

## Original Research Article

# REPRODUCTIVE & BIOMARKER RESPONSE OF MALE ALBINO RATS (*Rattus norvegicus*) TO A DAILY DOSE OF SOFT DRINK (COCA-COLA)

### Abstract

The effect of Coca-cola was evaluated on Albino rats. The parameters analysed include; Sperm count, kidney function test, liver test, red blood cell, pack cell volume, haemoglobin, white blood cell, platelets, lymphocytes. The results showed that: The mean serum electrolyte for Na was low for week 1, 2, 3 and 4 having 142, 140, 133.6 and 141.66 respectively when compared to the average control (147.3) with a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) in week 1 and 4, K were all lower than the average control (5.4) across the week with no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) but had the least mean value of 4.8 in week 2. Bicarbonate was also significantly lower ( $P<0.05$ ) in the treated group when compared to the average control (24.3) with the least mean value in week 4 (18.67) and Cl had a mean of 93.0 in week 1, 94.67 in week 2, 108.66 in week 3 and 107.67 in week 4 with an average control of 99.33. AST had a mean of 20.67 in week 1 which increased to 31.67 in week 4 while ALT had a mean of 10 in week 1 which also increased to 13 in week 4. The mean serum protein reduced from 81.83 in week 1 to 73.24 in week 4. Mean PCV reduced from 33.67 in week 1 to 32.7 in week 4, Hb increased from 11.2 in week 1 to 13.4 in week 4 with a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) when comparing the test with the average control, WBC increased from a mean 5.26 in week 1 to 11.9 in week 4 with a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ), Platelet had a mean of 315 on week 1 and 419 in week 4 with significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) in week 3 and 4 when compared with its control, RBC increased from a mean of 4.23 in week 1 to 6.90 in week 4 with significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ). Lymphocyte for week 1 had a mean value of 70 in week 1 and 82.26 in week 4 with significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) across the week. While the mean sperm count reduced significantly ( $P<0.05$ ) from 425 in week 1 to 400 in week 4 when compared to the average control (566). These findings demonstrate that regular consumption of Coca-cola had a detrimental effect on the sperm count, liver, kidney and on the haematological parameters.

### INTRODUCTION

Coca-cola is one of the world's favorite soft drink, It comprises of kola nut which is a source of caffeine and coca leaves, phosphoric acid, sugar in the form of glucose and other forms of chemical that is used for preservation, flavor and colorings (Adjene *et al.*, 2010). Coca-Cola intake has increased in the past two decades (Nielsen *et al.*, 2004), and several health conditions has been associated with steady or regular intake of coca cola (Amato *et al.*, 1997). There is some evidence that consumption of two Coca-colas per day can cause kidney disease (Saldana *et al.*,2007) The consumption of sugary sweetened beverages has been found to increase the rate of insulin resistance in adolescent (Kondaki *et al.*, 2013). This

