# Breeding potential and multivariate analyses of morphological and yield traits in industrial sugarcane(*Saccharumofficinarum*L.) accessions in a humid tropical agroecology

# 5 ABSTRACT

Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarumL.) is propagated mostly by vegetative method. Although vegetative 6 7 propagation conservesplant's germplasm; it poseschallenges in crop breeding. This field study assessed the breeding potential of twelve industrial sugarcane accessions in a humid tropical agroecology of Nigeria. The 8 9 experimentwas laid-out in a randomised complete block design with three replications. Accessions AKWA-005, B70607, C01001, CP65-357, DB37/45 and F141 produced flowers; an indicative trait of their suitabilityas 10 prospective materials for hybridization. Accession DB37/45 had the highest brix value of 16.3%, followed by 11 B61208 with 15.7%, accession C01001 had the highest cane yield (58.9 t/Ha) and longest stalks (150 cm); these 12 further highlighted the potentialsof C01001, DB37/45, CP65-357, B61208 and AKWA-005 for yieldimprovement 13 in sugarcane through selection. Whereas principal component and hierarchicalcluster analyses (Ward's method) 14 grouped HAT4, F141 and IMO-002 together, the other accessions formed a separate but distinct grouping. These 15 groupings provided a background information as an aid to selection of similar accessions. Cluster analysis and 16 linear correlation identified significant (P = .05) positive association between the following traits: stalk girth, stalk 17 length and cane yield. Thus, these traits can be simultaneously selected for and improved in sugarcane. Overall, 18 accession C01001, DB37/45, CP65-357, B61208 and AKWA-005 are recommended for inclusion in the breeding 19 for adaptable lines of sugarcane in the humid tropical agroecology. 20

21 Keywords: Saccharum officinarumL.; tropical agroecology; multivariate analysis; crop breeding; Brix

### 22 INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*L.) has many domestic and industrial uses because the stem is rich in sugar, mainly sucrose. Sucroseis the table sugar consumed by most people all over the world. It isan ingredient in the making of many medicines and beverages; it is also used assweetener in confectionery and related industries. It is the energy source of the ethanol used as fuel by 80% of the eco-friendly cars in Brazil; about 5.4 billion gallons of fuel was produced from sugarcane in 2006 [1]. Chopped and dried sugarcane stalks are used as cattle feed. Sugarcane is a perennial plant in the family Poaceae (grass family); it has jointed fibrous stalks and can grow up to six metres inheight. It is cultivated mostly by vegetative method; ensuring that the genotypes are conserved forgenerations. However, the demerit of the vegetative propagation is the non-exploitation of segregation and recombination of genesassociated with sexual reproduction, which are crucial for the uncovering of possible inherent genetic variability in the species. Sexual reproduction produces new gene combinations leading to the variation in the genotypes and phenotypes of the progeny; in contrast, in most as exual reproduction processes, the progenies are identical to their parents. Other demerits of vegetative reproduction in some species include nonflowering, reduced flowering and poor seed set, which hinder their breeding potential. Also, due to the associated genetic uniformity of vegetatively propagated crops, pests and diseases attack could be very devastating.

37

38 The genotype, environment and the interaction between genotype and environment have separate and combined 39 roles to play in determining the phenotypic value of a plant. Since vegetativelypropagated crops areoften highly 40 geneticallyalike; any variation withinthelines is mostly induced by environmental effect. This could make intra-41 varietal selectionineffective unless there wasgermplasm contamination that resulted from mechanical mixture of 42 lines and/or mislabelling of the varieties. However, inter-varietal selection in vegetatively propagated species 43 would be effective, in that, a single plant selected from a population can form the basis for developing a new variety; and either one or two cycles of selection are enough to produce a fixed genotype. Alternative methods of 44 breeding vegetatively propagated orclonal cropsare through mutation breeding technique. Iwo et al. [2]reported 45 success in the improvement in rhizome yield and oleoresin contentin ginger and Kaur et al. [3]have increased cane 46 vield and red rot resistance in sugarcanethroughgamma ray radiation. In another breeding effort in 47 48 sugarcane,Usman [4]developed disease-free plantlets through tissue culture technique.

49

50 Sugarcane is grown in most tropical countries [5]. The total world production was about 1.7 million MT, on land 51 area of 23.8 million hectares; of which Brazil produced more than 300,000 MT; India 285, 000 MT and China 52 114, 000 MT, in 2009 [6]. Nigeria is one of the sugarcane producers in Africa; the crop is produced for 53 domesticsugar used although the sugar is grossly inadequate, the country augments by import of over \$500 million 54 worth of brown sugar from Brazil annually [7], this situation can be remedied.

