

# Estimating malaria parasite densities by different formulas in Thailand

## Abstract

**Introduction:** Although there are many methods in malaria diagnosis, microscopy remains the gold standard. Estimating of malaria parasite density might be carried out by using assumed white blood cells (WBC) and red blood cells (RBC) counts.

**Objective:** The aims of this study were to determine malaria parasite densities calculated by assumed WBC and RBC counts; and to compare their reliability with absolute WBC and RBC counts.

**Methods:** The clinical and laboratory presentations of 512 uncomplicated falciparum and vivax malaria patients admitted to Hospital for Tropical Diseases, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand were analyzed.

**Results:** Parasite densities calculated by WHO recommended assumed WBC of 8 000 / $\mu$ L, and assumed RBC counts of  $4.7 \times 10^6$ - $6.1 \times 10^6$  / $\mu$ L and  $4.2 \times 10^6$ - $5.4 \times 10^6$  / $\mu$ L for males and females respectively led to overestimation, and resulted in low reliability when compared to the absolute WBC and RBC counts. Parasite densities calculated by assumed WBC of 5 900/ $\mu$ L in thick blood; by assumed RBC of  $4.8 \times 10^6$ / $\mu$ L for male and  $4.3 \times 10^6$ / $\mu$ L for female in thin blood film respectively gave more precise estimation.

**Conclusion:** Assumed WBC and RBC counts for calculating malaria parasite densities have to be adjusted to use in Thailand for more precise estimation. Parasite densities calculated by assumed WBC and RBC used in other malaria endemic countries might warrant further re-evaluation.

### Keyword:

Malaria, parasite, density, estimating, formulas

## Introduction

Malaria is the most important blood-born protozoan disease of human transmitted by female *Anopheles* mosquitoes. In 2017, an estimated 219 million patients of malaria occurred worldwide and most malaria patients were in the WHO African Region (200 million of the patients or 92%), followed by the WHO South-East Asia Region with 5% of the patients and the WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region with 2% [1]. There were an estimated 435 000 deaths from malaria globally in 2017. Early diagnosis and treatment is crucial in management of malaria. Parasitological diagnosis carried out by conventional microscopy remains gold standard for malaria diagnosis although there are many modern methods to diagnose nowadays. Microscopy can also estimate parasite density in patients' own white blood cells (WBC) or red blood cells (RBC) by thick and thin films respectively. Determining parasite density level is crucial in severity classification, clinical management,

43 monitoring drug efficacy and predicting prognosis of malaria. Currently there are different counting  
44 methods and calculation formulas of parasite density. Although using absolute counts of WBC and  
45 RBC of a patient is more accurate in parasite density estimation, assumed counts of WBC and RBC  
46 recommended by WHO (2010, 2016) [2,3] are widely used in many malaria endemic areas because  
47 automated hematological analyzers (AHAs) are expensive and required regular maintenance, reliable  
48 power supply, and trained operators. Thus, they are unavailable in many health facilities in those areas.  
49 Assumed WBC counts of 8 000/ $\mu$ L was the average WBC value of a African country, Nigeria [4].  
50 Studies in Africa, South America and Papua suggested that parasite densities calculated by this  
51 assumed WBC count might be underestimated, similar, or overestimated comparing with those  
52 calculated by assumed WBC count.

53 The aims of this study were to clarify assumed WBC and RBC counts in order to estimate  
54 malaria parasite densities; and compare them with those calculated from absolute WBC and RBC  
55 counts (derived from AHA). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee, Faculty of  
56 Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Thailand (MUTM 2014-064-01).

