

Phytochemical compositions and Antimicrobial activities of *Citrus sinensis* and *Citrus aurantifolia* peel on Selected Pathogenic Bacteria isolated from Jollof Rice

ABSTRACT

Aims: The aim of this study is to investigate the antimicrobial activities of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of orange (*Citrus sinensis*) and lime (*Citrus aurantifolia*) peels on some selected pathogenic bacteria isolated from jollof rice.

Study design: Antimicrobial analysis, phytochemical analysis

Place and duration of study: Biology Department Laboratory, Wesley University of Science and Technology, Ondo, Ondo State, Nigeria, between June 2009 and July 2017

Methodology: Antimicrobial analysis of aqueous and ethanolic extracts prepared from orange and lime peels were done by using the agar well diffusion method against the selected pathogenic bacteria. The extracts were screened for anti-nutrients such as alkaloids, tannins, oxalate, phytate and glycosides.

Results: The ethanolic extracts of orange peel showed a remarkable zone of inhibition against *Escherichia coli* (23.5 ± 0.1 mm) followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* (11.4 ± 0.0 mm) and *Bacillus cereus* (9.8 ± 0 mm). Whereas, the aqueous extracts of orange showed no zone of inhibition against the tested pathogenic bacteria. In addition the ethanolic peel extract of lime showed maximum zone of inhibition against *Staphylococcus aureus* (15.5 ± 0.0 mm) followed by *Escherichia coli* (14.3 ± 0.1 mm) and *Bacillus cereus* (12.1 ± 0.2 mm), whereas its aqueous peel extract showed no zone of inhibition against *K. pneumonia*, *S. aureus*, *E. coli* and *B. cereus*. Both ethanolic extracts of orange and lime peels showed no zone of inhibition against *K. pneumonia*. Streptomycin, the reference antibiotic, had no zone of inhibition against *B. cereus* and *S. aureus* whereas it recorded maximum zone of inhibition against *E. coli* (24.0 ± 0.0 mm) and *K. pneumonia* (25.1 ± 0.1 mm). The phytochemical analysis showed presence of oxalate, alkaloids, phytate, tannins and glycoside in the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of lime and orange peels. The antimicrobial activities of ethanolic extracts of both lime and orange peels demonstrated inhibitory effect against the targeted organisms such as *B. cereus*, *S. aureus* and *E. coli*.

32 **Conclusion:** The exploration of novel antimicrobial agents from natural resources such as plant
33 like Lime and sweet orange as food preservative is due to the presence of various secondary
34 metabolites.

35
36 **Keywords:** Agar well diffusion, Phytochemical constituents, Antimicrobial activities, *Citrus*
37 *sinensis*, *Citrus aurantifolia*, Pathogenic bacteria

39 **Introduction**

40 Sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*), the tasty, juicy fruit, belonging to the family Rutaceae and
41 subfamily *Aurantioideae* is a small evergreen tree 7.5 m high and in some cases up to 15 m
42 (**Etebu and Nwauzoma, 2014**). It is commonly called orange and a major source of vitamins,
43 especially vitamin C, sufficient amount of folacin, calcium, potassium, thiamine, niacin and
44 magnesium (**Etebu and Nwauzoma, 2014**). Sweet orange is the second most important and
45 widely grown fruit crop after banana, with total global production reported to be more than 180
46 million tons (**Etebu and Nwauzoma, 2014**). Economically, trades of orange fruits worldwide
47 generate about 105 billion dollars per year all over the world. Orange is widely grown in Nigeria
48 and many other tropical and subtropical regions for its nutritional and medicinal properties
49 (**Etebu and Nwauzoma, 2014; Parle and Chaturvedi, 2012**). The major medicinal properties
50 of orange have been reported to include anti-bacterial, anti-fungal, anti-diabetic, cardio-
51 protective, anti-cancer, anti-arthritic, anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidant, anti-Tubercular, anti-
52 asthmatic and anti-hypertensive (**Parle and Chaturvedi, 2012**). Oranges are generally available
53 from winter through summer with seasonal variations depending on the variety.