Generally, agricultural productivity has fallen greatly in Nigeria, the countryproduces only about 5% of world palm oil and groundnut [8], against 50% and 30% respectively in the 1960s; this trend has affected the production of other crops, including sugarcane. Development in the sugar industry has been very slow due to overdependency on sugar importation, in spite of the availability of land, manpower and other resources for sugarcane

production [9]. Wada et al. [10] noted several factors that hindersugarcane production in Nigeria and North Africa. 59 60 The factors areinsufficient investment, low capital outlay, lack of good market network and spacefor agricultural 61 land. biotic factors beetles (*Migdolusfrvanus*) andsoft (e.g. cane scale insect 62 (Pulvinariaternivalucta(Newstead) and several abiotic stress factors. Whereas, the economic and political concerns identified in sugarcane production can be amended through appropriate policies, thebiotic and abiotic factors are 63 64 issues that should trigger sugarcane breeding efforts.

65

The goals of sugarcane breeding programmes can be to increase sugar yield, plant biomass(height, plant girth and 66 67 number off stalks per plot)and resistance to pests and diseases. There is need to identify the most suitable genotypes for cultivation in each of the agroecologies of Nigeria. Developing exotic cultivar of industrial 68 sugarcane with high sugar vield for the humid tropical agroecology of Nigeria is very necessary. The more widely 69 70 adaptable the cultivar, the more productive the venture will be.In varietal trials, the breederfinds the most adaptable variety basedon somedesirabletraits. Multivariate analysis tools, such as principal component, factor 71 72 and cluster analyses, discrimination and classification can be applied to study multiple characters simultaneously 73 [11, 12, 13]. Selection for yield potential is useful for the improvement of crops and it is usually the main objective of breeding programmes [13]. Varietal development is a continuous process that involves evaluation for 74 high yield, better quality, response o fertilizer, resistance to diseases and other pests and tolerance to abiotic stress 75 76 dependingon the objective of the breeding programme. The objective of this study was to evaluate twelve 77 accessions of industrial sugarcane for morphological and yieldtraits in a humid tropical ecology for breeding 78 purpose.

79

## 80 Materials and methods

Twelve industrial sugarcane accessions; namelyAKWA-005, B61208, B70607, C01001, C0504, CP65-357, DB37/45, EBON-006, F141, HAT4, IMO-002 and TRITON,wereobtained from the National Cereals Research Institute (NCRI), Badeggi, Niger State, Nigeria. The accessionswere grownin the field trials in the 2014 and 2015 cropping seasons in the Teaching and Research Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Forestry and Wildlife Resources Management, University of Calabar, Nigeria. Calabar (Latitude 4.5 °N; Longitude 8.0 °E) is a rainfedregion of Nigeria; the average rainfall ranges from 2000 to 3500 mm. Mean daily temperature is from 27 to 35 °Cwith the relative humidity rangingfrom 70 to 85% annually. The area has rainfall, almostall year round, with an exception of a 10 to 15 days dry spellwithin the first and second weeks in August. Rainfall markedly intensifies soil
erosion and coastal flooding in this area [14]. The weather, vegetation and the other conditions qualify Calabar as a
humid tropical ecology. The physico-chemical composition of the soil in the experimental site is presented in

10.

**91** Table 1.

#### 92 Table 1. Some physical and chemical soil properties of the experimental site (0 – 30cm)

Physico-chemical Parameter
Physicalproperties Clay = 10.2%
Silt = 38.6%
Sand = 50.3%
Texture = Sandy loam
Porosity = 57.2%
Chemicalnronerties
rH(HO) = 60
$p_{11}(11_20) = 0.0$
Organic Carbon = $8.0 \text{ gkg}^{-1}$
Available $P = 5.5 \text{ mgkg}^{-1}$
Total N = $0.6 \text{ gkg}^{-1}$
Exchangeablebases
$Ca = 0.9 \text{ Cmolkg}^{-1}$
$Mg = 0.6 \text{ Cmolkg}^{-1}$
$K = 0.1 \text{ Cmolkg}^{-1}$
$Na = 0.4 \text{ Cmolkg}^{-1}$
$CEC = 4.0 \text{ Cmolkg}^{-1}$