43 insulin resistance is known to increase oxidative stress which can exert a negative influence  
44 on sperm motility (Park *et al.*, 2009; Chen *et al.*, 2013). Caramel which is also used as a  
45 coloring in soft drinks, is composed of carefully controlled heat treatment of carbohydrate,  
46 generally in the presence of acids and alkalis in a process called Caramelization it has been  
47 linked to also cause increase in insulin resistance and inflammation (Gaby, 2005; Vlassara *et*  
48 *al.*,2002). Coca-cola drink is widely consumed regularly, because of their sweet taste without  
49 knowing the toxic effects which it might cause to our health or body. According to  
50 epidemiological study regular intake of coke is associated with liver diseases, tooth decay and  
51 type 2 diabetes (Adjene *et al.*, 2010; Amato *et al.*, 1997) and Type 2 diabetes in adult also has  
52 been associated with lower sperm motility (Echavarria *et al.*, 2007; Ramaraju *et al.*, 2012).  
53 Increase intake of sugary drinks over the years have brought about increase in chronic kidney  
54 disease (cardiovascular risk factors such as Hypertension, diabetes, obesity and dyslipidemia  
55 are connected with the development of chronic kidney disease), especially drinks with high  
56 fructose corn syrup It was estimated that the consumption of sugar was around 68 kg (150 lb)  
57 per person per year in the US in 2003 (Fox *et al.*,2004; Johnson *et al.*,2007). This increased  
58 consumption of sugar- sweetened soft drinks has also been hypothesized to be associated  
59 with a modest but significant increase in risk among women who have an underlying degree  
60 of insulin resistance (Schernhammer *et al.*, 2005), and also affect hepatic steatosis, lipid  
61 metabolism (Gaby, 2005). Recent studies have also shown that the consumption of soft  
62 drinks, and sweetened fruit soups leads to a greater risk of pancreatic cancer (Larsson *et al.*,  
63 2006). Low sperm count can also result due to regular intake of soft drink and beverages that  
64 are high in sugar because soft drink and beverages that contains high sugar have been linked  
65 by many authors to weight gain and obesity and can lead to serious chronic diseases in adult,  
66 further research have revealed up to 25 separate harmful effects excess consumption of soft  
67 drinks can cause to our body system, A recent study in rodents also found that sugary drinks  
68 can have negative impact in male fertility (Amato *et al.*,1997; Wright *et al.*, 2001; Malik *et*  
69 *al.*, 2010, Mozaffarian *et al.*, 2011, and Pan *et al.*, 2013). In addition to the high sugar  
70 content, Cola beverages also contain phosphoric acid which is colorless, odorless crystalline  
71 liquid. It gives coca cola a sharp flavor and prevents the growth of mold and bacteria, which  
72 can multiply easily in sugary solution (Saldana *et al.*,2007), phosphorous may have an effect  
73 in the kidney causing kidney dysfunction , laboratory studies have shown that high  
74 phosphorous diets can cause nephrocalciosis in rats (Matsuzaki *et al.*, 1997). It has also been  
75 associated with urinary changes that promote kidney stones (Shuster *et al.*,1992). Increase in  
76 phosphate level may increase plasma phosphorous levels, with phosphate in colas perhaps

77 being more bioavailable. (Calvo *et al.*, 2003; Uribarriet *et al.*,2003). The aim of this study is to  
78 assess the relationship between the drinking of coke and the reduction in sperm count,  
79 determine the effect of coca cola on renal functions and evaluate the effects of a daily dose on  
80 the liver and kidney.

## 81 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 82 *Experimental Design:*

83 Twenty four(24) male Albino wistar Rats weighing between 175-250 gram were used for the  
84 study, the rats were divided into five (5).Group 1(0ml) comprises the control group, they  
85 were fed with food and water, no treatment on them, Group 2 were treated with 2ml of coca-  
86 cola using a 2ml syringe. Group 3 were treated with 1.8ml of coca-cola using a 2ml syringe.  
87 Group 4 were treated with 1.9ml of coca-cola using a 2ml syringe, Group 5 were treated with  
88 1.8ml of coca-cola using a 2ml syringe and the albino rats were acclimatized for seven days  
89 before treatment and the administration of coca-cola was done orally.

90

### 91 *Biochemical analysis:*

92 Standard procedures were ensured during the collection of the blood, sperm and liver samples  
93 prior to biochemical analysis. The epididymal sperm count was determined with the  
94 Neubauer haemocytometer (Deep 1/10 mm, LABART, Munich, Germany) and light  
95 microscope at 40× magnifications. The plasma activity of Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP) was  
96 determined using Radox kit (colorimetric method) of Rec (1972). Biuret method was used to  
97 determine the level of total protein in the samples according to the method of Flack and  
98 Woollen (Flack and Woollen, 1984). The plasma activity of aspartate transaminase AST and  
99 alanine transaminase ALT was determined using Reitman and Frankel method (Reitman and  
100 Frankel, 1957). The serum electrolytes were determined using ISO 4000 Automated  
101 electrolyte analyser. SFRI, France.

102

### 103 *Method of Data Analysis*

104 Data were analyzed using Tukey test at a level of 5% probability, using Assitat Software  
105 Version 7.7 en (2017).