54 Lime (*Citrus aurantifolia*) also belonging to the family Rutaceae, it is a small evergreen, shrubby
55 and ever bearing tree, about 5 m tall, that is densely and irregularly branched and possesses short
56 and stiff spines (thorns) (**Enejoh et al. 2015**). It is commonly called Lime (Nigeria), Key lime,
57 Mexican lime, Sour lime, Dayap, bilolo, Indian lime, Egyptian lime (**Enejoh et al. 2015**). *C.*
58 *aurantifolia* is widespread in tropical and subtropical regions around the World such as North
59 America (Florida, Texas, California, Mexico, etc.), India, Egypt, and Central America
60 (**Sandoval-Montemayor et al. 2012**). Lime is used in traditional medicine as an antiseptic,
61 anthelmintic, mosquito bite repellent, tonic, antiscorbutic, astringent, diuretic, headache, arthritis,
62 digestive and appetite stimulant, and for colds, coughs and sore throats (**Sandoval-Montemayor**

63 *et al.* 2012). In addition, essential oils derived from lime are used as flavoring agents in
64 beverages, foods manufacturing, pharmaceutical products and as ingredients in perfumes
65 (Sandoval-Montemayor *et al.* 2012).

66 The demand for novel antimicrobial agent source from nature for food preservation has been on
67 the increased worldwide (Dabesor *et al.* 2017). These antimicrobial agents with potential
68 benefits over synthetic antimicrobials have been defined as the agent that kill or inhibit the
69 growth of other microorganisms (Dabesor *et al.* 2017). The exploration of novel antimicrobial
70 agents from natural resources such as plant or plant products and others has been used mainly for
71 treating diseases, food safety and food preservation purpose (Ashok *et al.* 2015). In addition to
72 the used of citrus in food industry for juice production, citrus processing by-products such as the
73 peels are rich sources of secondary metabolites, which are able to exhibit inhibitory effect
74 against the growth of most pathogens (Ashok *et al.* 2015). *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella species*,
75 *Shigella species*, *Klebsiella pneumonia*, *Vibrio species*, *Clostridium botulinum*, *Enterococcus*
76 *species* are few examples out of many known food borne pathogens. Also there is a rapid
77 increase in food borne illnesses caused by the presence of food borne pathogens in food either
78 due to food contamination, food spoilage or mishandling of food. But use of natural
79 antimicrobial agents may prevent or extend the time duration required for spoilage of food
80 (Dabesor *et al.* 2017). Antimicrobial activities of solvent extracts and oils from citrus peel have
81 been demonstrated in several literatures, but there are little or no knowledge of the antimicrobial
82 activities of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of orange (*Citrus sinensis*) and lime (*Citrus*
83 *aurantifolia*) peels on selected food borne pathogens. Therefore, the objectives of these studies
84 are: to assess and compare antimicrobial activities of both aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *C.*
85 *sinensis* and *C. aurantifolia* peels on selected pathogenic bacteria isolated from jollof rice, and to
86 determine the anti-nutrients composition of both aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *C. sinensis*
87 and *C. aurantifolia* peels.

88

89 **Materials and Methods**

90 **Collection of plant materials and preparation of plant extracts**

91 Fresh fruits of Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) and Lime (*Citrus aurantifolia*) used in this study were
92 purchased from the local market in Ondo town, Ondo state, Nigeria.

93 Extracts were prepared as described by **Harbone (1998)** with slight modifications. The peels
94 were removed and carefully washed under running water, followed by sterile distilled water.
95 They were then air dried at room temperature for 14 days and pulverized to fine powder using a
96 sterilized electric blender, then stored in air-tight bottles. The solvents used for the extraction
97 were 98% ethanol and cold distilled water. Exactly 20g each of the dried powder of the two peels
98 were separately soaked in 100 and 200 ml of (98%) ethanol and cold distilled water,
99 respectively. Each solution was allowed to stand for 72 hours, after which they were sieved with
100 a muslin cloth and filtered using No. 1 Whatman filter paper. The filtrates were collected in a
101 beaker and concentrated in a vacuum at a temperature below 40°C using a rotary evaporator
102 (Heidolph, VE-11). The resulting crude extracts obtained were exposed to UV rays for 24 hrs to
103 check for sterility on nutrient agar plates.

