallic acid equivalent/100 g dry weight. The antioxidant activities were estimated by DPPH, β carotene and nitric oxide scavenging activity. The results of the methanolic extract were, in average, 88.95 for %DPPH, 26.56 for % β carotene, and varied between 85.82 ± 8.32 to 22.20 ± 1.80 for %NO.

27 **Conclusion:** Therefore, it can be concluded that the new quinoa lines obtained in the
28 central-west region of Argentina, which present agronomic advantages, are safe for
29 human consumption and beneficial due to the content of nutrients and bioactive
30 compounds that exert protection against many diseases.

31

32

33 **Keywords:** Quinoa; nutrient; antinutrient; phenols; antioxidant activity.

34

35 **1. INTRODUCTION**

36 Recent studies have highlighted the need of improving the nutritional quality of cereal-
37 based gluten-free products. There are many gluten-free grains, such as amaranth,
38 quinoa and buckwheat pseudocereals, which are characterized by an excellent
39 nutritional profile [1].

40 Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) is a native pseudocereal in the Andean region. The
41 cultivation of the plant goes back to at least 5000 years, being the main food of the
42 entire Inca Empire. Currently, quinoa is under an expansion process, due to that it
43 represents a great potential for global food security. Quinoa belongs to the genus
44 *Chenopodium* of the *Chenopodiaceae* family, and is widely distributed worldwide, with
45 around 250 species. Furthermore, it has demonstrated to be a strategic crop due its
46 wide genetic diversity, which allows it to adapt to diverse agro-climatic and soil
47 conditions [2].

48 The grain is the most consumed part, and represents an excellent resource of
49 macronutrients, in particular proteins with high content of essential amino acids, thus,
50 differentiating themselves from traditional cereals. In addition, it represents a good
51 source of micronutrients, such as vitamins and minerals [3].

52 Many studies have demonstrated that quinoa seeds present a significant
53 polyphenols content (such as flavonoids and phenolic acids) with antioxidant capacity
54 [4, 5], and other bioactive compounds with beneficial health effects.

55 Another characteristic of this grain is the presence of antinutritional factors such as
56 saponins, proteases inhibitors and lectins [6]. These compounds can be responsible of
57 affecting proteins digestibility and nutrients availability; nevertheless, they are attributed
58 with bioactive properties.

59 Quinoa, along with amaranth and buckwheat, is recommended by the World
60 Gastroenterology Organization in celiac patient's diets, given that they are gluten-free
61 cereals [7]. Alvarez-Jubete et al. [1] also recommend the use of quinoa and amaranths
62 as possible healthy ingredients to enrich the nutritional value of gluten-free baked
63 goods.

64 The United Nations General Assembly declared the year 2013 as the
65 "International Year of Quinoa", in recognition to its elevated nutritional quality, genetic
66 diversity and potential role in poverty eradication [8]. In addition, in 2011, the FAO
67 classified quinoa as one of the promising crops that can contribute to food security in
68 the 21st century [9].

69 The goal of the present work is to characterize the nutritional composition,
70 identify some antinutritional factors and antioxidant compounds, and evaluate their
71 antioxidant activity in four advanced lines of quinoa seeds obtained in experimental
72 plots, which is important considering that the new varieties studied in this work have
73 agronomic advantages that improve the crop and the resistance towards some
74 pathogens.

75

76 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

77 **2.1 Sample and reagents**

78 Work was performed on seeds of advanced lines (LAQ) of *Chenopodium quinoa*
79 (LAQc/31, LAQb/41, LAQf/104 and LAQp/16), from experimental crops of the Faculty
80 of Agronomy and Veterinary of the National University of Río Cuarto, Córdoba,
81 Argentina (2016 vintage). The dry seeds were ground and sieved, obtaining a beige-
82 color whole flour, which was conserved in a hermetically sealed container, protected
83 from light, and stored at 4°C. All reagents were of analytical grade. The analyses were
84 performed in triplicate, and the mean value of dry matter was obtained.

85 **2.2 Proximate chemical composition**

86 The determination of proteins, lipids, dietary fiber, moisture and ashes, was performed
87 according to the methodology proposed by the AOAC [10]. The carbohydrates content
88 was calculated by difference.

89 **2.3 Minerals**

90 Mineral elements quantification was performed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical
91 Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES). The standards and reagents used were of
92 spectroscopic grade. The procedure was carried out following the methodology used
93 by Aguilar et al. [11].

94 **2.4 Fatty acids**

95 Fatty acids were determined as methyl esters by gas chromatography [12,13]. For their
96 analysis, the chromatographic method was applied in a Varian chromatograph
97 (Berkeley, NC) with a 10% SP-2330 packed column and flame ionization detector.
98 Standard solutions of fatty acids were acquired from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

99 **2.5 Antinutrients**

100 The determined antinutrients were: antitryptic factors [14], saponins [15], nitrates [16],
101 oxalates [17] and phytates [18].

102 **2.6 Total phenol**

103 The extraction of total phenols was performed from flour using a 1.2 mol/L HCl, 50%
104 methanol:water solution. The sample was heated at 90 °C for 3 h, and then cooled and

105 diluted with methanol [19]. The supernatant was used for the determination of phenols
106 and antioxidant activity. The determination of total phenols was performed using Folin
107 Ciocalteu reagent with gallic acid as standard. The absorbance was measured at 750
108 nm (UV-vis Beckman DK-2^a). The results were expressed as mg/100 g of dry weight of
109 gallic acid equivalent [20].