93

The sugarcane accessions were planted in the field in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) in three replicates. The main plot was 23 m x 44 m, each plot was 5m x 1.5m, between row spacing was 1.5m and within row spacing was 1m. The same-aged cane cuttings for planting had three nodes. Standard agronomic practices for sugarcane cultivation were carried out.Data collected were on sproutingpercentage (SPR%) at 21 days after planting (DAP) and establishment percentage (EST%) atfive months after planting (MAP). Flowering behaviour (FLBEH), scored as flowering = 1 and non-flowering = 0; flowering cycle (FCY) were set as Early flowering =139-168 DAP, Medium flowering =169-200 DAP and Late flowering =201-245 DAP; flowering intensity was
scoredas shy = 0, medium = 1andprofused =2; and Sexuality (SEX) was in three categories; no-flower(NF),
staminate (Male)andpistillate (Female)plants. Yield traits were brix value (°Bx) measured with hand held
refractometer at 12 MAP, stalk length (SLNG), stalk girth (SGTH) and number of millable stalks per plot
(MLST).Cane yield (YIELD) was the weight of millable stalks in tonnes per hectare (t/ha).Heritability in broad
sense was estimated according to Hasan *et al.* [15]. Genetic advance was calculated according to the formula
given by Johnson *et al.* [16].

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the morphological characteristics was computed with the GenStat 8.1 package
[17], significant differences between means were compared using Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (DNMRT)
at 95% confidence level. The multivariate analyses were computed with Past 3 package (Hammer *et al.* [18];
principal components with Eigen values greater than one are discussed [19]. Pearson's (linear) correlation
coefficients were also calculated.

112

#### 113 **Results and Discussion**

114 Some morphological characteristics in the 12 industrial sugarcane accessions are presented in Table 2. Thesprouting percentage ranged from 50 to 100 %, all the cane-setts planted of C01001 and DB37/45 sprouted in 115 the humid tropical agroecology. The establishment percentage in the sugarcane accessions followed the pattern in 116 117 the sprouting; at five months after planting, all the stands (100%) of DB37/45 were still growing.AKWA-005, B70607, C01001, CP65-357, DB37/45 and F141 produced flowers within168 days after planting (DAP). AKWA-118 119 005, C01001 and DB37/45 were declared as early flowering accessions.B70607 and CP65-357 were medium 120 flowering accessions and F141 was a late but profusely flowering accession with an intensity of 2, followed by AKWA-005 with an averageflowering intensity of 1.5;C01001, CP65-357 and DB37/45 had an intensity of 1.33, 121 122 while B70607 hadan intensity of 1.Flowering behaviour, flowering cycle and intensity are very important 123 attributes of plant breeding; they determine planting time, ease and suitability for crossing of either individual or a group of plants. The flowering intensity determines the nature of the sexuality in plants. Sugarcane accessions 124 (C01001 and CP65-357) which were considered 'shy'in the flowering intensity shed pollen very poorly. These 125 accessions could be exploited as female plantsduring hybridization, thus eliminating the need for artificial 126

emasculation. The accessions, AKWA-005, B70607, C01001, CP65-357, DB37/45 and F141, produced flowers in

128 this study and re suitable accessions for hybridization.

129

The Brix values (°Bx) ranged from 11.7 % (EBON-006) to 16.3% (DB37/45). The Brix values in this experiment 130 are comparable to the sucrose content in somevegetables, such as watermelon and pineapple<sup>20</sup>. The stem girth 131 ranged from 5.5 cm(F141)to 8.2 cm(DB37/45). The stem girth for DB37/45 and B61208 were not significantly 132 different (P = .05). The stalk length of 150.3 cm in C01001 was the longest, but was not significantly 133 134 (p≥0.05)longer than the 140.3 cm in AKWA-005 (Table 3). The number of millable stalks per stool ranged from 3.8 (HAT4)to 8.3 (CP65-357). The meannumber of millable stalks was 6.3; six accessions (B70607, C01001, 135 CP65-357, EBON-006, F141 and IMO-002) produced more millable stalksthan the group's average (Table 3). 136 137 The cane yield ranged from 9.4 t/ha(HAT4) to 58.9 t/ha(C01001). The average cane yield was 33.85 t/ha; five accessions; AKWA-005, B70607, C01001, CP65-357 and EBON-006produced higher cane yield than the 138 average. C01001 produced significantly (P = .05) the highest can eyield (58.9 t/ha) than all the other accessions, 139 140 EBON-006 followed (46.2 t/ha) (Table 3).

