



34 include stones, grains, leaves, animals (Amoeba) and the like. While regular solids are the “basic  
35 solids”, which include cubes, cuboids, cones, pyramids, circles, prisms and cylinders.

36         Around 360 B. C. Pythagoreans and Platonist dealt with the regular solids. First  
37 Pythagoreans dealt with cubes, cuboids and circles. Later on Platonist dealt with prisms, cones  
38 and cylinders, known as Platonic solids, prominently in the philosophy of Plato. Then for much  
39 understanding of basic solids, that is solids geometry and the stereometry, in teaching-learning  
40 process in mathematics it is good to use the real objects/solids representation. Where the real  
41 solids are not available or where their sizes, weight, cost or danger in using them, may not permit  
42 their usage. A teacher can use a substitute which is either a reduced/enlarge scale of the real  
43 solid. And such a substitution is been referred to as model (Agboo, 2000).

44         Mathematics teaching-learning process in our schools especially in Hong Local  
45 Government Area, Junior secondary schools are facing many challenges ranging from lack of  
46 proper instructional materials to negative students towards it such as fear of the subject due to  
47 lack of learning motivations as a result of poor methods of the teaching-process Adolphus,  
48 (2011). Also Oleyede (2007) revealed that, it is an open secret that most of our schools lack  
49 instructional materials and teaching aids. In fact, in some schools, most especially the junior  
50 secondary schools, even text books as teaching aids are not available, talk less of others.

51         Model as instructional materials, especially when dealing with topics, such as basic  
52 solids/geometry as well as stereometry in mathematics, give a teacher an opportunity of active  
53 participation of his learners. Thus providing learning motivation that enhance instruction.  
54 Okoronka (2011), also highlighted that, there are three learner characteristics that is to be  
55 considered for positive attitude formation in a teaching-learning process. These are cues,

56 reinforcement and participation. Where cues deal with clarity, variety, meaningfulness and  
57 strength of the learner explanation. Then, reinforcement, dealt with the amount of  
58 acknowledgement the learner receive for learning. While participation, pertains to the extent to  
59 which the students are allowed to engage actively in the learning-process. Where all these are  
60 basically linked to the teacher's instructional method, then the assimilation of the concept of  
61 geometry will be enhanced. These characteristics are also typical with model as instructional  
62 materials. So model based instruction has its theoretical underpinning in cognitive science and  
63 principles of knowledge construction.

64 Studies of Gilla and Tommy (2004) suggested that models should be used in teacher  
65 education, and that students, teachers should be asked to explicitly and in detail establish the  
66 connections between models and abstract idea of mathematics. This would give better  
67 performance. They also found that there are some models that do not reflect the mathematical  
68 ideas properly. Teachers should make efforts to overcome the difficulties in the use of models  
69 during mathematics instruction. They further opine that instruction using models as instructional  
70 materials improved student's performance significantly.

71 O'Neil and Pohman (2006) revealed that models as instructional materials motivate  
72 students in a science class. And has significantly influence on student's performance. They  
73 summarized as instructional materials such as models, improved students achievement in  
74 mathematics. Jiny (2003) revealed also that instructional materials such as models facilitate  
75 instruction and improves learner's performance. He also agreed that there is gender difference in  
76 student's performance in favour of male students.

77 Psychological theorists are also not left behind on highlighting the importance of models  
78 as instructional materials in the teaching-learning process. Example, Brunner (1960) as related in  
79 Oleyede (2007), thus instruction should be based on Brunner's view. That is children passes  
80 through three main level of processing information, that he calls enactive, iconic and symbolic.

81 Where:

- 82 i. The enactive – is the earlier stage of a child where the child's world is being  
83 represented through objects in terms of their immediate sensation of them.
- 84 ii. Iconic – the later stage of the child where his world to be represented by the use of  
85 mental images standing for objects.
- 86 iii. Symbolic – where the child world to be represented by transforming action and  
87 images into symbolic system to enable knowledge encoding.

88 Therefore, this theory matched with the concept of models where representation through objects  
89 – means models object of 3 - dimensional shapes; representation by the use of mental images –  
90 mean mental models. And symbolic representation by transformation of action and images into  
91 symbolic system – means by symbolic models.

92 Gagne's theory too, is not left behind, where essential to Gagne's idea of instruction as  
93 what he calls condition of learning which are of two types, as:

- 94 1. Internal Condition – which deals with previous learned capabilities of a learner, that  
95 is the learner's previous knowledge.
- 96 2. External Condition – This deals with stimulus that presented to the learner externally,  
97 as instructional materials.

