

10
11
12
13
14

**DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF LOW COST
MAIZE DEHUSKER-SHELLER IN NIGERIA**

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

ABSTRACT

Aims: To develop and evaluate an affordable, easy-to-operate and functional maize dehusker-sheller machine for small to medium scale production of the crop.

Study design: Fabrication and performance evaluation.

Place and Duration of Study: Premises of DAF Technical Services, Ilorin, Nigeria, between June, 2017 and February, 2018.

Methodology: A maize dehusker-sheller machine was constructed from locally available materials with relatively low cost which made it affordable. The construction of the machine was carried out by sizing and marking out of the plate using scriber and cutter. The shaft was smoothed with sand paper and various components were welded, assembling of parts was done by fastener (bolts & nuts). The machine consists of four unit (feeding unit, dehusking – shelling unit, cleaning unit and outlets).

Results: The result indicated a mean de - husking efficiency of 58.67%, 57.00%, 54.16 at speed 469 rpm, 309 rpm and 298 rpm respectively. The mean shelling efficiency were 73.36%, 71.53%, 65 55% at 469 rpm to 298 rpm. And mean through put capacity of 55.90 kg/hr, 41.10 kg/hr and 36.00 kg/hr at speed stated above. Also the mean cleaning efficiency 79.97%, 79.77%, 82.23% at speed 469 rpm, 309 rpm and 298 rpm respectively . The mean grain losses were 20.37%, 21.20% and 17.16% using the three speeds stated above.

Conclusion: In conclusion the mean dehusking efficiency, shelling and mean through put capacity perform best at 469 rpm while mean cleaning efficiency and mean grain loss was best at speed 298 rpm.

38
39
40
41

Keywords: *Design, fabrication, dehusking, shelling unit, cleaning unit, performance evaluation.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays*.) is one of the most important cereal crops in the world agricultural economy. It is one of the most important crops in Nigeria. The crop is produced two times in a year in southern Nigeria by rain- fed farming and throughout the year by irrigation. It is highly productive, cheap, and less rigorous to produce and adapts to wide range of agro-ecological zones [1].

Maize ranks first in the world cereal production; it accounts for 38% of the total grain production with 868 million tonnes from 168 million hectare whereas 30% of wheat (691 million tonnes) and rice is 20% (461 million tonnes) [2]. (Anon., 2011). United States has the lion's share of 43% of the total world production followed by China (19%), EU- (6%) and Brazil (7%), sum of which equals to 75% of the total global production. India stands sixth in terms of production with 2.4% to the total world maize production from 8.5 million hectare with 5% share in world harvested area [3](Anon., 2013a). Nigeria produce 10.4 million tonnes as at 2016 [4].

Singh *et al.* [5] reported that even though hand operated maize dehusker - sheller is suitable for farm women, it is difficult for women to operate and yields very low level of efficiency and dehusking as a separate activity precedes shelling that brings additional burden on women. The available equipment are suitable for certain group of farmers (medium and large farmers); about 80.3% of farmers of marginal and small groups operate 36% of the area. The prevalent shelling and dehusking methods such as rubbing the maize cobs on one another, rubbing on bricks, stone and wire mesh by using iron cylinder are tedious and time consuming, involves drudgery, and exposes the crop over time to natural hazards like rain, fire, animals, birds and insects which leads to losses in quantity and quality of grains [6]. Moreover, dehusking as a separate activity precedes shelling that brings additional burden on farmers. They may employ labourers or use machines. But in villages, there is a shortage of labour and their equivalent wages are very expensive. The farmers or field owners also find it difficult to afford the machines even when those machines are available.

Starch, oil and some other fatty acids are extracted mainly from wheat and corn. These play a major role in keeping the persons healthy. Obtaining the corn seeds for extraction of fatty products is difficult due to frequent power cuts in rural areas and because of the traditional processes that are followed. Traditionally most of the shelling works are done by hand. There were hand operated maize

42 shellers which were cumbersome to use. Large scale shelling for commercial purposes was not possible
43 due to fatigue. There are of course, machines which can shell maize, but these are usually unaffordable
44 for rural farmers [7]. Besides, where they are available, the output is full of contaminants such as broken
45 cobs, chaffs and other impurities. There is therefore a need for a cost effective and eco-friendly solution
46 for shelling maize. Hence, the need for the design, fabrication and performance evaluation of an
47 affordable (low cost) maize dehusking and shelling machine using locally available materials.