141

Table 4presents the genetic parameters of the morphological and yield traits of the industrial sugarcane 142 143 accessions. The broad sense heritability was generally low for stem length (24%) and cane yield 144 (18.2%):moderate for the sprouting percentage (63%), establishment percentage (58%), Brix value (50%), number 145 of millable stalks per stool (41%), and stalk girth (43%). Since sugarcane is mostly cultivated vegetatively via its 146 clones, heritability is literally fixed and would have minimal importance, with an exceptionto flowering clones 147 which can be propagated via their seeds. Zhao et al. [21]. Nwosu et al. [22] and Idahosa et al. [23] established that 148 the magnitude of thephenotypic coefficient of variability(PCV) and heritabilityare affected by the environment, 149 the effect is evident on the phenotype. HighPCV and heritability values implylowinterference of the environment on the trait under consideration andvice versa. In this study, heritability of cane yield was low, suggesting that 150 151 environmental factors highly influence the trait, and mass selection as a breeding method would be very slow as 152 far as breeding for cane yield is concern.

The principal component and Eigen values of the industrial sugarcane accessions in the humid agroecology are presented on Table 5.Six of the principal components (PC) had Eigen values greater than 1.0; these were PC1 to PC6. The PC1loaded82.6% of the variations among the morphological and yield traits on the sprouting (%),

- establishment (%) and stalk length. The PC2 loaded10.8% of the variation on the stalk length and stalk yield per
- 157 hectare.

158

159	Table 2. Some morphological traits of the industrial sugarcane accessions grown in the humid tropical
160	agroecology.

Variety	SPR%	EST%	FLBEH	FCY	FLINT	SEX
AKWA-005	88.9 <sup>a</sup>	88.9 <sup>a</sup>	1	Early	1.5	Male
B61208	94.4 <sup>a</sup>	94.5 <sup>a</sup>	0	NF	0	NF
B70607	83.3 <sup>a</sup>	86.1 <sup>ab</sup>	1	Medium	1	Male
C01001	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	94.4 <sup>a</sup>	1	Early	1.33	Fem
C0504	91.7 <sup>a</sup>	86.1 <sup>ab</sup>	0	NF	0	NF
CP65-357	88.9 <sup>a</sup>	88.9 <sup>a</sup>	1	Medium	1.33	Fem
DB37/45	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	100.0 <sup>a</sup>	1	Early	1.33	Male
EBON-006	$88.9^{\mathrm{a}}$	88.9 <sup>a</sup>	0	NF	0	NF
F141	63.9 <sup>b</sup>	66.7 <sup>bc</sup>	1	Late	2	Male
HAT4	50.0 <sup>c</sup>	50.0 <sup>d</sup>	0	NF	0	NF
IMO-002	61.1 <sup>b</sup>	61.1 <sup>c</sup>	0	NF	0	NF
TRITON	91.7 <sup>a</sup>	91.7 <sup>a</sup>	0	NF	0	NF

161 Key: <sup>a</sup>Means with the same letter under the same heading are not significantly different at 5% probability level of

162 DNMRT;SPR% = sproutingpercentage;EST% = percentage of the plants growing per plot;FLBEH = Flowering

behaviour; FCY=Flowering cycle; FLINT = Flowering intensity SEX = Sexuality; NF = Non-flowering; fem =

164 female.

165

# 166 Table 3. Some yield traits of the industrial sugarcane accessions grown in the humid tropical agroecology.

Variety	°Bx	SGTH	SLNG	MLST	YIELD
AKWA-005	11.8 <sup>e</sup>	7.1 <sup>bc</sup>	140.3 <sup>ab</sup>	6.1 <sup>c</sup>	36.2 <sup>bc</sup>
B61208	15.7 <sup>ab</sup>	8.1 <sup>a</sup>	130.8 <sup>b</sup>	4.8 <sup>e</sup>	33.5 <sup>bc</sup>
B70607	13.1 <sup>cd</sup>	5.6 <sup>d</sup>	139.1 <sup>b</sup>	7.7 <sup>b</sup>	35.1 <sup>bc</sup>
C01001	13.8 <sup>c</sup>	7.1 <sup>bc</sup>	150.3 <sup>a</sup>	7.3 <sup>b</sup>	58.9 <sup>a</sup>
C0504	13.3 <sup>cd</sup>	7.4 <sup>b</sup>	117.6 <sup>c</sup>	5.6 <sup>d</sup>	24.4 <sup>c</sup>
CP65-357	14.8 <sup>b</sup>	6.3 <sup>cd</sup>	131.0 <sup>b</sup>	8.3 <sup>a</sup>	42.1 <sup>b</sup>
DB37/45	16.3 <sup>a</sup>	8.2 <sup>a</sup>	126.7 <sup>bc</sup>	5.7 <sup>d</sup>	35.6 <sup>bc</sup>
EBON-006	11.7 <sup>e</sup>	6.7 <sup>cd</sup>	130.6 <sup>b</sup>	6.5 <sup>bc</sup>	46.2 <sup>b</sup>
F141	12.3 <sup>de</sup>	5.5 <sup>d</sup>	114.8 <sup>cd</sup>	6.7 <sup>bc</sup>	28.6 <sup>c</sup>
HAT4	13.4 <sup>cd</sup>	6.1 <sup>cd</sup>	98.6 <sup>d</sup>	$3.8^{\mathrm{f}}$	9.4 <sup>d</sup>
IMO-002	12.5 <sup>d</sup>	6.0 <sup>cd</sup>	111.3 <sup>cd</sup>	7.1 <sup>b</sup>	27.2 <sup>c</sup>
TRITON	14.7 <sup>b</sup>	7.7 <sup>b</sup>	130.2 <sup>b</sup>	6.1 <sup>c</sup>	29.0 <sup>c</sup>