98 Combining the two conditions on instruction, especially by using models, would definitely  
99 stimulate the learner and promote the transfer of knowledge or information from perception  
100 through the stages of memory retention. In support of this, Agboo (2000) illustrated that models  
101 have several advantages as a teaching-aid. They enable the teacher to use representational objects  
102 in places of the real objects. This can aid understanding better than mere description or  
103 discussion. The construction of models also provides opportunity for active participation by the  
104 students, thus enhancing learning and providing motivation. Making models affords students  
105 opportunity to be active and creative. Because models depict objects in three dimensions as said  
106 above, all of which can be observed. And models allow what is small in nature to be magnified  
107 or what is complex to be simplified. Models can be handled, manipulated, assembled and  
108 observed very closely. Learning is therefore been facilitated, since several senses are brought  
109 into play and the relationship of several parts is made much more clear by the use of models.  
110 Therefore, models build learners curiosity and creativity, especially in learning difficult subjects,  
111 such as mathematics. So model based instruction has its theoretical underpinning in cognitive  
112 science and principles of knowledge construction. This means that, using models more especially  
113 experts model, as instructional of the learner materials would engage and enhance the metal  
114 model that leads to a better learning. And using models in teaching basic solids in mathematics  
115 afford not only teachers, but learner/students opportunities, which include clarity, reinforcement,  
116 participation and creativity when dealing with other science/technical subjects. In short, model  
117 based instruction encourages students centeredness instruction. But problems with models as  
118 instructional materials include cost in obtaining them and time consumption at presentation.

119 The purpose of this paper is therefore, to find out the effectiveness of using the model as  
120 instructional materials in teaching basic solids in mathematics. This is to determine its influence

121 on student's achievement in mathematics at junior secondary school levels, especially here in  
122 Hong Local Government Area.

### 123 **Statement of the Problem**

124 To bring about improvement in the teaching of geometry in mathematics, research is  
125 needed which will provide classroom teachers with more information as to how children learn  
126 geometry every day. The problem under consideration in this study is to investigate a particular  
127 spatial ability that of teaching basic solid figures, by the use of models, and to determine what  
128 relationship exists between this ability and achievement.

129 Since the implementation of the Junior Secondary School Certificate Examination (JSCE)  
130 by the National Policy on Education (2004) which was intended to improve achievement of  
131 learners, especially in sciences, such as Mathematics, our recent experiences from JSCE results  
132 so far have shown that, we have failed to achieve this goal. And this is due to many factors; such  
133 as students negative attitudes due to fear of the subject, which can be attributed to the negative  
134 attitude of teachers, due to inappropriate teaching methods. Especially while dealing with some  
135 complex topics. Example Basic Solids in learning complex topics in mathematics. That is why;  
136 this paper is interested in finding out the effectiveness of one of the methods of using Models, as  
137 instructional materials in teaching Basic Solids, in mathematics.

138

### 139 **Purpose of the Study**

140 The main purpose of this paper is to determine the influence of using models as instructional  
141 materials on student's academic performance in mathematics. While the specific objectives are:

142 i. To determine the effect of using models on students academic performance in  
143 mathematics.

144 ii. To determine the influence of gender differences when taught with models.

#### 145 **Research questions**

146 i. What is the effect of using models on student's academic performance in  
147 mathematics?

148 ii. What is the influence of gender differences when taught with models?

#### 149 **Research Hypotheses**

150  $H_{01}$ : there is no significant effect of using models on student's academic performance in  
151 mathematics.

152  $H_{02}$ : there is no significant influence of gender differences when taught with models in  
153 mathematics class.

#### 154 **Methodology**

155 The study adopted the non-equivalent, pre-test, post-test Quasi-Experimental control group  
156 design. A schematic representation of the study design summarized as follows:

157  $O_1 \quad X_1 \quad O_2$

158  $O_3 \quad X_2 \quad O_4$

159 Where  $O_1$  and  $O_3$  are the pre-test scores on  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  respectively,  $O_2$  and  $O_4$  are the post-test  
160 scores to  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  treatments.  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  represent the experimental and control group  
161 treatments respectively.

162 **Population and sampling**

163 The population of this study consists of all Junior Secondary 3 students in Hong local  
164 Government Area. The sampling was done based on simple random sampling technique. Four  
165 schools were drawn from the population where, 2 schools were experimental group and the  
166 remaining 2 the control group. Intact classes were used in order to avoid disruption.