106

107

**108 RESULTS****109 Effects of Coca-cola on Haematology of Albino rat.**

110 The result in Table 1 showed that on the first week, PCV had a mean value of 33.67, 37.16,  
111 35.6 and 32.7 for the treated group for Week 1, week 2, week 3 and week 4 respectively  
112 while the control had a mean of 26.67, 32.57, 32.85 and 39.06 for week 1, week 2, week 3  
113 and week 4 respectively with an average control of 30.69. Only week 4 had a significant  
114 difference ( $P<0.05$ ) but there was no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) across the week. Hb for  
115 control group had a mean value of 9.0, 9.9, 10.03 and 13.86 for week 1, 2, 3 and 4  
116 respectively, and the treated group had a mean value of 11.2, 11.26, 11.25 and 13.4 for week  
117 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. There was a significant difference across the week ( $P<0.05$ ) and no  
118 significant difference within the week ( $P>0.05$ ). RBC and WBC had a mean value of 4.23  
119 and 5.26 in week 1, 5.56 and 12.56 in week 2, 6.04 and 14.56 in week 3 and finally 6.9 and  
120 11.9 in week 4 in the treated group while the control group had a mean value of 4.76 and 9.0  
121 in week 1, 7.31 and 9.86 in week 2, 6.35 and 7.46 in week 3, and week 4 had 6.3 and 6.26  
122 with an average control of 5.27 and 8.15. There was also a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ )  
123 across the week for both RBC and WBC while only WBC had a significant difference  
124 ( $P<0.05$ ) within week 4. Platelet and lymphocyte had a mean value of 315 and 70 in week 1,  
125 733 and 83.67 in week 2, 383 and 83.76 in week 3 and finally 419 and 82.26 in week 4 in the  
126 treated group while the control group had a mean value of 270 and 70 in week 1, 335.67 and  
127 84.4 in week 2, 423 and 78.2 in week 3, and week 4 had 416.67 and 84 with an average  
128 control of 343 and 77.53. There was also a significant difference ( $P<0.05$ ) across the week for  
129 both Platelet and lymphocyte while platelet was significantly different ( $P<0.05$ ) in week 2  
130 and Lymphocyte.

131

**132 Effect of Coca-cola on liver, kidney and Semen of Albino rat.**

133 The results in Tables 2 and 3 for the kidney analysis, Na had a mean value of 142, 140, 133.6  
134 and 141.66 for the treated group in week 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. While the control group  
135 had a mean value of 133.67, 157.67, 136.67 and 149.67 with an average control of 147.3. K  
136 and Cl in the treated group had a mean value of 5.2 and 93.0 in week 1, 4.8 and 94.67 in  
137 week 2, 5.6 and 108.66 in week 3 with 5.2 and 107.67 in week 4. The control group had a  
138 mean value of 4.06 and 100.67 for week 1, 7.26 and 109.67 in week 2, 5.0 and 120.0 in week

139 3 and week 4 had 5.1 and 107.67 with an average control of 5.4 and 99.33 respectively.  
140 Bicarbonate had a mean value for week 1, 2, 3 and 4 as 22.0, 24.0, 28.0 and 18.67  
141 respectively for the treated group, and 23.67, 23.6, 24.67 and 23.0 for week 1, 2, 3, and 4 in  
142 the control group with no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) across the week. The AST and ALT  
143 had a mean value of 20.67 and 10.0 in week 1, 23.0 and 9.0 in week 2, 31.67 and 13.67 in  
144 week 3, 31.67 and 13.0 in week 4 with the control having a mean value of 17.67 and 10.67 in  
145 week 1, 34.67 and 10.0 in week 2, 24.0 and 11.0 in week 3, and 23.0 and 13.0 in week 4 with  
146 an average control of 25.67 and 10.67. There was no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) across  
147 the week for both AST and ALT, while only week 4 in AST had a significant difference  
148 ( $P<0.05$ ) within the week. Protein had a mean value of 81.83, 65.8, 54.35 and 73.24 in week  
149 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively in the treated group. While the control had a mean value of 65.7,  
150 72.31, 69.26, and 73.27 in week 1, 2, 3 and 4 respectively. The average control was 69.11.  
151 The sperm count analysis carried out showed that week one, two, three and four, had  
152 significant difference in the control when compared with the test at ( $P<0.05$ ) with a mean  
153 value of 425, 140, 325 and 400 from week 1,2, 3 and 4 respectively with a control of mean  
154 value 650, 465, 575 and 575 and an average control of 566.67.

155 Table 1: Effects on Hematological Parameters in rats treated orally with coca cola (coke) for 7 days, 14 days, 21 days and 21 days + 7 days  
 156 withdrawal.