167 **Key**:<sup>a</sup>Means with the same letter under the same heading are not significantly different at 5% probability level of

DNMRT; <sup>o</sup>Bx = brix value (%);SGTH = Stalk girth (cm);SLNG = Stalk length (cm);MLST = number of millable
 stalk per stool; YIELD = Cane yield (t/Ha).

Beheshtizadehet al. [24] and Rymuzaet al. [12] used principal component analysis to show that spike yield, 170 tillering, seed weight and seed yield were important traits in the breeding of wheat (Triticum aestivum). Maji and 171 Shaibu [13] showed similar relationships in some rice genotypes; they demonstrated thattillering, seed weight and 172 seed yield were important traits for selection breeding. In this study, the clonal reproductive attribute in sugarcane 173 propagation must be considered in the choice of the method of breeding the crop. Although wheat, rice and 174 sugarcane are in the family Poaceae; wheat and rice are seed propagated, while sugarcane is mainly cultivated by 175 stem cuttings; stalk characteristicsare valuable traits to befocused oninsugarcane breeding. In the scatter plot of 176 the principal component analysis (Figure 1), HAT4, IMO-002, CO504 and F141 were captured in the left axis 177 (quadrant II and III) of the ellipsis, while the following accessions; AKWA-005, B61208, B70607, C01001, 178 CP65-357, DB37/45, EBON-006 and TRITON, were captured on the right axis (quadrant I and IV), the later 179 180 accessions demonstrated association with useful traits, such as, stalk length, stalk girth and number of millable 181 stalks. The accessions on the right axis are the materials to be used for the improvement of the yield traits in this 182 population.

183

Figure 2 shows the clustering similarity between the genotypes and the magnitude of deviation among the 12 184 industrial sugarcane accessions in the study. These accessions were partitioned into two major clusters, HAT4, 185 F141 and IMO-002 were in the first cluster and C01001, B70607, AKWA-5, C0504, DB37145, B61208, 186 TRITON, CP65-357 AND EBON-006 in the second cluster. Accessions C01001, B70607 and AKWA-5 were in a 187 sub-cluster of the second cluster. The accessions in the same cluster (more so in sub-cluster) share closer genetic 188 association than accessions in different and distanced clusters. Fawaz et al. [25] found phylogenetic diversity and 189 190 similarity in sugarcane genotypes in a study of genetic variation. The cluster analysis has confirmed the observation in scatter plot of the principal component analysis (Figure 1);that is,HAT4, F141 and IMO-002 on 191 one hand were morphologically different from the other accessions. 192

Trait	Mean	$\frac{\overline{y}^{s}}{\frac{y}{\sigma g}}$			GCV	PCV		GA	GAM
SPR%	83.6	219.9	129.2	349.1	17.7	22.3	63	24.25	29.02
EST%	83.1	189.8	135.6	325.3	16.6	21.7	58	21.55	25.93
°Bx	13.6	1.8	1.8	3.6	10.3	14.6	50	1.95	14.37
SGTH	6.8	0.6	0.8	1.4	11.4	17.4	43	1.05	15.38
SLNG	126.8	-276.9	1433	1156.2	13.1	26.3	24	16.81	13.26
MLST	6.3	1.1	1.5	2.6	16.3	25.5	41	1.36	21.57
YIELD	33.9	59.7	268.5	328.2	22.8	53.5	18.2	6.79	20.06

Table 4. Genetic parameters of selected morphological and yield traits of industrial sugarcane accessions in
 humid tropical agroecology

195 **Key**: $\sigma_g^2$  = Genetic variance;  $\sigma_e^2$  = Environmental variance;  $\sigma_p^2$  = Phenotypic variance; GCV= Genotypic