167 **Instrumentation**

168 The instrument has been adapted by the researcher from the Standardized Achievement Test  
169 (SAT) of the Junior Secondary School Examinations (JSCE) Question papers. The instruments  
170 need not to undergo much validation process again, since it is an adopted one, already with  
171 elements of validity in it. But still, the instrument has been given to experts again for more  
172 revalidation process. While the sampling content to be covered by the instrument remain fixed as  
173 done in a systematic manner by the mathematics specialist through an extensive review of  
174 syllabus and textbooks common to most Junior Secondary School, has been assisted by  
175 Universal Basic Education (UBE) in Adamawa State.

176 Being an instrument that has been adapted, there has been less test-retest process for  
177 reliability. But still pilot testing was done and was based on Guttman's split-half with a  
178 coefficient of reliability of 0.86.

179 **Data Collection**

180 Data for this study were collected through administration of pre-test and post-test. The general  
181 research treatment procedure was carried out in five major stages, namely, preliminary stage;  
182 pre-treatment stage; treatment stage; post-treatment stage; and post-test stage. The experiment



183 lasted for twelve weeks including one week for briefing and orientation of teachers for the  
184 experimental treatments and two weeks for revision and post-testing for all groups. All the test  
185 scripts were retrieved for marking and score the tests based on the student's performance.

## 186 **Data Analysis**

187 The statistical tools used for the analysis in this work were descriptive statistics and z-test, t-test  
188 for testing the hypotheses.

## 189 **Results and Findings**

190 **Research Question1:** What is the effect of using models on student's academic performance  
191 in mathematics?

192 **Table 1: Summary of Descriptive Analysis of the Post-test Scores of Experimental and**  
193 **Conventional Group Samples.**

<b>Group</b>	<b>N</b>	<b><math>\bar{X}</math></b>	<b>SD</b>	<b>SE</b>
<b>Experimental</b>	120	69.46	12.95	3.36
<b>Conventional</b>	120	58.20	20.21	

194 From table 1, calculated mean for the experimental group is 69.46 with standard deviation of  
195 12.95. While the calculated mean for the conventional group is 58. 20 and the standard deviation  
196 of 20.21. We can conclude from this result that experimental group performed better than the  
197 conventional group. You can see that even the standard deviation supported the argument.

198 **Research Question 2:** What is the influence of gender differences when taught with models?

199 **Table 2: Summary of the Experimental Group Performance for Gender Influence.**

<b>Gender</b>	<b>N</b>	<b><math>\bar{X}</math></b>	<b>SD</b>
<b>Male</b>	67	68.41	13.59
<b>Female</b>	53	56.50	12.52

200

201 **Table 3: Summary of the Conventional Group Performance for Gender Influence.**

Gender	N	$\bar{X}$	SD
Male	65	52.32	14.54
Female	55	46.51	13.42

202 From Tables 2 and 3, we observed that the results of both the experimental and conventional  
 203 group from the post-test, the performance was in favour of male students. So, teaching with  
 204 models improved male students than female students in mathematics performance.

205 **Research Hypotheses 1:** There is no significant effect of using models on student's academic  
 206 performance in mathematics teaching.

207 **Table 4: The Z-test Analysis of the Differences Between the Mean of the Results.**

Group	$\bar{X}$	SD	N	SE	Z-cal.	Z-critical
Experimental	68.46	12.95	120	3.39	4.68	1.960
Conventional	52.60	20,21	120			

208  
 209 Table 4 had revealed that the z-value is greater than the critical value ( $4.68 > 1.960$ ). It means  
 210 that the null hypothesis is rejected. Therefore, the result of the post-test scores, suggested that the  
 211 differences between the mean achievements of experimental and conventional groups in the  
 212 mathematics class, is statistically significant.

213 **Research Hypotheses 2:** there is no significant influence of gender differences when taught  
 214 with model in mathematics class.

215 **Table 5: Summary of the t- Distribution Analysis of Gender Difference in Mathematics**  
 216 **Performance.**

Gender	N	$\bar{X}$	SD	Df	SE	t-cal	t-tab
Male	132	67.09	13.58	238	3.69	0.07	1.96
Female	108	58.70	12.51				

217

218 From Table 5, the calculated t-value is greater than critical value at 0.05 level of significant with  
219 238 degree of freedom. This is an evidence to show that there is significant difference in favour  
220 of male students.