48 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

50 **2.0 Material selection**

51 Materials used for the fabrication of the maize dehusker-sheller were obtained locally from
52 Surulere Market, Ilorin, Nigeria and selected based on strength, availability, durability and affordability.
53 The materials used for construction of the maize dehusker-sheller included metal plate, angle iron, hollow
54 pipe, and iron.
55

56 **2.1 Design Considerations**

57 In designing the dehusker-sheller, the following factors were taken into consideration: Loading
58 conditions of a maize dehusker-sheller, optimal layout (planned to reduce waste of maize grains), restrain
59 size number of weld, fitness of the end product, and cost of design.
60

61 **2.2 Machine Description.**

62 Maize dehusker-sheller is made-up of the following components:
63

64 **2.2.1 Hopper**

65 The hopper is the component part that serves as a feeding unit for the harvested crop (maize) to
66 the threshing chamber of the machine. Available information on the cob length, width, thickness and
67 angle of repose was used in designing this component. Maize cobs were poured into hopper. It is shaped
68 as a frustum and has a height of 200mm.
69

70 **2.2.2 Threshing chamber housing**

71 Threshing chamber housing was fabricated with 1mm mild steel metal which was bent to form a
72 concave of diameter 190mm and 500mm in length, sealed at the two ends. Both sides were flanged to
73 form an attachment to the frame. Threshing and pre –cleaning of the crop (grain and chaff separation)
74 take place in the threshing chamber. The unit consists of threshing drum, the lower concave screens and
75 the side plate cover. Threshing drum was made from 2mm mild steel sheet rolled into a perfect cylinder
76 of 200mm diameter and 380mm length. The spikes were welded in a spirally arranged form along the
77 length of the drum. The drum was welded on the main shaft which supported, carried and transmitted
78 torque to it.
79

80 **2.2.3 Frame**

81 The frame is a rigid part of the machine that gives the entire machine member support. It
82 houses the entire shelling unit and the motor frame.
83

84 **2.2.4 Blower**

85 The blower functions by blowing cobs and other foreign materials. It improves the sieving
86 operation of the screen by introducing a heavy stream of air across.
87

88 **2.2.5 Screen**

89 This is one of the important components responsible for cleaning grains as a result of size of
90 the bored holes which allowed the passage of grains.
91

92 **2.2.6 Outlet**

93 These are channels for ejection of the straw/cob and collection of grains and chaff. Each outlet
94 was made with 1mm mild steel metal with open end so that escaped threshed grains dropping at seed
95 outlet was recovered. Seed outlet was fabricated by 1mm mild steel metal sheet. It was attached to the
96 machine under the threshing unit. Chaff outlet forms an extension of the seed collection chamber. It was
97 made with 1mm mild steel metal sheet and tapered outward. It extended out by 180mm and the width,
98 400mm.
99



Plate 1: Pictorial view of maize dehusker - sheller

101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127

2.3 Design calculation of the machine

The assumption made for the work
 Factor of safety = 1.5
 Electric motor power rating = 3.67 kW
 To use standard type of belt and corresponding sizes
 Width, (b) = 17 mm
 Thickness, (t) = 11 mm
 Groove angle of the pulley 40°
 Speed of motor require for the design, $N_m = 1440$ rpm
 Diameter of pulley on motor, = 58 mm

2.3.1 To calculate the torque (T) of Motor

$$T = (M_p \times 60 / 2\pi) \times N_m$$

Where M_p is the motor power
 $= (3.75 \times 10^3 \times 60) / (2 \times 3.142 \times 1440)$
 $= 24.86$ Nm

...i

[8]

2.3.2 To calculate the speed of threshing

$$N_t d_t = N_m d_m$$

N_t = Thresher speed
 d = diameter of pulley or thresher
 N_m = motor speed
 d_m = diameter of pulley