104 **Anti- nutrients composition of the Plant Extract**

105 The extracts were screened for anti-nutrients such as alkaloids, tannins, oxalate, phytate and
106 glycosides in accordance with **Trease and Evans (2004)**.

107 **Sources of Microorganisms**

108 The microorganisms used for this study were isolated from food samples (Jollof rice). The food
109 samples were obtained from three randomly selected restaurants in Wesley University Ondo,
110 Ondo State, Nigeria in sterile plastic container (labelled with appropriate letters and numbers)
111 and transported to the University's Microbiology laboratory within 60 minutes of collection and
112 kept for 72 hours for microbiological analysis.

114 **Isolation of Microorganisms**

115 **Preparation of Culture Media**

116 The culture agar media used for the isolation were prepared according to the manufacturer's
117 specification.

118 **Culture Preparation**

119 The samples were inoculated in triplicate on Eosin Methylene blue agar, Salmonella-Shigella
120 agar, McConkey agar and Nutrient agar media as base media. The streak plate method was used
121 for plating. Briefly, a grain of 72 hours old food samples (jollof rice) was picked and smeared
122 over one corner of the solid medium. The wire loop was sterilized over a Bunsen flame, cooled

123 and used to make parallel streaking from the main inoculated plate. The plates were then
124 incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and analyzed.

125

126 **Identification of Microorganisms**

127 The isolates were identified by standard methods **Buchanan and Gibbon (1974)**. Biochemical
128 tests for sugar fermentation, starch hydrolysis test, catalase test, coagulase test, and indole test
129 were carried out for further identification.

130 **Evaluation of Antibacterial Activity by disc diffusion method**

131 The antimicrobial activities of aqueous and ethanolic extract of the peel of *C. sinensis* and *C.*
132 *aurantifolia* extracts were determined by the Agar Well diffusion method as described by
133 **Esimore et al. (1998)**. Nutrient agar plates were prepared to evaluate the Antimicrobial Activity
134 of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of the peel of *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantifolia* against isolated
135 pathogenic bacteria. 0.05ml inoculums of isolated bacteria in sterile distilled water was
136 uniformly spread on nutrient agar plates with the help of glass spreader, after five minutes 8.0
137 mm diameter well was bored in the plates with the help of sterile cork borer. 0.05 ml of 20
138 mg/ml aqueous and ethanolic fruit extracts and standard antibiotic; streptomycin (1.5 mg) were
139 poured into the well with the help of sterile syringe. The plates were allowed to diffuse for about
140 30 min and then transferred to the incubator. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24hr. and
141 after incubation plates were observed for the zone of inhibition.

142

143 **Results**

144 Table 1 shows the isolated organisms and some biochemical characteristics of the isolates. From
145 the results, the bacteria isolates were *Bacillus cereus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*
146 and *Staphylococcus aureus*.

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154 **Table 1. Isolated microorganisms and some biochemical characteristics.**

Biochemical test	Organisms			
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
Catalase	+	+	+	+
Coagulase	+	+	-	+
Starch hydrolysis	+	+	+	-
Sugar fermentation	AG	AG	AG	AG
H ₂ S production	+	+	+	+
Voges proskauer	-	-	-	-
Indole	-	-	-	-
Gelatin hydrolysis	-	+	+	+
Gram reaction	-ve	+ve	-ve	+ve

155 **Key: (+) = Positive reaction, (-) = Negative reaction, (-ve) = gram negative, (+ve) = Gram**
 156 **posiive, AG = Acid and gas production.**

157
 158 Quantitative screening of some extracted phytochemicals show that the extracts of orange and
 159 lime peels contained alkaloids, oxalate, tannins, phytate and glycosides. The values of ethanolic
 160 extracts of lime and orange for alkaloid, oxalate, tannins, phytate and glycosides were (11.65
 161 mg/g, 1.22 mg/g, 2.91 %, 5.85 mg/g and 0.17 mg/g) and (12.20 mg/g, 0.84 mg/g, 1.34 %, 6.33
 162 mg/g and 1.45 mg/g), respectively. The values of aqueous extracts of lime and orange for
 163 alkaloid, oxalate, tannins, phytate and glycosides were (9.10 mg/g, 1.54 mg/g, 3.48 %, 4.70 mg/g
 164 and 0.11 mg/g), respectively (Table 2).