110 **2.7 Antioxidant activity**

111 The DPPH scavenging assay is related to the sample capacity to inhibit the action
112 of free radicals generated by a 0.004% methanolic solution of 1,1-diphenyl-2-
113 picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) [21]. In this case, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm.

114 The β -carotene scavenging assay involves measuring β -carotene bleaching at
115 470 nm, resulting from the β -carotene oxidation by linoleic acid degradation products at
116 50 °C [22]. The absorbance at 470 nm was taken at time zero ($t = 0$), and then
117 measured at 15 min intervals until the color of β -carotene disappeared in the control
118 tube ($t = 60$ min). A mixture prepared without β -carotene served as blank.

119 Nitric oxide scavenging activity uses sodium nitroprusside in 0.02 mol/L
120 phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) to generate nitric oxide (NO), which interacts with oxygen to
121 produce stable nitrite ions. These ions can be estimated by using Griess reagent at 542
122 nm [23].

123 In all cases, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) was used as positive control, and
124 the results were expressed as percentage (%) of radical scavenging activity (RSA).

125 **2.8 Statistical analysis**

126 Results were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Statistical differences were
127 tested by variance analysis ANOVA, and the means were compared using the Tukey
128 test. Probabilities of 0.05 or less indicate significant difference [24].

129

130 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

131 The presence of macronutrients, minerals, antinutrients and bioactive compounds such
132 as polyphenols, was studied in seeds of advanced lines of *Chenopodium quinoa*:
133 LAQc/31, LAQb/41, LAQf/104 and LAQp/16.

134 Several studies have confirmed that quinoa has high-quality protein in terms of
135 digestibility and nutritional balance, presenting a high biological value due to the
136 balanced composition of essential amino acids similar to casein, the milk protein [2].
137 Specifically, quinoa proteins are rich in lysine (6.2 g / 100 g of protein) and threonine
138 (4.8 g / 100 g of protein), which are usually the limiting amino acids in conventional
139 cereals [25].

140 In addition, these pseudocereals are characterized by having a high lipid content
141 with respect to common cereals, with a high proportion of unsaturated fatty acids.
142 Linoleic acid is the most abundant fatty acid in quinoa (50%), followed by oleic acid
143 (25%) [1].

144 It is known that the consumption of natural foods rich in dietary fiber is beneficial for
145 maintaining a good health [26]. Studies have demonstrated that pseudocereals like
146 quinoa present fiber levels comparable to the ones found in common cereals [1].

147 Table 1 shows the proximate chemical composition of the quinoa lines under
148 study. The highest protein content found was of 17.29 ± 0.37 g/100 g (LAQb/41), 30%
149 higher than the line that presented the lowest value (LAQc/31). The highest fat content
150 was of 9.48 ± 0.75 g/100 g (LAQb/41), being 24% higher than for LAQp/16. The
151 highest carbohydrate content was of 68.77 ± 0.31 g/100 g (LAQf/104), being 11%
152 higher than for LAQb/41. The highest crude fiber content was of 3.17 ± 0.03 g/100 g
153 (LAQf/104), being 26% higher than for LAQp/16. The values and variations found
154 between lines are also in agreement with the ones published by other authors [1, 27,
155 28]. It is noteworthy that the content of quinoa nutrients varies significantly between
156 lines. In the available literature, there is no clear foundation for such differences. Some
157 possible explanations are attributed to the interaction of several factors, such as the

158 crop genetics, the analytical methods used for the determination, and the
 159 environmental conditions [26].

160 **Table 1. Proximate chemical composition (g/100 g) of quinoa grains**

Nutrient	LAQc/31	LAQb/41	LAQf/104	LAQp/16	Mean
Moisture	9.14±0.52 ^a	6.06±0.23 ^b	7.57±0.06 ^c	5.99±0.06 ^b	7.19
Total protein	12.14±0.20 ^a	17.29±0.37 ^b	13.13±0.06 ^c	16.15±0.14 ^d	14.67
Total fat	9.02±0.39 ^{ab}	9.48±0.75 ^{ac}	7.75±0.21 ^{bcd}	6.82±0.11 ^d	8.27
Ash	2.32±0.17 ^a	5.87±0.03 ^b	2.77±0.10 ^a	6.30±0.14 ^b	4.31
Crude fiber	3.12±0.11 ^a	2.55±0.14 ^b	3.17±0.03 ^a	2.36±0.19 ^b	2.80
Carbohydrates*	65.84±1.28 ^{ab}	61.28±0.92 ^c	68.77±0.31 ^a	63.23±1.68 ^{bc}	64.53

161 * Calculated as: 100 - (moisture + protein + fat + ash).

162 LAQc/31, LAQb/41, LAQf/104, LAQp/16: advanced lines of *Chenopodium quinoa*

163 Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation from three replicates.

164 Values that do not share letters in common are significantly different by the Tukey's
 165 test ($P = .05$).

166 Compared in Table 2 are the average values of the proximate chemical
 167 composition of quinoa, amaranth and rice, provided by the composition tables of the
 168 United States Department of Agriculture database, 2013 [29].