...ii

128 1st speed using 178 mm pulley

$$129 N_t d_t = N_m d_m$$

$$130 N_t \times 178 = 1440 \times 58$$

$$131 N_t = \frac{1440 \times 58}{178} =$$

$$132 N_t = 469.21 \text{rpm}$$

133 By tacometer = 472 rpm

134 2nd pulley

$$135 N_{t2} d_{t2} = N_m d_m$$

$$136 N_{t2} \times 270 = \frac{1440 \times 58}{270}$$

$$137 = 309.33 \text{rpm}$$

138 By tacometer 338.5 rpm

139 3rd speed

$$140 N_{t3} d_{t3} = N_m d_m$$

$$141 N_{t3} \times 300 = \frac{1440 \times 58}{300} = 278.4 \text{rpm}$$

142 By tacometer = 283.12 rpm

143

144 2.4 Performance Evaluation

145 The other materials used for performance evaluation were digital tachometer, weighing balance,
146 stop watch, transparent polythene bag to collect the samples, 13.5 kg whole (undehusked) maize cobs.
147 Pulleys with diameters 178, 270 and 300 mm were employed in the machine at 469.00, 309.33 and
148 278.00rpm respectively to determine the speed at which the machine will operate optimally. The machine
149 was test-run with 3kg of undehusked maize to ascertain the machine's condition. One, 1.50 and 2.00kg
150 undehusked maize samples were thereafter loaded into the machine at each of the three pulley
151 diameter/speed instances. The various speeds were determined/measured using digital tachometer,
152 while the time taken at each instance was recorded with the aid of a stop watch. Each maize sample was
153 fed into the machine one after the other and the time taken to dehusk, shell and clean the grains were
154 recorded.

155

156 **Table 1. The costs of engineering measurement and evaluation of materials for the maize**
157 **dehusker - sheller machine**

S/N	Description	Quantity	Unit Cost (₦)	Amount(₦)
1.	1mm thick mild steel sheet	1 ¹ / ₂	10,000	15,000
2.	Shaft	3	2,000	6,000
3.	Bearing	4	250	1,000
4.	Threshing	1	1,000	1,000
5.	40mm x 40mm x 2mm angle iron	5	5,000	25,000
6.	Painting		4,000	4,000
7.	Bolt, nut and washer (17 & 13)	1 ¹ / ₂ dozens	83.3	1,500
8.	Pulleys (178 & 67mm)	2	1,250	2,500
9.	Thresher and fan belt	1 each	800 & 200	1,000
10.	Transportation			6,000
11.	Miscellaneous			8,000
12.	Workmanship			20,000
Total				₦91,000 (USD249.32)

158

159 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

160 Table 2 depicts the physical properties of maize grains used that guided in designing the
161 minimum and maximum holes that was used in drill holes on the separating drum and to determine the
162 spike length and some other parameters. Table 3 shows the result of test carried out on the maize
163 dehusker-sheller. The Table shows that as the speed was lowered from 469 to 309 to 278 rpm, threshing
164 time increased from 123.60 to 127.20 to 138.60s respectively.

165

166

167

168

169

[7]

170 **Table 2. Physical parameters of maize**

171

S/N	Parameter	Mean value	Standard deviation
1.	Length	9.991- 10.793	1.165 – 1.553
2.	Breadth	8.511 – 8.791	0.939 – 1.125
3.	Thickness	4.099 – 5.127	0.735 – 1.147
4.	Equivalent Diameter	7,584 – 8.092	0.587 – 0.731
5.	Sphericity	0.699 – 0.762	0.066 – 0.093

172

173

174

175

Table 3. Mean result collected from maize dehusker-sheller machine.

S/N	Speed (rpm)	Sample weight (kg)	Time taken(min)	Weight of grain from (kg)	Weight of chaff (kg)	Weight of cob (kg)	Weight of husk (kg)
1	469	1.5	2:06	0.85	0.041	0.30	0.22
2	309	1.5	2:12	0.84	0.036	0.39	0.23
3	278	1.5	2:31	0.8	0.037	0.42	0.24

176

177

178 Tables 4, 5 and 6 below show how rates of loading affect shelling and cleaning efficiencies using
 179 three different speeds. The results on Table 4 indicate that as sample weight increased from 1.0 to 2.0kg,
 180 the dehusking, shelling and cleaning efficiencies decreased from 67.00 to 53.00%, 78.00 to 69.50% and
 181 85.00 to 76.90% respectively at a constant speed of 469 rpm. The dehusking, shelling and cleaning
 182 efficiencies at reduced speeds of 309 and 278rpm also followed similar trend (Tables 5 and 6).

183

184

Table 4. Effect of loading rate on dehusking, shelling and cleaning efficiencies (eff)

S / N	Speed (rpm)	Sample weight (kg)	Time taken (min)	Shelling eff (%)	Dehusking eff (%)	Cleaning eff (%)
1	469	1.0	1:50	78	67	85
2	469	1.5	2:05	72	56	78
3	469	2.0	2:23	69.5	53	76

185

186

187

188

Table 5. Effect of loading rate on dehusking, shelling and cleaning efficiencies (eff)

S / N	Speed (rpm)	Sample weight (kg)	Time taken (min)	Shelling eff(%)	Dehusking eff (%)	Cleaning eff (%)
1	309	1.0	1:55	75	65	86
2	309	1.5	2:15	69.3	54	78.8
3	309	2.0	2:25	68.2	52	74.5