57

## 58 **Materials and Methods**

59

### 60 **Study site and enrollment procedures**

61

62 This study was conducted at the Hospital for Tropical Diseases (HTD), a tertiary care  
63 setting, in Bangkok, Thailand. Patients meeting the following criteria were included: (i)  
64 males or females, aged  $\geq 15$  years; (ii) admitted for the treatment of falciparum or vivax  
65 malaria (iii) microscopically confirmed diagnosis for asexual-stages of either uncomplicated  
66 *P. falciparum* or *P. vivax* mono-infection (iv) conducted complete blood count (including  
67 absolute WBC and RBC) by AHA upon admission; (iv) no history of antimalarial therapy  
68 during a month prior to admission. We excluded severe malaria patients regarding to WHO  
69 (2015) [5] and patients with histories of significant concomitant diseases.

70

### 71 **Clinical management, laboratory investigations, and data collection**

72

73 Patients were evaluated and managed according with standard hospital practice.  
74 Falciparum malaria patients were treated with oral artemisinin-based combination therapies  
75 (ACTs). Vivax malaria patients were treated by oral chloroquine followed by primaquine for  
76 hypnozoite eradication. Parasite density levels (ring to schizont forms) were evaluated using  
77 thick and thin blood films stained with Giemsa. Baseline clinical manifestations,  
78 demographic information, and laboratory data were examined and recorded. The parasite  
79 density of asexual forms/ $\mu$ L was calculated from (i) absolute WBC and RBC derived from  
80 AHA, (ii) using WHO recommended assumed WBC count of 8 000 / $\mu$ L [2] and other  
81 assumed WBC / $\mu$ L, (iii) using assumed RBC count of  $5 \times 10^6$  / $\mu$ L (for male),  $4.5 \times 10^6$  / $\mu$ L for  
82 female), and other assumed RBC counts. Therefore, parasite density was calculated as  
83 follows:

84

85

$$86 \text{ Parasite density}/\mu\text{L} = \frac{\text{No. of parasites counted}}{\text{No. of WBC counted}} \times \text{absolute or assumed WBC of patient}$$

87  
88 or

89

$$90 \text{ Parasite density}/\mu\text{L} = \frac{\text{No. of parasite counted}}{\text{No. of RBC counted}} \times \text{absolute or assumed RBC of patient}$$

91  
92

93 **Statistical analysis**

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102 **Results**

103 **Demographic data of studied patients**

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS for Windows, version 16. Quantitative data was tested with Wilcoxon signed-rank test to compare two related samples, Mann-Whitney *U* test for difference between two groups and Kruskal-Wallis test for more than two groups of patients. Reliability analysis was carried out to measure the overall consistency of the items that were used to define a scale. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test will be used to compare paired patient data with a two-tailed significance level of  $P < 0.05$ .

Among 512 cases of malaria infected patients, 425 (83%) and 87 (17%) patients were males and females respectively (Table 1). These patients were aged between 14 and 76 years with a median age of 25 years at presentation. Patients in 2<sup>nd</sup> decade ( $\leq 20$  years) and 3<sup>rd</sup> decade of life (21-30 years) were 181 (35.4%) and 205 (40%) respectively accounting for 75.4% of studied population. The rest of the patients (24.6%) had age  $>40$  years. Regarding to ethnicity, 42 (8.2%) patients were Thai whereas 312 (60.9%), 101 (19.7%), 51 (10%), 3 (0.6%) and 3 (0.6%) were Myanmar, Karen, Mon, Laos, and Cambodian respectively. Among the 512 patients, 251 (49%) of whom were infected with *P. falciparum* and 261 (51%) with *P. vivax*. There were 204 (48%) and 47 (54%) male and female patients respectively. Out of 425 male patients, there were 204 (48%) infected with *P. falciparum* and 221 (52%) with *P. vivax*. In 87 female patients, there were 47 (54%) and 40 (46%) patients infected with *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* respectively.

**WBC counts**

Mean of absolute WBC was  $(6\ 051 \pm 1\ 954)/\mu\text{L}$  in the studied population. 71 (13.9%) patients had leukopenia whereas 432 (84.4%) patients had normal WBC. Leukocytosis was observed only in 9 (1.8%) patients. The normal range for WBC counts in most laboratories were 4 000-11 000/ $\mu\text{L}$ .