165
 166
 167
 168
 169 **Table 2: Quantitative analysis of phytochemicals of *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantifolia* peels**

Extract	Alkaloid (mg/g)	Oxalate (mg/g)	Tannin (%)	Phytate (mg/g)	Glycoside (mg/g)
---------	-----------------	----------------	------------	----------------	------------------

AEL	9.10 ± 0.03	1.54 ± 0.01	3.48 ± 0.01	4.70 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.02
AEO	14.25 ± 0.03	0.56 ± 0.0	0.86 ± 0.01	5.95 ± 0.0	2.18 ± 0.0
EEL	11.65 ± 0.41	1.22 ± 0.03	2.91 ± 0.12	5.85 ± 0.03	0.17 ± 0.03
EEO	12.20 ± 0.15	0.84 ± 0.05	1.34 ± 0.09	6.33 ± 0.03	1.45 ± 0.03

170 **Values are means ± standard deviation for three samples. Legend: SD = Standard**
 171 **deviation; AEL= Aqueous extract of lime peel; AEO = Aqueous extract of orange peel;**
 172 **EEL= Ethanolic extract of lime peel; EEO = Ethanolic extract of orange peel.**
 173

174 Table 3 shows the antimicrobial activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *C. sinensis* peel
 175 (orange). Aqueous peel extract of orange showed no inhibitory effect against all the tested
 176 microorganisms. Meanwhile, its ethanolic peel extract resulted in a remarkable inhibition zone
 177 against *E. coli* (23.5 ± 0.1 mm) followed by *S. aureus* (11.4 ± 0.0 mm) and *B. cereus* (9.8 ± 0.0
 178 mm). No inhibitory effect was recorded against *K. pneumoniae*.

179
180
181
182

183 **Table 3: Antimicrobial activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *C. sinensis* (Orange)**
 184 **peel on the tested microorganisms**

Microorganisms	Zones of inhibition zone (mm)		
	Streptomycin (1.5mg/ml)	Aqueous extract	Ethanolic extract
<i>B. cereus</i>	-	-	9.8 ± 0.0
<i>E. coli</i>	24.0 ± 0.0	-	23.5 ± 0.1
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	25.1 ± 0.1	-	-
<i>S. aureus</i>	-	-	11.4 ± 0.0

185 **Observations are expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD) for three samples, (-)**
 186 **represents no inhibition.**

187

188 Table 4 shows the antimicrobial activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *C. aurantifolia* peel
 189 (lime). Aqueous peel extract of orange showed no inhibitory effect against all the tested
 190 microorganisms. Ethanolic peel extract of lime resulted in a remarkable inhibition zone against
 191 *S. aureus* (15.5 ± 0.0 mm) followed by *E. coli* (14.3 ± 0.1 mm) and *B. cereus* (12.1 ± 0.2 mm).
 192 No inhibitory effect was recorded against *K. pneumoniae*.

193

194 **Table 4: Antimicrobial activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *C. aurantifolia* (Lime)**195 **peel on the tested microorganisms**

Microorganisms	Zones of inhibition zone (mm)		
	Streptomycin (1.5mg/ml)	Aqueous extract	Ethanolic extract
<i>B. cereus</i>	-	-	12.1 ± 0.2
<i>E. coli</i>	24.0 ± 0.0	-	14.3 ± 0.1
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	25.1 ± 0.1	-	-
<i>S. aureus</i>	-	-	15.5 ± 0.0

196 **Observations are expressed as means ± standard deviation (SD) for three samples, (-)**
 197 **represents no inhibition.**

198

199 **Discussion**

200 Microorganisms isolated from the jollof rice samples in this study have been earlier reported by
 201 **Okolie et al. (2012)**. The biochemical test performed on the isolated microorganism reveals that
 202 out of the four isolates two were gram positive (*B. cereus* and *S. aureus*) while the other two are
 203 gram negative (*E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*). These pathogenic organisms in addition to others
 204 release toxins, which are the agents responsible for illnesses such as diarrhea, dysentery, nausea
 205 and vomiting, caused by these organisms upon consumption of the contaminated foods (**Okolie**
 206 **et al. 2012**).