## 221 **Discussion of Findings**

222 The findings of the study based on the presentation and analysis of tables, revealed that students  
223 taught with models as instructional materials, performed better in a Mathematics class, as  
224 supported by Gilla and Tommy (2004), Audu (2006) and Jimy (2003). This study showed  
225 therefore that treatments generally improve the students learning performance.

226 Models are useful tools to better understand not only the learning processes of students, but also  
227 ourselves as educators. At a glance the models might provide only more questions, but a careful  
228 study of the models can provide starting points to begin developing more appropriate educational  
229 experiences for our society's next generation.

230 Gender disparity in participation was also revealed in the results of the study. This is not unusual  
231 in Nigeria and in developing countries around the world as the participation show concordance  
232 with the total number of inter-gender enrolment in the schools. Male enrolment in schools have  
233 been higher than their female counterpart due to gender imbalance in school enrolment which  
234 tends to occur whenever there is a disparity in the access of males and females to education. The  
235 obvious disparity between boys and girls is found in overall enrolment. In Nigeria the gender gap  
236 favouring boys in school enrolment, has been found to be consistently high (Amasuomo, 2006;  
237 Otite, 2006). Some Nigerian parents tend to give priority to the schooling of boys rather than  
238 girls especially in large families where funds are insufficient. The Nigerian girl child is more  
239 likely not to enroll in school or drop out of the school system as a result of poor socio-economic

240 status of parents, early marriage, premarital pregnancy, household duties, and parents' preference  
241 for the education of boys rather than girls and sexual harassment.

242 Schools should provide best designed Models in support of the teacher's improvisational ones,  
243 with simple and durable materials that will facilitate instruction.

## 244 REFERENCES

- 245 Adolphus, T. (2011). Problems of Teaching and Learning of Geomrtry in Secondary Schools in  
246 Rivers State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Emerg.Science* 1(2) 143 – 152.  
247  
248
- 249 Agbo, F. O. (2000). *The Science Teacher Companion*. Deka publications, Jos  
250 ISBN 978:35380-5-5.  
251
- 252 Akinsola, M. K., Ejike, M. C. and Tella, A. (2009). *Effective Mathematics for Junior Secondary*  
253 *Schools Book 1*. ISBN. 978-9780206772.  
254
- 255 Amasuomo, J. O. (2006). Gender imbalance in teachers supply in primary and secondary schools  
256 in Bayelsa State: Implications for national development. *Journal of Research in Education*,  
257 3, 4.
- 258
- 259 Audu, M. T. (2006). *Gender Influence of Instructional Materials in Secondary Schools in Gigei*  
260 *Local Government Area of Adamawa State*. Unpublished students project in the  
261 Department of Bussiness Education, College of Continuing Studies, Adamawa State  
262 Polytechnic, yola.  
263
- 264 Federal Government of Nigeria (2004). *Nigerian National Policy on Education, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, Yaba-Lagos,*  
265 *Nigeria*. Nigeria Educational Research and Development Council (NERDC).
- 266
- 267 Gila, R. and Tommy, D. (2004). The use of Models in Teaching Proof by Mathematics  
268 Induction. Tel Aviv University, Israel. Online 4(113 - 120). <http://science>  
269 [online.tki.org.nz/Teaching Strategies](http://online.tki.org.nz/Teaching Strategies). 5/16/2015.
- 270 Jinny, F. C. (2003). Investigation on Teaching Methodology that facilitate instructionin a science  
271 class. *Journal of Scientific Research* 4(10). University Press. University of Maiduguri,  
272 Nigeria.  
273

- 274 Okoronka, A. U. (2011). Effects of Model-Based instructional strategies on Students Attitude  
275 Towards Physics, in Secondary School Education. Journal of Scientific Research vol. 1  
276 ISBN – 2257-0702. Adamawa State University, Mubi.  
277
- 278 Oleyede, O. L. (2007). *Hand book of Science Methods for Tertiary Institution*. Eunecks &  
279 Associates Publications Kaduna, ISBH 977377932.  
280
- 281 O’Neil, K. D. & Pohman, L. Examine the potential of practice based science literacy. Journal of  
282 Research in Science Teaching, 4(3) 39-92.  
283
- 284 Otite, O. A. (2006). Disparity level of the girl-child and boy-child enrolment in Universal Basic  
285 Education. *Nigeria.Multidisciplinary Journal of Research Development*, 7(1).

UNDER PEER REVIEW