	Treatment	Treatment	PCV (%)	Hb (g/dl)	RBC( $\times 10^{12}$ )	WBC( $\times 10^9$ )	PLATELET	LYMPH. ( $\times 10^9$ )
Week 1	7 Days	Control	26.67 $\pm$ 1.52 <sup>a</sup>	9.0 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.76 $\pm$ 0.25 <sup>a</sup>	9.0 $\pm$ 2.5 <sup>a</sup>	270.0 $\pm$ 0 <sup>a</sup>	70.0 $\pm$ 5 <sup>a</sup>
Week 2	14 days	test	33.67 $\pm$ 4.5 <sup>a,A</sup>	11.2 $\pm$ 1.5 <sup>a,AB</sup>	4.23 $\pm$ 0.95 <sup>a,B</sup>	5.26 $\pm$ 0.75 <sup>a,B</sup>	315.0 $\pm$ 35 <sup>a,B</sup>	70.0 $\pm$ 0 <sup>a,B</sup>
		Control	32.57 $\pm$ 2.95 <sup>a</sup>	9.9 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>a</sup>	7.31 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>a</sup>	9.86 $\pm$ 5.65 <sup>a</sup>	335.67 $\pm$ 105.5 <sup>b</sup>	84.4 $\pm$ 1.4 <sup>a</sup>
Week 3	21 days	Test	37.16 $\pm$ 3.75 <sup>a,A</sup>	11.26 $\pm$ 1.15 <sup>a,AB</sup>	5.56 $\pm$ 0.29 <sup>b,A</sup>	12.56 $\pm$ 5.05 <sup>a,AB</sup>	733.0 $\pm$ 96 <sup>a,A</sup>	83.67 $\pm$ 7.5 <sup>a,AB</sup>
		control	32.85 $\pm$ 3.95 <sup>a</sup>	10.03 $\pm$ 1.15 <sup>a</sup>	6.35 $\pm$ 0.64 <sup>a</sup>	7.46 $\pm$ 2.85 <sup>a</sup>	423.0 $\pm$ 108 <sup>a</sup>	78.2 $\pm$ 1.4 <sup>b</sup>
Week 4	21 days+ 7 days withdrawal	Test	35.6 $\pm$ 0.9 <sup>a,A</sup>	11.25 $\pm$ 0.35 <sup>a,AB</sup>	6.04 $\pm$ 0.43 <sup>a,AB</sup>	14.56 $\pm$ 3.75 <sup>a,A</sup>	383.67 $\pm$ 53 <sup>a,B</sup>	83.76 $\pm$ 1.35 <sup>a,A</sup>
		Control	39.06 $\pm$ 2.35 <sup>a</sup>	13.86 $\pm$ 0.45 <sup>a</sup>	6.30 $\pm$ 1.67 <sup>a</sup>	6.26 $\pm$ 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	416.67 $\pm$ 3.5 <sup>a</sup>	84.0 $\pm$ 0.7 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	32.7 $\pm$ 1.22 <sup>b,A</sup>	13.4 $\pm$ 0.73 <sup>a,A</sup>	6.90 $\pm$ 0.1 <sup>a,AB</sup>	11.90 $\pm$ 1.3 <sup>a,AB</sup>	419.33 $\pm$ 7.7 <sup>a,B</sup>	82.26 $\pm$ 1.95 <sup>a,AB</sup>
	Weekly average control	control	30.69 $\pm$ 2.81 <sup>A</sup>	9.75 $\pm$ 0.78 <sup>B</sup>	5.27 $\pm$ 0.53 <sup>B</sup>	8.15 $\pm$ 3.6 <sup>B</sup>	343.0 $\pm$ 71.17 <sup>B</sup>	77.53 $\pm$ 2.6 <sup>AB</sup>

157  
 158 <sup>a-b</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (p<0.05) within the week

159 <sup>A-B</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (p<0.05) across the week

160

161 Table 2: Effects on Liver and Renal function in rats treated orally with coca-cola (coke) for 7 days, 14 days, 21 days and 21 days + 7 days  
 162 withdrawal.