169 **Table 2. Proximate chemical composition (g/100 g) of quinoa grains, compared to**
 170 **uncooked quinoa, uncooked amaranth grain, and unenriched and uncooked rice**
 171 **white, in the USDA nutrient database**

Nutrient	Mean LAQ	Quinoa (uncooked)	Amaranth grain	Rice (white, unenriched)
----------	----------	----------------------	-------------------	-----------------------------

			(uncooked)	and uncooked)
Moisture	7.19	13.28	11.29	10.46
Total protein	14.67	14.12	13.56	6.81
Total lipid	8.27	6.07	7.02	0.55
Carbohydrates	64.53	64.16	65.25	81.68

172 USDA nutrient database (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research
173 Service, 2013).

174

175 Water content was lower in LAQ with respect to the compared grains. In LAQ,
176 the total protein values are within the range informed for pseudocereals (quinoa and
177 amaranth), and two times higher than traditional cereals such as rice. The total lipids
178 results informed for LAQ were slightly higher with respect to pseudocereals, and 14
179 times higher with respect to rice. Carbohydrate data are within the range informed for
180 pseudocereals and slightly lower than rice. The USDA nutrient database did not
181 informed ashes nor crude fiber.

182 Table 3 shows the LAQs fatty acid composition. These grains have a relatively
183 high amount of unsaturated fatty acids. They present a high content of omega 6 linoleic
184 acid, 54.30 mg/g in average, followed by omega 3 linolenic acid, 5.55 mg/g in average.

185 **Table 3. Fatty acids composition (mg/g total lipid) of quinoa grains**

Fatty acid	LAQc/31	LAQb/41	LAQf/104	LAQp/16	Mean
14:0 (myristic acid)	0.20±0.01 ^a	0.30±0.01 ^a	0.20±0.08 ^a	0.30±0.01 ^a	0.25

16:0 (palmitic acid)	11.00±0.55 ^a	11.00±0.43 ^a	10.00±0.40 ^{ab}	11.60±0.60 ^{ac}	10.90
18:0 (stearic acid)	0.50±0.02 ^a	0.80±0.04 ^a	0.60±0.03 ^{ab}	0.60±0.03 ^{ac}	0.62
18:1(cis-vaccenic acid)	1.40±0.07 ^a	1.30±0.06 ^a	1.30±0.07 ^a	1.40±0.06 ^a	1.35
18:1(oleic acid)	15.40±0.7 ^a	19.50±0.92 ^b	20.30±1.01 ^b	15.50±0.62 ^a	17.67
18:2 (linoleic acid)	55.40±2.5 ^a	53.70±1.8 ^a	53.60±1.70 ^a	54.50±1.80 ^a	54.30
18:2 (trans linoleic acid)	0.20±0.01 ^a	0.20±0.01 ^a	0.20±0.01 ^a	0.2±0.01 ^a	0.20
18:3 (linolenic acid)	6.20±0.30 ^a	4.80±0.25 ^a	4.80±0.22 ^a	6.40±0.31 ^a	5.55
20:0 (araquidic acid)	0.50±0.02 ^a	0.50±0.02 ^a	0.50±0.02 ^a	0.60±0.03 ^b	0.52
20:1(eicosanoic acid)	1.30±0.06 ^a	1.50±0.05 ^a	1.50±0.06 ^a	1.50±0.04 ^a	1.45
22:0 (docosanoic acid)	1.20±0.06 ^a	1.00±0.04 ^b	0.90±0.05 ^b	1.30±0.06 ^a	1.10
22:1 (erucic acid)	1.80±0.09 ^a	1.80±0.08 ^b	2.00±0.10 ^b	1.70±0.08 ^b	1.82

24:0 (lignoceric acid)	0.50±0.21 ^a	0.50±0.02 ^a	0.40±0.02 ^b	0.60±0.02 ^c	0.50
24:1 (nervonic acid)	0.30±0.01 ^a	0.30±0.01 ^a	0.30±0.01 ^a	0.30±0.01 ^a	0.30
Unidentified	4.10	2.80	3.40	3.50	3.45
Total saturated fatty acids	13.90	14.10	12.60	15.00	13.90
Total monounsaturated fatty acids	20.20	24.40	25.40	20.40	22.60
Total polyunsaturated fatty acids	61.18	58.60	58.60	61.10	59.90
unsat/sat ratio	5.85	5.89	6.66	5.43	5.95

186 LAQc/31, LAQb/41, LAQf/104, LAQp/16: advanced lines of *Chenopodium quinoa*

187 Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation from three replicates.

188 Values that do not share letters in common are significantly different by the Tukey's
189 test ($P = .05$).

190 In Table 4, it can be observed that the linoleic acid composition of LAQ is
191 almost double the informed by the USDA for quinoa and amaranth pseudocereal, and
192 28 times higher than in rice.

193 The linolenic acid composition of LAQ is double the informed for quinoa, 13
194 times higher than in amaranth and much higher than in rice. These results follow the
195 tendency reported in the literature [2, 30, 1].