189

190

191

Table 6. Effect of loading rate on dehusking, shelling, and cleaning efficiencies (eff)

S / N	Speed (rpm)	Sample weight (kg)	Time taken (min)	Shelling eff (%)	Dehusking eff (%)	Cleaning eff (%)
1	278	1.0	2:02	69	86.7	60
2	278	1.5	2:35	64.7	81.0	52

3	278	2.0	2:56	63.0	79.0	50.5
---	-----	-----	------	------	------	------

192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204

Table 7 shows that at a speed of 469 rpm, the highest average shelling and dehushing efficiencies of 73.36 and 58.67 % respectively, and a through put capacity of 55.90% were obtained. The highest cleaning efficiency (82.23%) and least grains loss (17.16%) were however achieved at a lower speed of 278 rpm.

The interaction of selected performance parameters with speed is as shown in Table 8. There was a positive correlation between the various speeds employed in this study and the mean shelling efficiency, dehushing efficiency and the through put capacity. This was however contrary to what was observed between the speed and the mean cleaning efficiency (Table 8).

Table 7. Interaction of selected performance parameters with speed.

S/N	Speed (rpm)	Shelling efficiency %	Cleaning efficiency %	Dehushing efficiency%	Through put capacity kg/hr	Grain loss %
1	469	73.36	79.97	58.67	42.85	20.37
2	309	71.53	79.77	57.00	41.10	21.20
3	278	65.55	82.23	54.16	36.00	17.16

205
206
207
208
209

Table 8. Relationship between speed, through-put capacity, grain loss and shelling, cleaning and dehushing efficiencies,

	Speed	Shelling efficiency	Cleaning efficiency	Dehushing efficiency	Through-put capacity	Grain loss
Speed	1.0000					
Shelling efficiency	0.9909	1.0000				
Cleaning efficiency	-0.5664	-0.4573	1.0000			
Dehushing efficiency	0.8676	0.7975	-0.9002	1.0000		
Through-put capacity	0.8567	0.7844	-0.9104	0.998	1.0000	
Grain loss	0.4624	0.3458	-0.9925	0.8415	0.8530	1.0000

210 **4.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

211 The designed and fabricated low-cost whole maize dehusker - sheller has good mean
212 husking and shelling efficiencies, which decreased with reduced speed of operation. The
213 machine's cleaning efficiency however increased with the reduced speed. The throughput
214 capacity was however low. Materials used in the design and fabrication of the machine are
215 locally available and affordable. The machine is therefore suitable for small/medium scale
216 maize production in Nigeria. Further improvements however need to be made on its through-
217 put capacity for more effective dehusking/shelling operations.
218

219
220

221 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

222
223 Authors have declared that no competing interest(s) exist.
224

225
226

226 **REFERENCES**

227 [1] Babatunde, R. O., S. B. Fakayode and A. A. Obafemi (2008). Fadama maize production
228 in Nigeria: Casa study from Kwara State. *Res. J. Agric. Biol. Sci.*, 4: 340-345.
229
230 [2] Anonymous (2011). Maize seasonal report (*kharif*): July 2011. KarvyComtrade Limited.
231 www.karvycomtrade.com/downloads/KarvySpecialReports/KarvySpecialReports.pp2701.pdf.
232
233 [3] Anonymous (2013a). India maize summit-2013: Multidimensional approach for outlook,
234 implications & perspective, Mar 21-22, FICCI, New Delhi, India.
235 www.ficci.com/events-page.asp?evid=21371.
236
237 [4] FAOSTAT (2017). FAO Statistics Division; Nigeria crop production quantity.
238
239 [5] Singh, S. P., Pratap Singh and Surendra Singh (2011). Status of maize threshing in India.
240 *Agric. Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America*, 42(3): 21-28.
241
242 [6] Naveenkumar, D. B. (2011). Modification and evaluation of power operated maize (*Zea*
243 *mays* L.) sheller. *M. Tech. (Ag. Engg.) Thesis*, University of Agricultural Sciences,
244 Bengaluru, Karnataka.
245
246 [7] Abdulkadir, B. H., Mathew, S. A., Olufemi, A. O., and Ikechukwu, C .U . (2009). The
247 design and construction of maize threshing machine, Assumption university journal of
248 technology, 12(3) pg , 199 – 308.pg
249
250 [8] Olaoye, J. O. (2002). Performance modeling of a multipurpose crop threshing machine
251 for Assessment of grain loss. Being an aspect of the research findings for the 1997, Senate
252 Research Grant, University of Ilorin, Ilorin Nigeria.
253