	Treatment	Treatment	Na (mmol/l)	K (mmol/l)	Cl(mmol/l)	Bicarbonate(mmol/l)	AST (U/L)	ALT (U/L)	PROTEIN
Week1	7 days	Control	133.67±2.51 <sup>b</sup>	4.06±0.25 <sub>a</sub>	100.67±4.5 <sup>a</sup>	23.67±0.57 <sup>a</sup>	17.67±3.51 <sup>a</sup>	10.67±1.52 <sup>a</sup>	65.7±12.1 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	142±3 <sup>a,A</sup>	5.2±0.7 <sup>a,A</sup>	93.0±7 <sup>a,A</sup>	22.0±2.00 <sup>a,AB</sup>	20.67±6.51 <sub>a,A</sub>	10.0±2 <sup>a,BC</sup>	81.83±11.8 <sup>a,A</sup>
Week 2	14 days	Control	157.67±22.5 <sup>a</sup>	7.26±2.55 <sub>a</sub>	109.67±18.5 <sup>a</sup>	23.6±1.52 <sup>a</sup>	34.67±3.51 <sup>a</sup>	10.0±2 <sup>a</sup>	72.31±3.36 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	140.67±1.52 <sup>a,A</sup>	4.80±0 <sup>a,A</sup>	94.67±2.52 <sup>a,A</sup>	24.0±3 <sup>a,AB</sup>	23.0±1.00 <sup>b,A</sup>	9.0±1 <sup>a,C</sup>	65.8±0.61 <sup>b,AB</sup>
Week 3	21 days	Control	136.67±10.5 <sup>a</sup>	5.0±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	120±4.5 <sup>a</sup>	24.67±3.51 <sup>a</sup>	24.0±5.50 <sup>b</sup>	11.0±4 <sup>a</sup>	69.26±2.15 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	133.6±0.5 <sup>a,A</sup>	5.6±0.1 <sup>a,A</sup>	108.66±0.5 <sup>a,A</sup>	28.0±0 <sup>a,A</sup>	31.67±2 <sup>a,A</sup>	13.67±0.5 <sup>a,A</sup>	54.35±1.15 <sup>b,B</sup>
Week 4	21 days+ 7days withdrawal	Control	149.67±0.5 <sup>a</sup>	5.1±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	106.0±1 <sup>a</sup>	23.0±1 <sup>a</sup>	23.0±1 <sup>b</sup>	13.0±1 <sup>a</sup>	73.27±2.15 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	141.66±0.47 <sup>b,A</sup>	5.2±0.08 <sub>a,A</sub>	107.67±1.25 <sub>a,A</sub>	18.67±2.86 <sup>a,B</sup>	31.67±0.47 <sub>a,A</sub>	13.0±0.82 <sub>a,AB</sub>	73.24±0.82 <sup>a,A</sup>
	Weekly average control	Control	147.3±11.8 <sup>A</sup>	5.4±1.12 <sup>A</sup>	99.33±9.17 <sup>A</sup>	24.3±1.8 <sup>AB</sup>	25.67±4.17 <sup>A</sup>	10.67±1.3 <sub>ABC</sub>	69.11±5.9 <sup>A</sup>

163

164 <sup>a-b</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (p<0.05) within the week

165 <sup>A-B</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference (p<0.05) across the week

166 Table 3: Effect on Sperm Count in rats treated orally with coca-cola (coke) for 7 days, 14  
 167 days, 21 days and 21 days + 7 days withdrawal.

168

	Treatment	Treatment	Sperm Count( $\times 10^6$ )
Week 1	7 days treatment	Control	650 $\pm$ 50 <sup>a</sup>
Week 2	14 days treatment	Test	425 $\pm$ 108.3 <sup>a,AB</sup>
		Control	465 $\pm$ 175 <sup>a</sup>
Week 3	21 days treatment	Test	140 $\pm$ 225 <sup>b,B</sup>
		Control	575.0 $\pm$ 25 <sup>a</sup>
Week 4	21 days treatment+ 7 days withdrawal	Test	325.0 $\pm$ 81.8 <sup>b,AB</sup>
		Control	575.0 $\pm$ 125 <sup>a</sup>
		Test	400.0 $\pm$ 0 <sup>b,AB</sup>
	Weekly average control	Control	566.67 $\pm$ 83.3 <sup>A</sup>

169

170 <sup>a-b</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) within the week