207 Drugs used by people in ancient time are mostly prepared by extraction with water, because they
 208 do not usually had access to more lipophilic solvents (**Hussain et al. 2015**). This is of concern, as
 209 all the active compound(s) that are present in the plant are not all extracted by most healers and
 210 consequently the prepared drug might not contain all the pharmacologically active compounds.
 211 The obtained results of phytochemical analysis indicated the presence of alkaloid, oxalate,
 212 tannins, phytate and glycosides. Phytochemicals are secondary metabolites produced by plants
 213 that fight against microorganisms in their environment (**Dabesor et al. 2017**). There are
 214 variations in the phytochemical constituents; this may be due to its solubility in the solvents used
 215 for extraction. **Ngele et al. (2014)** stated that phytochemical constituents of the extracts are
 216 known to be biologically active and therefore aid in the antimicrobial activities.

217 In this study, the ethanolic extracts of the peels of orange and lime fruits showed greater
218 antibacterial activity as compared to their water extracts with no antibacterial activity against the
219 tested food borne microorganisms. *Bacillus cereus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumonia* and
220 *Staphylococcus aureus* were found to be resistant with aqueous extracts of both lime and orange
221 fruits peels, but showed antibacterial activity against *Bacillus cereus*, *Escherichia coli* and
222 *Staphylococcus aureus* with ethanolic extract. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* was found to be also
223 resistant with the ethanolic extract of both lime and orange fruits peel. The ethanolic extract of
224 orange fruit peel exhibited a remarkable zone of inhibition against *E. coli* (23.5 ± 0.1 mm)
225 followed by *S. aureus* (11.4 ± 0.0 mm) and *B. cereus* (9.8 ± 0.0 mm) compared to *K.*
226 *pneumoniae* with no zone of inhibition. While the ethanolic extract of lime fruit peel also showed
227 remarkable zone of inhibition against *S. aureus* (15.5 ± 0.0 mm) followed by *E. coli* (14.3 ± 0.1
228 mm) and *B. cereus* (12.1 ± 0.2 mm) compared to *K. pneumoniae* with no zone of inhibition. This
229 research work is in agreement with Nisha *et al.* (Nisha *et al.*, 2015) and Nair *et al.* (Nair *et al.*
230 2005) who also reported better antibacterial activity with orange peel extract prepared in organic
231 solvent. Nisha *et al.* reported that the potency of citrus fruit peel is enhanced by the type of
232 solvent used indicating that there are some active ingredients in orange peel which have high
233 antimicrobial effect but which would not be released except when orange fruit peel is used in
234 conjunction with a particular solvent (Nisha *et al.* 2015).

235 Notably, the zone of inhibition of the ethanolic extracts of orange and lime fruits peel against *S.*
236 *aureus* and *B. cereus* are higher than the control (Streptomycin) with no zone of inhibition, these
237 findings corroborates the potentials of plant extracts for antibacterial activity. In this study the
238 antimicrobial activity against gram negative (*E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*) and gram positive (*B.*
239 *cereus* and *S. aureus*) bacteria is an indication of the broad spectrum activity of the orange and
240 lime peel extracts.

241 The variation in the antimicrobial activity of the two extracts (Tables 3 and 4) showed that
242 different extracts may have varying antimicrobial agents with different modes of action and
243 bacteria susceptibility or that not all phytochemicals that are responsible for antibacterial activity
244 are soluble in a single solvent (Dabesor *et al.* 2017).

245
246
247

248 **Conclusion**

249 The study suggested that the ethanolic extract of *C. sinensis* and *C. aurantifolia* peels have
250 varying degrees of antimicrobial activity against some tested food borne pathogen such as *E.*
251 *coli*, *S. aureus* and *B. cereus*. This suggests that the ethanolic extracts of both fruit peels can be
252 of beneficial effect in developing a preservative that can be used in preserving food against food
253 borne pathogens. The results also revealed the presence of bioactive phytochemicals in the peels
254 of both fruits, in which evidences gathered in earlier studies have confirmed to be medicinally
255 important. Therefore, the peels of orange and lime fruits could be used as a good source of
256 antibacterial agent against food borne pathogens.