196 coefficient of variability; PCV = Phenotypic coefficient of variability;  $GA = Genetic advance; H_B^2 =$ 

197 Heritability in the broad sense (%); GAM = Genetic advance as percentage of the mean; SPR % = sprouting

198 percentage; EST % = percentage of the plants growing per plot; <sup>o</sup>Bx = brix value (%); SGTH = Stalk girth (cm);

199 SLNG = Stalk length (cm); MLST = number of millable stalk per stool; YIELD = Cane yield (t/Ha)

200	Table 5. Principal componentandEigenvalues of	of the industrial sugarcane accessions in humid tropical
201	agroecology	$\sim$

ACCESSION	PC 1	PC 2	PC 3	PC 4	PC 5	<b>PC 6</b>	PC 7	PC 8
SPR%	0.60	0.05	0.42	-0.66	-0.03	0.17	0.04	-0.05
EST%	0.57	0.09	0.36	0.70	-0.09	-0.20	-0.05	0.01
FLBEH	0.01	0.03	-0.02	0.07	-0.03	0.18	0.48	-0.12
FLINT	0.00	0.05	-0.01	0.11	-0.08	0.22	0.81	0.14
BRIX	0.02	-0.02	0.11	0.19	0.78	0.58	-0.12	-0.08
SGTH	0.02	-0.02	0.07	-0.08	0.28	-0.20	0.04	0.91
SLNG	0.47	0.43	-0.76	-0.04	0.13	-0.03	-0.03	0.01
MLST	0.01	0.04	-0.08	0.12	-0.54	0.69	-0.29	0.34
YIELD	-0.32	0.89	0.31	-0.02	0.00	-0.02	-0.04	0.00
Eigen value	705.18	91.93	50.44	3.04	1.36	1.05	0.36	0.068
% variance	82.62	10.77	5.91	0.33	0.16	0.12	0.1	0

**Key**: SPR % = sproutingpercentage;EST % = percentage of the plants growing per plot;FLBEH = Flowering

203 behaviour; FCY=Flowering cycle; FLINT = Flowering intensity, SEX = Sexuality; NF = Non-flowering; fem =

female; <sup>o</sup>Bx = brix value (%); SGTH = Stalk girth (cm); SLNG = Stalk length (cm); MLST = number of millable
 stalk per stool; YIELD = Cane yield (t/Ha)

206

207

The growth and yield traits were also subjected to Single linkage clustering analysis, the stalk length, establishment and establishmentper cent were in a cluster different from yield, flowering behaviour, flowering intensity, brix value and stalk girth (Figure 3). Variatesin the same cluster are closer and can be improved simultaneously in a breeding programme.

212 The linear correlation matrix of some growth and yield traits are presented on Table 6. The cane yield per hectare had significant positive and high correlation (r= 0.80, P = .05) with the number of millable stalks per stool and 213 positive but moderate correlation (r = 0.47, P = .05) with the stalk length and the stalk girth (r = 0.52, P = .05). 214 Also, the stalk girth had positive but moderate correlation (r=0.41, P=.05) with the number of millable stalks per 215 stool. The brix value also had positive correlation (r= 0.62, P = .05) with the stalk girth but very low negative 216 correlation (r= -0.18, P = .05) with the number of the millable stalks per stool. The trend in correlation between 217 traits compares with the linear linkage clustering in this study. Traits that have significant positive correlation can 218 be improved simultaneously in a breeding programme [23]; the traits include stalk yield, stalk girth and the stalk 219 length. The brix per cent measures concentration of sugar, therefore had little or no relevance with traits evaluated 220 221 in weight.

#### 222 Summary and Conclusion

223 Sugarcane is mainly grown by vegetative method; vegetative propagation poses challenges on sugarcane improvement and the breeding methods available are limited due to this propagation method. For example, 224 selection can only be applied among varieties and in cases where there was mixture of the germplasm, this is 225 226 because vegetative propagation does not have variability within a genotype. Breeding methods that require hybridization have their limitations because most clonal genotypes may not flower at all. In this study, six 227 sugarcane accessions, AKWA-005, B70607, C01001, CP65-357, DB37/45 and F141out of the twelve accessions 228 produced flowers, this means that the six accessions can be crossed, and backcross selection method is 229 recommended for quick improvement of the yield traits through the classical plant breeding approach. AKWA-230 005, C01001 and DB37/45 flowered early, B70607 and CP65-357 were medium floweringand F141 was late 231 232 flowering. This function is necessary to time planting and hybridization.