196 **Table 4. Fatty acid composition (mg/g total lipid) of quinoa grains, compared to**
 197 **uncooked quinoa, uncooked amaranth grain, and uncooked and unenriched**
 198 **white rice, in the USDA nutrient database**

Fatty acid	Mean LAQ	Quinoa (uncooked)	Amaranth Grain (uncooked)	Rice (white, unenriched and uncooked)
18:2 (linoleic acid)	54.3	29.77	27.36	1.89
18:3 (linolenic acid)	5.55	2.60	0.42	0.08
Total saturated	13.90	7.06	14.59	11.10
Total monosaturated	22.60	16.13	16.85	20.00
Total polyunsaturated	59.90	32.92	27.78	19.80
unsat/sat ratio	5.95	6.94	3.06	3.58

199 USDA nutrient database (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research
 200 Service, 2013).

201 Presented in Table 5 are the results of the main and trace essential minerals of
 202 nutritional interest, and of chemical elements, such as zinc and copper, with antioxidant
 203 activity. It is noteworthy the levels (mg/100g) of magnesium (204.36), calcium (54.76),
 204 iron (4.51), manganese (2.66), copper (0.56), molybdenum (0.03) and zinc (2.24).
 205 Potassium and phosphorus contents were above the upper limit of quantification. The
 206 data obtained for the minerals of LAQ are adequate for grains, being in the order of the
 207 reported in the literature for quinoa [31, 28]. Calcium, magnesium and iron are minerals

208 that are deficient in gluten-free products; pseudocereals such as quinoa are usually a
 209 good source of these elements and other important minerals [1]. However, it can be
 210 observed that the mineral values vary significantly, and this can be explained by the
 211 same factors that affect the nutritional composition of vegetable foods such as
 212 cultivation and climatic conditions, as well as the analytical determination methods.

213 **Table 5. Minerals (mg/100 g) of quinoa grains**

	Element	LAQc/31	LAQb/41	LAQf/104	LAQp/16	Mean
	K	> ULOQ	> ULOQ	> ULOQ	> ULOQ	> ULOQ
	Ca	52.54±1.20 ^a	53.29±1.30 ^a	50.55±0.90 ^a	64.62±1.70 ^b	54.76
Main	Mg	205.67±8.10 ^a	197.22±6.30 ^{ab}	217.54±5.20 ^{ac}	198.27±7.10 ^{ab}	204.36
Essential	P	> ULOQ	> ULOQ	> ULOQ	> ULOQ	> ULOQ
Elements	Na	13.09±0.60 ^{ab}	12.82±1.10 ^{ab}	8.08±0.90 ^c	14.56±1.80 ^{ab}	11.53
	Fe	4.82±0.12 ^a	4.50±0.06 ^b	4.09±0.10 ^c	4.70±0.08 ^{ab}	4.51
	Mn	2.19±0.07 ^a	3.05±0.09 ^b	2.37±0.06 ^a	3.32±0.11 ^c	2.66
	Zn	2.00±0.05 ^a	2.49±0.12 ^b	2.05±0.08 ^a	2.53±0.16 ^b	2.24
Trace	Cr	0.011±0.003 ^a	0.014±0.007 ^a	0.018±0.009 ^a	0.011±0.006 ^a	0.01
Essential	Cu	0.551±0.01 ^a	0.594±0.03 ^a	0.457±0.02 ^c	0.706±0.01 ^d	0.56
Elements	Mo	0.04±0.001 ^a	0.072±0.005 ^b	0.018±0.007 ^c	0.038±0.003 ^a	0.03
(oligoelem	Se	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD
ents)	I	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD	<LOD

214 LAQc/31, LAQb/41, LAQf/104, LAQp/16: advanced lines of *Chenopodium quinoa*

215 Results are expressed as mean ± standard deviation for analysis in three replicates.

216 Values that do not share letters in common are significantly different by Tukey's test
217 ($P = .05$).

218 ULOQ: upper limit of quantification.

219 LOD: limit of detection.

220 In Table 6, it can be observed that the pseudocereals studied by the USDA,
221 have 7 times more potassium and phosphorus than rice. According to this database,
222 magnesium in the LAQs was in the order reported for quinoa and amaranth, being 9
223 times higher than rice. Calcium was in the order of quinoa, 3 times lower than
224 amaranth and 5 times higher than rice. Iron presented a tendency similar to calcium.
225 Manganese, copper and zinc were similar in the three pseudocereals, presenting an
226 amount of almost 3 times higher than rice. All analyzed samples are considered as of
227 low-sodium content according to the publications of the WHO [32].

228 **Table 6. Minerals (mg/100 g) of quinoa grains compared to uncooked quinoa,**
229 **uncooked amaranth grain, and uncooked and unenriched white rice, in the USDA**
230 **nutrient database**

Element	Mean LAQ	Quinoa (uncooked)	Amaranth grain (uncooked)	Rice (white, Unenriched and uncooked)
K	> ULOQ	563.00	508.00	77.00
Ca	54.76	47.00	159.00	11.00
Mg	204.36	197.00	248.00	23.00
P	> ULOQ	457.00	557.00	71.00
Na	11.53	5.00	4.00	7.00
Fe	4.51	4.57	7.61	1.60
Mn	2.66	2.03	3.33	0.97

Zn	2.24	3.10	2.87	1.20
Cu	0.56	0.59	0.52	0.17
Se	<LOD	8.50	18.70	15.10

231 USDA nutrient database (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research
232 Service, 2013).

233 ULOQ: upper limit of quantification.

234 LOD: limit of detection.

235 In general, it is known that pseudocereals cover higher nutritional demands than
236 traditional cereals. In Table 7, it can be observed the contribution of quinoa to the
237 intake of macronutrients and chemical elements. According to the international
238 nutritional requirements, the LAQs provide, for every 100 g of intake, 26% of the
239 required daily proteins for adults, which is a high content compared to cereals, and
240 50% of carbohydrates.

