Case study

Methanol Toxicity Presenting as Acute Abdomen ; Case Report .

Abstract

Background ;
Acute methanol poisoning is a fatal illness .Several Atypical presentations could make it difficult to suspect diagnosis .
Case report :
A 50 years old male known chronic alcoholic presented to emergency department with severe acute abdominal pain nausea and vomiting . He rapidly deteriorated within 2 hours to develop confusion and seizures , was found to have double gap severe metabolic acidosis and elevated serum methanol . Patient was managed with Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy (CRRT) and supportive measures . He gradually improved and was discharged with no neurological or visual complications .
Concusion and Recommendatins ;
.Acute methanol toxicity should be expected if alcoholic patient develops a rapid neurological deterioration and shows double anion gap acidosis .
Health authorities should provide the serum formic acid test for diagnosis and the fomepizole as a preferable anti dote . Until then, supportive treatment , intravenous ethanol and CRRT should be immediately started in these patients .

Key words ; Methanol toxicity . Contineous Renal Replacement Treatment . Double anion gap acidosis .

Background ;

Also known as wood alcohol, methanol is a component of washing fluids, antifreeze formulations, photocopying fluids, perfumes, and paint removers (1) . Methanol is a clear, colorless solvent used in anti-freeze solutions, varnishes, paint, and fuel. Methanol poisoning may occur due to accidental or suicidal ingestion of these, or it may occur due to consumption of adulterated alcoholic beverages. The lethal dose of methanol is around 1 g/kg (2) . Methanol by itself is largely non-toxic and toxicity results due to its metabolism into formaldehyde and formic acid in the liver. This also accounts for the time lag between substance ingestion and clinical manifestation which is considered to be around 6-24 h. Patient may present with non-specific symptoms as nausea, vomiting, headache, dizziness, and weakness. Clinically, the patient may present with several complications like high anion gap metabolic acidosis, visual disturbances, and neurologic deficit. If not treated early, the patient may develop coma and death can occur (3) .

Case report ;

UNDER PEER REVIEW

50 years old male , heavy smoker, and alcoholic for 10 years , has history of hypertension and was on no regular treatments , with no other chronic illnesses or drug intake . The patient Presented to Ohud hospital emergency room (ER) with a complaint of severe epigastric pain, repeated vomiting for 2 days . There was no history of fever, hematuria , seizures, weakness, decreased sensations, or visual changes . At first om presentation to ER , the patient was fully Conscious, oriented, alert, GCS 15/15, temp.: 36.7 C , pulse 84 bpm , BP 187/127mmhg, Spo2 99% on room air. Chest , cardiovascular and neurological examinations were all normal . abdominal examination revealed Moderate epigastric tenderness . His complete blood count on presentation ; WBC 9.52 RBC 12.4 HB 15.7 PLAT 256 . Biochemistry: , Alp 157, Ck 219, AST 162 ALT 170 ALKP 157 GGT 256 ALB 40.1 bil 11.9 . Random blood sugar was RBS 227mg/dl and serum Amylase was 245 mg/dl . Kidney function tests showed blood urea nitrogen of 1.9 mmol/L, creatinine of 132 mmol/L, serum sodium 144 mmol/L, and potassium 5.2mmol/L . Arterial blood gas revealed pH of 7.17, bicarbonate of 9.9 mmol/L, and paCo2 of 19.5 mm Hg. Anion gap was 15 mmol/L , osmolal gap was 47 mmol/kg (table 1). ECG was normal. ECHO: good systolic function, mild concentric LVH, normal right side, no pericardial effusion . Thyroid Function Test , Troponin and cardiac enzymes were normal . The Surgeon revised the patient after 2h for the clinical possibility of acute pancreatitis by that time Patient became tachypnic and tachycardic PR: 130 B/m, RR: 30 B/m, Spo2: 100% room air he advised U/S abdomen that revealed no gall stones but pancreas was not seen so C-T abdomen was arranged but Patient rapidly deteriorated and became confused GCS: 12/15, PR: 167bpm, RR: 40bpm, BP: 210/109mmhg. He was immediately managed by IV thiamin 100mg , Tri B amp. (4 amp) IM and Na HCO3 infusion , Intubated , ventilated and transferred to intensive care unit . serum methanol levels were sent which were high with value of 54 mmol/L and Serum Ethanol level was 15 mmol/L , it was revealed later that the patient drank ethanol and methanol together . Serum formic acid test could not be done in our laboratory . 4 hours later while was in ICU he developed acute generalized fit aborted by propofol and dormicum . He had another repeated seizure 2 h later and was also aborted by dormicum infusion . CT Brain was done and reported to be normal . Ophthalmologist reported no optic neuropathy . VBG: improved after Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy (CRRT) pH: 7.46, pCO2: 22.5, HCO3: 15.8 . Pt gradually stabilized and improved (table 1) . He was extubated on the third day of admission and transferred to the general medical word and discharged after another 2 days . He was back to his normal with no neurological deficits .