233 The broad sense heritability values of stem length and cane yield were low,and those of sprouting and 234 establishment per cent, brix value, number of millable stalks and stalk girth were moderate. If clonal selection is the method of choice in the breeding of these accessions, then heritability would not be important because the genetic make-up of progeny of vegetatively propagated plants do not change from that of their parents. In respect of the multivariate analyses, most of the variations in the growth and yield traits were due to heterogeneity in the traits, such assprouting and establishment per cent and stalk length.

239

240



Figure 1. Diagram showing scatter plot of the PCA with 95% ellipsisof the industrial sugarcane accessions in
 humid agroecology.

243 KEY: SPR % = sprouting percentage;EST % = percentage of the plants growing per plot; FLBEH = Flowering

244 behaviour; FCY=Flowering cycle; FLINT = Flowering intensity, SEX = Sexuality; NF = Non-flowering; fem =

245 female;  $^{\circ}Bx = brix value (\%)$ ; SGTH = Stalk girth (cm); SLNG = Stalk length (cm); MLST = number of millable

stalk per stool; YIELD = Cane yield (t/Ha)



- Figure 2. Dendrogram showing Ward's algorithm clustering of the industrial sugarcane accessions in humid
   tropical agroecology.



Figure 3. Dendrogram showing the relationship between the traits of the industrial sugarcane in the humid tropical agroecology. : SPR % = sprouting percentage;EST % = percentage of the plants growing per plot; FLBEH =
Flowering behaviour; FCY=Flowering cycle; FLINT = Flowering intensity, SEX = Sexuality; NF = Non-flowering; fem = female; °Bx = brix value (%); SGTH = Stalk girth (cm); SLNG = Stalk length (cm); MLST =
number of millable stalk per stool; YIELD = Cane yield (t/Ha)

255

Table 6. Correlation matrix showing the association between the morphological traits in theindustrial sugarcaneaccessions

	EST %	FLBEH	FLINT	BRIX	SGTH	SLNG	MLST	YIELD
SPR%	0.988*	0.253	0.140	0.455	0.727	0.800*	0.223	-0.620
EST %		0.300*	0.185	0.465	0.69	0.814	0.253	-0.606
FLBEH			0.958*	0.057	-0.204	0.510	0.554*	0.165*
FLINT				-0.027	-0.235	0.378*	0.477*	0.296
BRIX					0.623*	0.112	-0.179*	-0.264*
SGTH	1.					0.309	0.411*	0.523*
SLNG							0.510*	0.470*
MLST								0.801*

Key: SPR % = sprouting percentage; EST % = percentage of the plants growing per plot; FLBEH = Flowering
behaviour; FCY=Flowering cycle; FLINT = Flowering intensity, BRIX = brix value (%); SGTH = Stalk girth
(cm); SLNG = Stalk length (cm); MLST = number of millable stalk per stool; YIELD = Cane yield (t/Ha)

261

262

|--|

- Peacock, K. W. (2010), Biotechnology and genetic engineering. Global issues: Infobase Publishing
   366pp.
- 266 2. Iwo, G. A., Amadi, C.O., Eleazu, C. O. and Ukpabi, J. U. (2013) Induced mutagenesis on ginger for
  267 improved yield components and oleoresin content. *Canadian Journal of Plant Breeding* 1: 90-96.
- 3. Kaur, M., Thind, K.S., Sanghera, G. S., Kumar, R. and Kashyap, L. (2016) Gamma rays induced
  variability for economic traits, quality and red rot resistance in sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.). *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology*, 5(2): 355-365.
- Usman, I. S. (2015) Biotechnology interventions for production of good quality seed canes. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Innovative Technology* 2: 96-104.
- 5. Girei, A. A. and D.Y. Giroh (2012) Analysis of the factors affecting sugarcane (*Saccharumofficinarum*)
  production under the outgrowers scheme in Numan Local Government Area, Adamawa State, Nigeria. *Journal of Education and Practice* 3 (8): 195-200.
- 276 6. Scortecci, K. C., Creste, S., Calsa Jr., T., Xavier, M. A., Landell, M. G. A., Figueira, A. and Benedito, V. A. (2012). Challenges, Opportunities and Recent Advances in Sugarcane Breeding, Plant Breeding, 277 Abdurakhmonov, (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-307-932-5, 278 I. InTech, Available from: 279 http://www.intechopen.com/books/plant-breeding/challenges-opportunities-and-recent-advances-in-280 sugarcane-breeding.
- 7. Nicely, R., U. Nzeka and P. Olaito (2013) Annual Sugar Report for Nigeria 2013. *Global Agricultural Information Network (GAIN) Report* USDA Foreign Agricultural Services. 6 pp.
- 283 8. CBN (Central Bank of Nigeria) (2015). Annual Reports and Statement of Accounts, 2015. CBN, Abuja,
   284 Nigeria.
- Olukunle, O. T (2016) Economic analysis of profitability and competitiveness of sugarcane enterprise in
   Nigeria. *Journal of Development and Agricultural Economics* 8(6), 160-171 DOI: 10.5897/JDAE2015 0636.