241 **Table 7. Macronutrients and elements contribution of quinoa according to the**
242 **Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs).**

Nutrient	Mean LAQ (g/100g)	Requirements for adults (g/d)	Contribution of quinoa (%)
Total protein	14.67	56.00	26.20
Carbohydrates*	64.53	130.00	49.64
Fatty acid			
18:2 (linoleic acid)	5.43	17.00	31.94
18:3 (linolenic acid)	0.55	1.60	34.37
Element			

Ca	54.76	1000.00	5.48
Mg	204.36	420.00	48.66
Na	11.53	1500.00	0.77
Fe	4.51	8.00	56.37
Mn	2.66	2.30	>100
Zn	2.24	11.00	20.36
Cr	0.01	0.035	28.57
Cu	0.56	0.90	62.22
Mo	0.03	0.045	66.66

243 DRI adapted from the Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine, National
 244 Academies suggested indispensable nutrients and elements requirements for adults
 245 (Life Stage Group: males, 35-50 years).

246 https://ods.od.nih.gov/Health_Information/Dietary_Reference_Intakes.aspx

247 The mineral results show that quinoa presents a significant content of elements
 248 that are considered essential for human nutrition, providing 50% or more of the daily
 249 required magnesium, iron, copper and molybdenum, and more than 100% of
 250 manganese. It is noteworthy the contribution of more than 30% of linoleic and linolenic
 251 acids.

252 These results confirm that a diet balanced in proteins, fats and minerals, could
 253 be obtained from quinoa and other Andean cereals such as amaranth, as a significant
 254 part in gluten-free diets.

255 In order to optimize the information on the nutritional potential of these seeds, it
 256 is important to identify the antinutritional factors that interfere in the metabolic
 257 processes or nutrient bioavailability, and thus affect the consumer's health.

258 The antinutrients quantified in this study are presented in Table 8. Proteases
259 inhibiting factors inhibit the action of digestive enzymes, in particular trypsin and
260 chymotrypsin, causing a digestibility decrease in the protein; however, many studies
261 suggest that these compounds can have a beneficial effect through their
262 anticarcinogenic action [33]. The results of the antitryptic factors determination are, in
263 average, around 0.72 UTI/mg for the lines studied, being below the maximum
264 acceptable value for foods, which according to the FAQ is of 5 UTI/mg. Furthermore,
265 this thermolabile component can be reduced through different food preparation
266 processes involving a thermal treatment.

267 Even though saponins can affect zinc and iron absorption, many studies
268 indicate that they have a wide range of biological activities and beneficial effects, such
269 as their hypocholesteremic and hypoglycemic actions, among others [34]. According to
270 the FAO, the maximum allowed saponin content is of 0.11% [9]. The saponin content
271 reported for quinoa varies between 0.1 and 5% [30]. The culture lines under study
272 presented an average saponin content of 0.43 g/100g or 0.43%. The quinoa grain
273 pericarp is the one containing the saponins, giving it a bitter taste, and it has to be
274 removed for the grain to be consumed. A dry-heat toasting process is used by some
275 companies to remove the shell. It has to be considered that the quinoa grain is
276 preferentially consumed cooked.

277 Nitrates can be reduced to nitrites by the intestinal flora, and cause the
278 transportation of hemoglobin into methemoglobin. In addition, nitrites can react with
279 amines, forming carcinogenic nitrosamines [35]. The FAO/OMS have determined, as
280 nitrates acceptable daily intake (ADI), a value of 0 - 3.7 mg/kg of body weight,
281 equivalent to 222 mg of nitrate for a 60 kg adult [3]. Thus, the nitrate contents in the
282 studied quinoa seeds can be considered as non-toxic (0.45 mg/100g). On the other
283 hand, it is known that cooking foods in boiling water can be an efficient way of reducing
284 the seeds antinutritional effects, mainly from nitrates and oxalates.

285 Oxalates are present in plants as sodium oxalate, and are mainly accumulated
 286 in the leaves. This compound is soluble and combines with calcium and magnesium in
 287 the bloodstream, resulting in insoluble salts. These salts, when present in large
 288 amounts in human tissues, can provoke damages by oxidation and glutathione
 289 depletion, and can also generate cascade inflammation by an immunological effect,
 290 and the formation of kidney stones [36]. According to some authors, the oxalate in the
 291 whole grains is higher in comparison to refined products, which suggests that oxalic
 292 acid is also in the outer layers of cereals. The maximum allowed content of oxalic acid,
 293 according to the FAO, is of 0.10% [9]. The oxalate values reported in this study
 294 (1247.27 mg/100g, equivalent to 1.25%), could be reduced by cooking methods to
 295 which quinoa can be subjected.

296 Phytic acid is present in most cereals and legumes, and in some fruits and
 297 vegetables. The phytic acid antinutritional action is mainly due to its capacity to form
 298 complexes with essential minerals, which decreases their absorption and
 299 bioavailability; it can also interact with basic residues of proteins forming complexes,
 300 interfering with enzymatic reactions at the digestive level [37]. In addition, phytic acid
 301 beneficial health effects have been informed, such as lipid-lowering and antioxidant
 302 actions [38]. In quinoa seeds, a phytic acid concentration of 1180 mg/100 g has been
 303 informed in five quinoa varieties [30]. In the studied samples, the phytic acid values are
 304 much lower than the reported in the literature, 0.267 mg/100g, being a food with a very
 305 low content of this antinutrient.