257

258

259

260 **Conflict of interest:** The authors declared no conflicts of interest.

261

262

263

264 **References**

- 265 1. Ashok W., Shalu H., and Geeta I. (2015). Antimicrobial activity of *Citrus sinensis*
266 (orange), *Citrus limetta* (sweet lime) and *Citrus limon* (lemon) peel oil on selected
267 food borne pathogens. *International Journal of Life Science Research*, 3(3), 35-39.
- 268 2. Buchanan, R. F., and Gibbon, N. E. (1974). Bergey's manual of determinative
269 bacteriology. 8TH edition. *The Williams and Wilkins Co. Baltimore*.
- 270 3. Dabesor A. P., Asowata-Ayodele A. M., and Umoiette P. (2017). Phytochemical
271 Compositions and Antimicrobial activities of *Ananas comosus* Peel (M.) and *Cocos*
272 *nucifera* Kernel (L.) on selected food borne pathogens. *American Journal of Plant*
273 *Biology*, 2 (2), 73-76.
- 274 4. Enejoh, S. O., Ogunyemi, I. O., Bala, M. S., Oruene, I. S., Suleiman, M., and Ambali, S.
275 F. (2015). Ethnomedical Importance of *Citrus Aurantifolia* (Christm) Swingle. *The*
276 *Pharma Innovation Journal*. 4(8), 01-06.

- 277 5. Esimore C. O., Adikwu M. U., and Okonta J. M. (1998). Preliminary antimicrobial
278 screening of the ethanolic extract from the lichen *Usneasubfloridans* (L). *Journal of*
279 *Pharmaceutical Research Development*, 3(2), 99-100.
- 280 6. Etebu, E., and Nwauzoma, A. B. (2014). A review on sweet orange (*Citrus Sinensis*
281 Osbeck): health, diseases, and management. *American Journal of Research*
282 *Communication*, 2(2), 33-70.
- 283 7. Harborne J. B. (1998). "Method of extraction and isolation in Phytochemical Methods"
284 Chapman and Hall, London, pp 60-66.
- 285 8. Hussain, K. A., Tarakji, B., Kandy, B. P. P., John, J., Mathews, J., Ramphul, V., and
286 Divakar, D. D. (2015). Antimicrobial effects of *citrus sinensis* peel extracts against
287 periodontopathic bacteria: an *in vitro* study. *Rocz Panstw Zakl Hig*, 66 (2), 173 - 178
- 288 9. Nair R., Kalariya T., and Sumitra C. (2005). Antibacterial activity of some selected
289 Indian medicinal flora. *Turkish Journal Biology*, 29, 41-7.
- 290 10. Ngele K. K., Olugbue V. U., and Okorie U. V. (2014). Phytochemical constituents and
291 Antimicrobial effect of unripe epicarp of orange fruits (*Citrus sinensis*) against
292 *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. *International Journal of Science and*
293 *Nature*, 5(3): 418-422.
- 294 11. Nisha, S. N., Swedha, A. A., and Rahaman, J. S. N. (2015). Antibacterial activity of
295 citrus sinensis peel against enteric pathogens. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical*
296 *Research and Bio-science*, 2 (5), 1-13
- 297 12. Okolie, N. P., Omonigbehin, E., Badru O. A., and Akande, I. S. (2012). Isolation of
298 pathogenic bacteria from some foods sold at selected private schools in Akoka area of
299 Yaba – Lagos, Nigeria. *African Journal of Food Science*, 6 (3), 65-69.
- 300 13. Parle M., and Chaturvedi D. (2012). Orange: range of benefits. *International research*
301 *journal of pharmacy*, 3 (7), 59 - 63
- 302 14. Sandoval-Montemayor, N. E., García, A., Elizondo-Treviño, E., Garza-González, E.,
303 Alvarez, L., and Camacho-Corona, M., R. (2012). Chemical composition of hexane
304 extract of *Citrus aurantifolia* and anti-*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* activity of some of its
305 constituents. *Molecules*, 17, 11173 - 11184
- 306 15. Trease G. E., Evans W. C. (2004). "Pharmacognosy" Baillvera Tindall, London, 13, 309-
307 707.
308