- Wada, A. C., A. Abo-Elwafa, M. T. Salaudeen, L. Y. Bello and E. H Kwon-Ndung (2017) Sugar cane
  production problems in Nigeria and some Northern African countries. *Direct Research Journal of Agriculture and Food Science* .5 (3) 141-160.
- 11. Raza, I., Farooq, M. A., Masood, M. A., Abid, S., Anwar, M. Z., Hassan, M. and Mustafa, R. (2017)
  Exploring Relationship among Quantitative Traits of Sugarcane Varieties Using Principal Component
  Analysis. Science, Technology and Development 36 (3): 142-146. DOI: 10.3923/std.2017.142.146.
- Rymuza, K.; Turska, E.; Wielogórska, G. and Bombik, A. (2012) Use of principal component analysis
  for the assessment of spring wheat characteristics. Acta Sci. Pol., Agricultura 11: 79-90.
- Maji A. T. and Shaibu A. A. (2012) Application of principal component analysis for rice germplasm
  characterization and evaluation. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science* 4: 87-93. DOI:
  10.5897/JPBCS11.093.
- Udoimuk, A. B. B. ,Osang, J. E. A., Ettah, E. B. A., Ushie, P. O. A., Egor, A. O. A., Alozie, S. I. (2014)
  An empirical study of seasonal rainfall effect in Calabar, Cross River State, Nigeria. *IOSR J. Appl. Physics* 5 (5): 7-15.
- Hasan C.H., Robinson H.F. and Comstock R.E., (1956). Biometrical studies of yield in segregating
   populations of Korean lespedeza. *Agronomy Journal* 48: 268-272.
- Johnson, H.W., Robinson, H.F. and Comstock, R.E., (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental
   variability in soybean. *Agronomy Journal* 47(7): 314-318.
- 306 17. GenStat (2005) GenStat 8.1 for PC per Windows Copyright 2005, Lawes Agricultural Trust,
  307 (Rothamsted Experimental Station) Registered to: TEAM TBE 2005-08-13.
- 308 18. Hammer, O., Harper, D.A. T. and Ryan, P. D. (2001). PAST: Paleontological Statistic software package
  309 for education and data analysis. *Paleontologia Electronica* 4(1): 9 pp.
- 310 19. Jeffers, J. N. R. (1967) Two case studies in the application of principal component analysis. *Applied*311 *Statistics* 6:225-236.

- 312 20. Harrill, R. (1998) Using a refractometer to test the quality of fruits and vegetables. Pineknoll Publishing,
  313 MD, USA 28 pp.
- Zhao, P.; Todd, J.; Zhao, J.; Liu, J.; Yao, L.; Hou, C.; Zan, F.; Xia, H.; Yang, K.; Wu, C.; Chen, X. (2014)
  Evaluating sugarcane families by the method of Dynamic Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to
  Ideal Solution (DTOPSIS). *Bragantia*, Campinas, 73: 229-236 doi.org/10.1590/1678-4499.0126.
- Nwosu, D. J., Olatunbosun, B. D. and Adetiloye, I. S. (2013) Genetic Variability, Heritability and Genetic
  Advance in Cowpea Genotypes in Two Agro-ecological Environments. *Greener Journal of Biological Sciences* 3(5): 202-207.
- 320 23. Idahosa, D. O., Alika, J. E. and Omoregie, A. U. (2010) Genetic Variability, Heritability and Expected
  321 Genetic Advance as Indices for Yield and Yield Components Selection in Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*322 (L.) Walp. *Academia Arena Journal* 2(5): 22-26.
- Beheshtizadeh, H., Rezaie, A., Rezaie, A., Ghandi, A. (2013) Principal component analysis and
   determination of the selection criteria in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences* 5: 2024-2027.
- Fawaz, A. W.; Nasr, M. I.; El-Aref, H, M.; Hemeida, A.A. and Abouel-Khier, A. S. M. (2013) Genetic
  analysis and expected response to selection for some agronomical and juice quality traits in sugarcane
  (*Saccharum officinarum* L.). *Assiut Journal Agricultural Science* 44: 63-76.