Nutrient	LAQc/31	LAQb/41	LAQf/104	LAQp/16	Mean
Trypsin inhibitors (UTI/mg)	0.52±0.03 ^a	1.16±0.07 ^b	0.47±0.02 ^a	0.73±0.03 ^c	0.72

Saponins (g/100g)	0.91±0.04 ^a	0.50±0.01 ^b	0.19±0.02 ^c	0.60±0.02 ^b	0.43
Nitrates (mg/100g)	0.33±0.03 ^a	0.32±0.02 ^a	0.70±0.02 ^b	0.45±0.034 ^c	0.45
Oxalates (mg/100g)	1187.08±83.00 ^a	1056.00±63.00 ^a	466.00±12.00 ^b	2280.00±86.00 ^c	1247.27
Phytate P (mg/100g)	0.037±0.001 ^a	0.963±0.007 ^b	0.035±0.002 ^a	0.036±0.001 ^a	0.267

306 **Table 8. Antinutrient contents of quinoa grains**

307 LAQc/31, LAQb/41, LAQf/104, LAQp/16: advanced lines of *Chenopodium quinoa*

308 Results are expressed as mean±standard deviation for analysis in five replicates.

309 Values that do not share letters in common are significantly different by the Tukey's
310 test ($P= 0.05$).

311 The antinutrient values informed for LAQ are consistent with diverse author
312 [39], who concluded that the antinutrients present in quinoa, in particular saponins, do
313 not pose a significant risk for health. It is noteworthy that differences can be observed
314 between species in the values informed, which can be attributed to genetic differences
315 of the plant, cultivation area, seeding year, among others.

316 Quinoa also contains bioactive compounds that influence on the cell activity and
317 physiological mechanisms, with beneficial health effects, such as phenols. Polyphenols
318 are secondary metabolites of plants; they include several antioxidant compounds and
319 are usually considered involved in the defense against chronic human diseases,
320 including cancer and cardiovascular diseases [40]. The total phenols content and the
321 antioxidant activity evaluated by the DPPH, β -carotene and Nitric Oxide methods, are
322 shown in Table 9.

323 The highest phenolic content (mg gallic acid/100 g) was observed in LAQp/16
 324 (43.42 ± 1.35) and LAQb/41 (43.01 ± 1.50), followed by LAQc /31 (38.45 ± 0.55) and
 325 LAQf/104 (25.82 ± 1.47). Based on these results and the consulted literature [41], it is
 326 deduced that the studied seeds have an adequate level of phenolic compounds, and
 327 that the variations between lines could be attributed to genetic factors and other
 328 agrotechnical aspects.

329 **Table 9. Total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of quinoa grains**

	LAQc/31	LAQb/41	LAQf/104	LAQp/16	Mean
Phenols					
(mg gallic acid /100g)	38.45 ± 0.55 ^a	43.01 ± 1.50 ^b	25.82 ± 1.47 ^c	43.42 ± 1.35 ^b	37.68
% DPPH	91.12 ± 0.63 ^a	88.88 ± 3.43 ^a	86.22 ± 1.33 ^a	89.57 ± 1.17 ^a	88.95
% β-Carotene	32.82 ± 1.68 ^a	22.12 ± 1.84 ^a	22.84 ± 3.66 ^a	28.47 ± 3.30 ^a	26.56
% NO	85.82 ± 8.32 ^a	41.60 ± 2.01 ^b	22.20 ± 1.80 ^c	28.82 ± 1.93 ^c	44.61

330 LAQc/31, LAQb/41, LAQf/104, LAQp/16: advanced lines of *Chenopodium quinoa*

331 Results expressed as mean ± standard deviation for analysis in three replicates.

332 Values that do not share letters in common are significantly different by the Tukey's
 333 test ($P = .05$).

334 The free-radical scavenging capacity analyzed by DPPH was in the order of
 335 88.95%, and the inhibition of fatty acids oxidation evaluated by β-carotene bleaching
 336 was of 26.56%, without showing significant differences. The NO inhibition presented
 337 the highest value for LAQc /31 (85.82 ± 8.32%), followed by LAQb/41 (41.60 ± 2.01%),
 338 LAQp/16 (28.82 ± 1.93) and LAQf/104 (22.20±1.80). These results agree with the
 339 informed by other authors, and are similar to other vegetable species considered as
 340 with significant antioxidant activity [5].

341

342 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

343 Quinoa is currently recognized by its high nutritional value compared to traditional
344 cereals, and for being a gluten-free food similar to amaranths, so it is considered as a
345 crop with great potential for contributing to global food security.

346 The new quinoa lines evaluated in this work are rich in nutrients, such as
347 proteins, essential fatty acids and some minerals, in accordance with the reported in
348 the literature.

349 They are a significant source of calcium, magnesium and iron, which are
350 minerals deficient in gluten-free food products.

351 Even though differences were observed between lines in the nutrient contents,
352 due to vegetable specific factors and environmental conditions, these differences are
353 not relevant from the nutritional point of view.

354 The evaluated antinutrients do not pose a risk for human health. Even though
355 the saponin and oxalate contents are significant, they are reduced by the action of
356 temperature and common cooking procedures.

357 It also presents natural bioactive compounds with significant antioxidant activity
358 such as phenols.

359 Based on the results presented in this work, these quinoa lines are
360 recommended as an important functional food in the diet of celiac patients.