171 <sup>A-B</sup> Different letters in the same column indicate significance difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) across the week

172

## 173 DISCUSSION

174 The RBC was generally lower than the Control for week 1, 2, and 3 while the week 4 which  
 175 is the 7 days after withdrawal was higher than the control although not significantly. This  
 176 result for RBC shows that Coca-cola exerted a negative effect on the RBC and when it was  
 177 withdrawn, the body system recovered. The level of PCV was generally higher in the treated  
 178 group when compared to the control group. This implies that Coca-cola causes an increase in  
 179 the blood PCV although not significantly. The Hb level was observed to be significantly high



180 in the treated group. According to a study, abnormal high level of HB could be as a result of  
181 dehydration and kidney tumor among other effect (Fox, 2002). This can be due to the  
182 excessive consumption of Colas because reports have linked chronic kidney diseases to the  
183 consumption of two or more Colas daily (Bonnie, 2017; Axe, 2018). The WBC also had an  
184 abrupt increase in the second week up to the fourth week, with a significant difference  
185 ( $p < 0.05$ ). The result of this work is in line with the, findings in other studies of increases in  
186 WBC corresponding with increased dosage of Cola acuminata methanoic extract, (Adam *et*  
187 *al.*,2011; Drugnon *et al.*,2010; Bassini *et al.*,2007) and contradicts the report of (Obidike *et*  
188 *al.*,2011) that the extract of kola nut did not have a significant effect on rats administered 200  
189 mg/kg of Cola acuminata methanoic extract, from WBCs count of rats. The platelet level was  
190 high in the first two weeks while the last week was low in the treated group showing that  
191 Coca-cola has negative effect on blood platelet. The abnormal and irregular rise and fall in  
192 serum electrolytes are indicators of kidney diseases which affect the ionic balance (Dhondup  
193 and Qian, 2017) and Cola beverages contains phosphoric acid and have been linked to  
194 promote kidney stones (Shuster *et al.*,1992) and also kidney dysfunction, laboratory studies  
195 have also shown that high phosphorous diets can cause nephrocalciosis in rats (Matsuzaki *et*  
196 *al.*, 1997). The AST level was observed to be high in the treated group compared to the  
197 average control, while ALT was high in the last two weeks when also compared to the  
198 average control and this indicates possible liver damage (Green and Flamm, 2002), and  
199 many studies have revealed that soft drinks such as Coca-cola cause Fatty Liver disease  
200 (Byrne, 2017). The sperm count was significantly low in the treated group when compared to  
201 the control group, this low sperm count decreases fertility which is in agreement with (Ruff *et*  
202 *al.*, 2013).

204 **CONCLUSION**

205 Excessive consumption of Coca-cola should be avoided due to its negative  
206 impact on the kidney, sperm and liver of rats as observed in this study.

207

208 **REFERENCES**

209

210 Adam, S.I., Yahya, A.A., Salih W.M. and Abdelgadir, W.S.(2011). Toxicological aspect of  
211 cola acuminata nut extract.*British Journal Pharmacology and Toxicology*, 2(4), 199-  
212 204.

213 Adjene, J. O., Ezeoke J.C. and Nwose E.U.(2010).Histological effects of chronic  
214 consumption of soda pop drinks on kidney of adult wister rats. *NAM, J. Med Sci.*, 2,  
215 215-217.

216 Amato, D., Maravilla, A., Garcia- Contreras, F., *et al.*(1997). “Soft drink and health”, *Rev*  
217 *Invest. Clin.*, 49,387-395.

218 Björndahl, L., Söderlund, I and Kvist U. (2003). Evaluation of the one-step eosin- nigrosin  
219 staining technique for human sperm vitality assessment. *Hum Reprod.* 18(4):813–6.