Discussion

Methanol toxicity its effect is caused by its metabolite formic acid . Formic acid inhibits the cytochrome oxidase system resulting in reduced ATP production which eventually causes tissue hypoxia and increased serum lactate (4) . The main source of methanol in our patient was ingestion of cologne with a methanol concentration of 65.% . As there is a latent period between methanol ingestion and clinical manifestations which is the time needed for accumulation of formic acid , our patient did not show typical presentation of methanol toxicity on arrival to ER .. The main presentation of this patient was unusual as he presented with acute abdominal pain that seemed to be secondary to severe acidosis . Neurological deficits in the fortm of confusion and later seizures was delayed owing to the time of accumulation of the toxic metabolite . Ophthalmic electrophysiological tests such as ERG and ECP have been reported to be more sensitive indicators of retinotoxicity (5) , so their use, even if the funduscopic examination is normal, should be considered. In our patient both ECP and visual fields were tested and were normal . Despite the high level of serum methanol , the patient did not develop ocular toxicity and was discharged eventually with no visual disturbances or any neurological deficit , this is in agreement with Mathieu et al as they found that serum methanol concentration was not correlated with visual damages or mortality (6) . Preferable out come in our patient might be due to the early continuous renal replacement treatment . contradicting the Recent recommendations that limit hemodialysis indications (7). The fact that the patient ingested ethanol together with the methanol may

UNDER PEER REVIEW

played arole as self anti-dote and contributed to the complete recovery . We believe that Continuous renal replacement treatment might be better than the usual hemodialysis cession in removing methanol and its metabolite in a continuous manner .Intravenous ethanol infusion was not given to our patient nor fomepizole, because they were not available.

Conclusion ;

Acute methanol poisoning is a fatal illness .Several Atypical presentations could make it difficult to suspect diagnosis and is related to the time it takes to form the toxic metabolite . It should be expected if alcoholic patient develops a rapid neurological deterioration and shows double anion gap acidosis .

Recommendations ; There should be a public education campaigns about the sources and consequences of methanol ingestion . Health authorities should provide the serum formic acid test for diagnosis and the fomepizole as a preferable anti dote . Until then , supportive treatment and CRRT should be immediately started in patients with acute methanol toxicity .

Refferences ;

1- NOZHA BRAHMI, YOUSSEF BLEL, NOUR ABIDI, NADIA KOURAICHI, HAFEDH THABET, ABDERRAZEK HEDHILI, MOULDI AMAMOU . Methanol poisoning in Tunisia . Clinical Toxicology (2007) 45, 717–720.
2- Buller F, Wood CA. Cases of death and blindness from Columbian spirits and other methylated preparations. JAMA 1904;43:972–7.
3- Nikhil Gupta, Ajinkya Ashok Sonambekar, Sunil Kumar Daksh, Laxmi ,kant Tomar . A rare presentation of methanol toxicity, Ann Indian Acad Neurol 2013;16:249–51).
4- Jacobsen D. Methanol and ethylene glycol poisonings: mechanism of toxicity, clinical course: Diagnosis and treatment. Med Toxicol 1986; 1:309–334 .
5- Barceloux DG, Bond GR, Krenzelok EP, Cooper H, Vale JA. American Academy of Clinical Toxicology practice guidelines on the treatment of methanol poisoning. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol 2002; 40:415–446.
6- Mathieu P, Hassoun A, Lauwerys R. Predictors of methanol intoxication with unfavourable outcome. Human Toxicol 1987; 8:135–137 .
7- Hovd KE, Froyshov S, Gudmundsdottir H, Rudberg N, Jacobsen D. Fomepizole my change the indication for HD in methanol poisoning: Prospective study in 7 cases. Clin Nephrol 2005; 64:190–197.

Table 1 ; Patient serum biochemistry and venous peripheral gases progress throughout his hospital course .

	Normal Reference Range	ER 0 TIME	ER AFTER 1H	ICU 4H	ICU 2ED ADMISSION DATE	ICU 3ED ADMISSION DATE	ON DISCHARGE FROM THE HOSPITAL
PH	7.35-7.45	7.17	7.15	7.18	7.28	7.46	7.46
Pco2	34-45mmHg	19.5	29.9	35	38.6	22.5	35.2
Hco3	22-26 mmol/L	9.9	11.6	13.7	18.2	19.6	24.8

UNDER PEER REVIEW

RBS	2.5-6.4mmol/L	12.2	8.8	6.4			6.1
AMYLASE	25-115 U/L	245	165		96		65
BUN	2.5-6.4 mmol/L	1.9	1.9	1.5	0.5	1.01	0.4
CRE2	49-115 Umol/L	132		69.1	90.4	59	58
Na	136-145 mmol/L	144	148	140	136		135
K	3.5-5.1 mmol/L	5.2	5.6	4.2	4.1	2.5	3.6
Cl	98–107 mmol/L	112					103
Calculated osmolality		312.5					
Measured osmolality	285–295 mmol/kg	360					
Anion gap	10–14 mmol/L	15					
Osmolal gap	5–10 mmol/kg	47.5					
ALT	1-37 U/L	170		69	56		62
AST	15-41 U/L	162	143	79	65		86
GGT		256	204	209			
BILI	5.13-17.1 Umol/L	11.9	13.9				
ALB	35-41 U/L	40.1		34.09			

UNDER PEER REVIEW