361

362 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

363 The authors declare that have no conflict of interest.

364

365 **REFERENCES**

- 366 1. Alvarez-Jubete L, Arendt EK, Gallagher E. Nutritive value and chemical
367 composition of pseudocereals as gluten-free ingredients. *Int J Food Sci Nutr.*
368 2009;60:240–57.
- 369 2. Repo-Carrasco R, Esponiza C, Jacobsen S-E. Nutritional value and use of the
370 Andean crops: Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) and kañiwa (*Chenopodium*
371 *pallidicaule*). *Food Rev Int.* 2003;19:179–89.
- 372 3. FAO/WHO Nitrate and Nitrite (Intake assessment). WHO Food Additive series
373 50. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003.
- 374 4. Valencia R, Hellstrom JK, Pihlava J-M, Mattila PH. Flavonoids and other
375 phenolic compounds in Andean indigenous grains: Quinoa (*Chenopodium*
376 *quinoa*), kaniwa (*Chenopodium pallidicaule*) and kiwicha (*Amaranthus*
377 *caudatus*). *Food Chem.* 2010;120:128–33.
- 378 5. Yawadio Nsimba R, Kikuzaki H, Konishi Y. Antioxidant activity of various
379 extracts and fractions of *Chenopodium quinoa* and *Amaranthus* spp. seeds.
380 *Food Chem.* 2008;106:760–66.
- 381 6. Vega-Gálvez A, Miranda M, Vergara J, Uribe E, Puente L, Martínez EA.
382 Nutrition facts and functional potential of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.),
383 an ancient Andean grain: a review. *J Sci Food Agric.* 2010; 90:2541–47.
- 384 7. WGO (World Gastroenterology Organization). WGO Practice Guideline - Celiac
385 Disease. 2012. Available: [http://www.worldgastroenterology.org/UserFiles/file/gui](http://www.worldgastroenterology.org/UserFiles/file/guidelines/celiac-disease-spanish-2013.pdf)
386 [delines/celiac-disease-spanish-2013.pdf](http://www.worldgastroenterology.org/UserFiles/file/guidelines/celiac-disease-spanish-2013.pdf)
- 387 8. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). Home-
388 international year of quinoa 2013. 2013. Available: [http://www.fao.org/quinoa-](http://www.fao.org/quinoa-2013/en/)
389 [2013/en/](http://www.fao.org/quinoa-2013/en/)
- 390 9. FAO Regional Office for Latin America and the Caribbean. Quinoa: An ancient
391 crop to contribute to world food security. 2011.
392 Available: <http://www.fao.org/docrep/017/aq287e/aq287e.pdf>

- 393 10. AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists). Official methods of analysis
394 of the AOAC international. (19th ed.). Gaithersburg, MD, USA; 2012.
- 395 11. Aguilar EG, Cantarelli MA, Marchevsky EJ, Escudero NL, Camiña, JM.
396 Multielemental Analysis and classification of amaranth seeds according to their
397 botanical origin. J Agric Food Chem. 2011; 59:9059–64.
- 398 12. Stanbie D, Brownsey RW, Crettaz M, Denton RM. Acute effects in vivo of anti-
399 insulin activities serum on rates of fatty acids synthesis and activities of acetyl-
400 coenzyme A carboxylase and pyruvate dehydrogenase in liver and epididymal
401 adipose tissue of fed rats. Biochem J. 1976;160:413–16.
- 402 13. IRAM 5651 Argentine Institute for Standardization and Certification. Animal and
403 vegetal fats and oils. Analysis by gas chromatography of methyl esters of fatty
404 oils. 1997. Available: <http://www.iram.org.ar>
- 405 14. Kakade M, Rackis J, McGhee J, Puski G. Determination of trypsin inhibitor
406 activity of soy products: A collaborative analysis of an improved procedure.
407 Cereal Chem. 1974;51:376–82.
- 408 15. Elias C, Diaz L. Determinación espectrofotométrica de ácido oleanólico y
409 saponinas de quinua (*Chenopodium quinua* Willd, variedad Kancolla)". Arch
410 Latinoam Nutr. 1988;38:113–31.
- 411 16. Cataldo D, Maroon M, Schrader L, Youngs V. Rapid colorimetric determination
412 of nitrate in plant tissue by nitration of salicylic acid. Commun Soil Sci Plant
413 Anal. 2008;6:71–80.
- 414 17. AOAC (Association of Official Analytical Chemists). Official Methods of Analysis
415 of the AOAC. 17th ed.. Arlington, Virginia; 1995.
- 416 18. Rucci AO, Bertoni MH. Determinación de ácido fítico en subproductos de
417 semillas de girasol. A Soc Cien Arg. 1974;62:365–68.