220 Bonnie, A. (2017). Why Is Phosphoric Acid Bad for You? Retrieved on March, 3 2018 from  
221 [https://www.livestrong.com/article/468217-why-is-phosphoric-acid-bad-for-](https://www.livestrong.com/article/468217-why-is-phosphoric-acid-bad-for-you/?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C5768045725)  
222 [you/?\\_e\\_pi\\_=7%2CPAGE\\_ID10%2C5768045725](https://www.livestrong.com/article/468217-why-is-phosphoric-acid-bad-for-you/?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C5768045725)

223 Byrne, J. (2017). Diet Soda's Effects on Liver Functions. Retrieved on March 3, 2018 from  
224 [https://www.livestrong.com/article/224712-diet-sodas-effects-on-liver-](https://www.livestrong.com/article/224712-diet-sodas-effects-on-liver-functions/?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C9788628095)  
225 [functions/?\\_e\\_pi\\_=7%2CPAGE\\_ID10%2C9788628095](https://www.livestrong.com/article/224712-diet-sodas-effects-on-liver-functions/?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C9788628095)

226 Calvo, M.S., and Carpenter, T.O. ( 2003). The influence of phosphorous on the skeleton.In  
227 New S.A., Bonjour, J.P., editor’s nutritional aspects of bone health. Royal society  
228 of chemistry, Cambridge U.K, 229-265.

229 Chen, S. J., Allam, J.P., Duan, Y. G. and Haidl, G. (2013). Influence of reactive oxygen  
230 species on human sperm functions and fertilizing capacity including  
231 therapeutical approaches. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.*,288, 191–199.

232 Dhondup T. and Qian Q. (2017). Electrolyte and Acid-Base Disorders in Chronic Kidney  
233 Disease and End-Stage Kidney Failure. *Blood Purif.* 43: 179-188

- 234 Drugnon, T.J., Kpodekon, T. M., Lalaye, A., Ahissou, H. and Loko, F. (2010). Effect of  
235 pineapple on the haematology and biochemical parameters in albino wistar rats  
236 intoxicated with Doliprane. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2(4),199-204.
- 237 Echavarria, S. M., Franco L. E., Juarez B. A. and Villanue, D. C.( 2007). Seminal quality and  
238 hormones in patients with diabetes mellitus type 2.*Ginecol. Obstet. Mex.*, 75, 241–  
239 246.
- 240 Flack, C. P. and Woollen, J. W. (1984). Prevention of interference by dextran with biuret-  
241 type assay of serum proteins. *Clinical Chemistry*, 30(4). 559-561.
- 242 Fox, C.S. (2002). Human physiology. Seventh edition. Mc Graw-Hill companies, New York.
- 243 Fox, C.S., Larson., M. G. and Leip, E.P.( 2004). Predictors of new onset kidney disease in a  
244 community-based population IAMA. 291, 844-850.
- 245 Gaby A.R., (2005). Adverse effects of dietary fructose. *Alt Med Rev*;10, 294–306.
- 246 Green, R.M. and Flamm, S.A. (2002). Technical review on the evaluation of liver chemistry  
247 tests. *Gastroenterology*, 123:1367-1384.
- 248 Kondaki K1,Grammatikaki E,Jiménez-Pavón D,De Henauw S,González-GrossM,Sjöstrom  
249 M,Gottrand F,Molnar D,Moreno LA,Kafatos A,Gilbert C,Kersting M,Manios Y.  
250 (2013). Daily sugar-sweetened beverage consumption and insulin resistance in  
251 European adolescents: the HELENA (HealthyLifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in  
252 Adolescence) Study. *Public Health Nutr.* (3):479-86. doi:  
253 10.1017/S1368980012002613.
- 254 Larsson, S.C., Bergkvist, L. and Wolk, A. (2006). Consumption of sugar and sugar-  
255 sweetened foods and the risk of pancreatic cancer in a prospective study.  
256 *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 84 (5), 1171-1176.
- 257 Malik, V.S, Popkin, B.M, Bray, G.A, Despres, J. P., Willett, W. C. and Hu F.B. (2010).  
258 Sugar- sweetened beverages and risk of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes;a  
259 meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*.33, 2477–2483.
- 260 Matsuzaki, H., Uehera, M., and Suzaki, K.(1997). High phosphorous diet rapidly induces  
261 Nephrocalcinosis and proximal tubular injury in rats.*J. Nutr.*, 119, 1423-1431.