- 418 19. Vinson JA, Proch J, Bose P. Determination of the quantity and quality of
419 polyphenol antioxidants in foods and beverages. *Methods Enzymol.* 2001;
420 335:103–14.
- 421 20. Emmons CL, Peterson DM, Paul GL. Antioxidant capacity of oat (*Avena sativa*
422 L.) extracts. 2. In vitro antioxidant activity and contents of phenolic and tocol
423 antioxidants. *J Agric Food Chem.* 1999;47:4894–98.
- 424 21. Burits M, Bucar F. Antioxidant activity of *Nigella sativa* essential oil. *Phytother*
425 *Res.* 2000;14:323–28.
- 426 22. Koleva II, van Beek TA, Linszen JPH, de Groot A, Evstatieva LN. Screening of
427 plant extracts for antioxidant activity: A comparative study on three testing
428 methods. *Phytochem Anal.* 2002;13:8–17.
- 429 23. Marcocci L, Packer L, Droy-Lefaix MT, Sekaki A, Gardès-Albert M. Antioxidant
430 action of *Ginkgo biloba* extracts EGb 761. *Methods Enzymol.* 1994;234:462–75.
- 431 24. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. *Statistical Methods.* 8th ed.. Iowa State University
432 Press, Ames, Iowa; 1989.
- 433 25. Mota C, Santos M, Mauro R, Samman N, Matos AS, Torres D, Castanheira I.
434 Protein content and amino acids profile of pseudocereals. *Food Chem.* 2016;
435 193:55–61.
- 436 26. Champ M, Langkilde AM, Brouns F, Kettlitz B, Collet Yle B. Advances in dietary
437 fiber characterization. 1. Definition of dietary fiber, physiological relevance,
438 health benefits and analytical aspects. *Nutr Res Rev.* 2003;16:71–82.
- 439 27. Palombini SV, Claus T, Maruyama SA, Gohara AK, Pereira Souza AH, de
440 Souza NE, Visentainer JV, Gomes STM, Matsushita M. Evaluation of nutritional
441 compounds in new amaranth and quinoa cultivars. *Food Sci Technol.* 2013;
442 33:339–44.
- 443 28. Nascimento AC, Mota C, Coelho I, Gueifão S, Santos M, Matos AS, Gimenez
444 A, Lobo M, Samman N, Castanheira I. Characterisation of nutrient profile of

- 445 quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*), amaranth (*Amaranthus caudatus*), and purple
446 corn (*Zea mays L.*) consumed in the North of Argentina: proximates, minerals
447 and trace elements. Food Chem. 2014;148:420–26.
- 448 29. USDA. Food Composition Databases. United States Department of Agriculture,
449 Agricultural Research Service. National nutrient database for standard
450 reference, release 26. Nutrient Data Laboratory, Beltsville Human Nutrition
451 Research Center, Retrieved from Nutrient Data Laboratory Home Page. 2013.
452 Available:<http://www.ars.usda.gov/ba/bhnrc/ndl>
- 453 30. Valencia-Chamorro SA. Quinoa. In: B. Caballero (Ed.), Encyclopedia of Food
454 Science and Nutrition (pp. 4895–4902). Amsterdam: Academic Press; 2003.
- 455 31. Repo-Carrasco-Valencia RA, Encina CR, Binaghi MJ, Greco CB, Ronayne de
456 Ferrer PA. Effects of roasting and boiling of quinoa, kiwicha and kañiwa on
457 composition and availability of minerals in vitro. J Sci Food Agric. 2010;
458 90:2068–73.
- 459 32. WHO Guideline: Sodium Intake for Adults and Children. Geneva: World Health
460 Organization.2012. Available:<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK133309/>
- 461 33. Muzquiz M, Pedrosa MM, Varela EAJ, Guillamón E, Goyoaga C, Cuadrado C,
462 Burbano C. Non-nutritional factors in vegetable protein sources: his involvement
463 in nutrition and health. Braz J Food Technol. 2006;15:87–98.
- 464 34. Francis G, Kerem Z, Makkar HP, Becker K. The biological action of saponins in
465 animal systems: a review. Br J Nutr. 2002;88:587–605.
- 466 35. Cameán-Fernández AM, Repetto-Jiménez M. Toxicología Alimentaria. Madrid.
467 España; 2012.
- 468 36. Massey LK. Food Oxalate: Factors Affecting Measurement, Biological Variation,
469 and Bioavailability. J Am Diet Assoc. 2007;107:1191–94.
- 470 37. Martinez B, Ibañez M, Rincon F. Ácido fítico: aspectos nutricionales e
471 implicaciones analíticas. Arch Latinoam Nutr. 2002;52:219–31.

- 472 38. Silva EO, Bracarense AP. Phytic Acid: From Antinutritional to Multiple
473 Protection Factor of Organic Systems. *J Food Sci.* 2016;81:1357–62.
- 474 39. Filho AM, Pirozi MR, Borges JT, Pinheiro Sant'Ana HM, Chaves JB, Coimbra
475 JS. Quinoa: Nutritional, functional, and antinutritional aspects. *Crit Rev Food*
476 *Sci Nutr.* 2017;57:1618–30.
- 477 40. Costa C, Tsatsakis A, Mamoulakis C, Teodoro M, Briguglio G, Caruso E,
478 Tsoukalas D, Margina D, Dardiotis E, Kouretas D, Fenga C. Current evidence
479 on the effect of dietary polyphenols intake on chronic diseases. *Food Chem*
480 *Toxicol.* 2017;110:286–99.
- 481 41. Gorinstein S, Medina Vargas OJ, Jaramillo NO, Arnao Salas I, Martinez Ayala
482 AL, Arancibia-Avila P, Toledo F, Katrich E, Trakhtenberg S. The total
483 polyphenols and the antioxidant potentials of some selected cereals and
484 pseudocereals. *Eur Food Res Technol.* 2007;225:321-28.
- 485
486
487
488
489
490
491