- 262 Mozaffarian, D., Hao, T., Rimm, E. B., Willett, W. C. and Hu F. B. (2011). Changes in diet  
263 and lifestyle and long-term weight gain in women and men. *N Engl J Med.*, 364,  
264 2392–2404.
- 265 Neilsen, S.J., popkin, B.M.(2004). Changes in beverage intake between 1977 and 2001. *Am J.*  
266 *prev Med.* 27, 205-210. Doi: 10.1016/j. ampere.
- 267 Obadike, I.R., Aka, L.O., and Ezema, W.S.(2011). Effects of caffeine extract from kola nut  
268 on body weight hematology, sperm reserve and serum enzyme activities in albino rats.  
269 *Comparative clinical pathology*, 20(6), 62-30.
- 270 Pan, A., Malik, V.S, Hao, T., Willett, W.C., Mozaffarian, D. and Hu, F.B.(2013). Changes in  
271 water and beverage intake and long-term weight changes. Results from three  
272 prospective cohort studies. *Int J Obes (Lond)*, 37, 1378–1385.
- 273 Park, K., Gross, M., Lee, D.H, Holvoet, P., Himes, J.H., Shikany, J.M. and Jacobs,  
274 D.R.(2009). Oxidative stress and insulin resistance: the coronary artery risk  
275 development in young adults study. *Diabetes Care.* 32, 1302–1307.
- 276 RamaRaju, G.A., Jaya, P.G., Murali, K.K., Madan, K., Siva N.T, Ravi and Krishna C.H.  
277 (2012). Noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: effects on sperm morphological and  
278 functional characteristics. nuclear DNA integrity and outcome of assisted  
279 reproductive technique. *Andrologia.*, 44, 490–498
- 280 Rec, G. S. C. C. (1972). Colorimetric Method for Serum Alkaline Phosphatase  
281 Determination. *Journal of Clinical Chemistry and Clinical Biochemistry*, 10(2): 182
- 282 Reitman, S. and Frankel, S. (1957). A colorimetric method for determination of serum  
283 glutamate oxaloacetate and glutamic pyruvate transaminase. *American Journal of*  
284 *clinical pathology.* 28: 56-58.
- 285 Rex, (2018). Phosphoric Acid: The Dangerous Hidden Additive You’ve Likely Consumed.  
286 Retrieved on March 3, from [https://draxe.com/phosphoric-](https://draxe.com/phosphoric-acid/?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C3370440497)  
287 [acid/?\\_e\\_pi\\_=7%2CPAGE\\_ID10%2C3370440497](https://draxe.com/phosphoric-acid/?_e_pi_=7%2CPAGE_ID10%2C3370440497)
- 288 Ruff JS, Suchy AK, Hugentobler SA, Sosa MM, Schwartz BL, Morrison LC, Gieng SH,  
289 Shigenaga MK, Potts WK. Human-relevant levels of added sugar consumption  
290 increase female mortality and lower male fitness in mice. *Nat Commun.* 2013;4:2245

- 291 Saldana, T., Basso, O., Darden, R. and Sandler, D. (2007). Carbonated beverages and chronic  
292 kidney disease. *Epi- demiology, 18*(4), 501-506.
- 293 Schernhammer, E.S., Hu, F.B., Giovannucci, E., Michaud, D.S., Colditz, G.A., Stampfer, M.  
294 J. (2005). Sugar-sweetened soft drink consumption and risk of pancreatic cancer in two  
295 prospective cohorts. *Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers and Prevention, 14*, 2098-  
296 2105.
- 297 Shuster, J., Jenkins, A., Logan, C., Barnett, T., Riehle, R., and Zackson, D. (1992). Soft drink  
298 consumption and urinary stone recurrence. A randomized prevention trial. *Journal of*  
299 *Clin Epidemiol.* 45,911-916.
- 300 Uribarri, J. and Calvo M.S.(2003). Hidden sources of phosphorous in the typical American  
301 diet, “Does it matter in nephrology”? *Semin Dial. 16*, 186-188.
- 302 Vlassara, H., Vlassara, H., Cai, W., Crandall, J., Goldberg, T., Oberstein, R., (2002).  
303 Inflammatory mediators are induced by dietary glycotoxins. A major risk factor for  
304 diabetic angiopathy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci US, 99*,15596–15601.
- 305 Wright, C.M., Parker, L., Lammon, D. and Craft, A.W. (2001). Implications of childhood  
306 obesity for adult health. Findings from thousand families cohort study. *British*  
307 *Medical Journal, 323*, 1280-1284.