**Absolute RBC counts**

Mean of absolute RBC counts was  $(4\ 632\ 227 \pm 815\ 103)/\mu\text{L}$ . Normal range of RBC counts in most laboratories were  $4.5 \times 10^6$ - $5.8 \times 10^6/\mu\text{L}$  in male and  $4.2 \times 10^6$ - $5.4 \times 10^6$  RBC/ $\mu\text{L}$  in female respectively [3,6]. RBC counts of 306 (60%) patients were normal whereas 178 (35%) patients had reduced. Increased RBC counts were found in 28 (5%) patients.

Table 2 showed absolute RBC counts were different between male and female ( $P < 0.001$ ), and *Plasmodium* species ( $P < 0.001$ ). The RBC counts of the male patients were higher than of female patients. RBC counts of falciparum malaria patients was lower than of vivax patients. RBC counts were different ( $P < 0.001$ ) among ethnic groups. Thai patients had higher RBC counts than Myanmar, Karen, and Mon ( $P < 0.001$ ). RBC counts in Myanmar patients were also higher than Karen ( $P = 0.044$ ) and Mon ( $P = 0.036$ ) ethnic groups.

**Parasite counts**

Among 512 samples, asexual forms of *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* were found in 251 and 261 patients respectively. Table 3 showed both parasite densities calculated from using

140 absolute WBC derived from AHA and assumed WBC count of 8 000  $\mu\text{L}$  [2] with 200 WBC  
141 microscopy counted respectively.

142

143 Parasite density estimated by assumed WBC count of 8000/ $\mu\text{L}$  compared with  
144 absolute WBC counts showed that 439 (85.7%) patients were overestimated; and 70 (13.7%)  
145 patients were underestimated with ( $P < 0.001$ ). Estimating parasite densities by other  
146 assumed WBC counts of 4 000, 5 000, 6 000, 7 000, 9 000, and 10 000 / $\mu\text{L}$  were shown in  
147 Table 4.

148

149 Assumed WBC counts of 4 000 and 5 000/ $\mu\text{L}$  showed significantly underestimated ( $P$   
150  $< 0.001$ ) and assumed WBC counts of 7 000, 8 000, 9 000, and 10 000/ $\mu\text{L}$  showed  
151 significantly overestimated ( $P < 0.001$ ). Parasite density calculated by assumed WBC count of  
152 6 000/ $\mu\text{L}$  did not show significantly different from parasite density calculated by absolute  
153 WBC count (Table 5).

154

155 To obtain a more precise assumed WBC value to estimate parasite density, parasite  
156 density was estimated by assumed WBC counts of 5 500, 5 800, and 5 900/ $\mu\text{L}$  and compared  
157 with parasite densities calculated by absolute WBC counts (Table 6).

158

159 Table 7 showed estimated parasite densities calculated with assumed WBC counts of  
160 5 800, 5 900, and 6 000 WBC/ $\mu\text{L}$  were similar to absolute parasite densities. Parasite density  
161 estimated with assumed WBC count of 5 900 showed the most similar value ( $P = 0.925$ ) with  
162 absolute parasite density.

163

#### 164 **Assumed RBC counts**

165

166 Parasite densities calculated by using absolute RBC count and estimating parasite  
167 densities calculated by assumed RBC counts from  $4.7 \times 10^6$ - $5.2 \times 10^6$  RBC/ $\mu\text{L}$  were shown in  
168 Table 8. Since reference values of RBC counts are not the same between males and females,  
169 parasite densities between male and female patients were estimated separately by assumed  
170 RBC count.

171

172 In Table 9, parasite density estimation with assumed RBC counts of  $4.7 \times 10^6$ / $\mu\text{L}$  and  
173  $4.8 \times 10^6$ / $\mu\text{L}$  showed no significant difference with absolute RBC counts ( $P = 0.126$  and  $0.608$   
174 respectively). Assumed RBC count of  $4.8 \times 10^6$ / $\mu\text{L}$  showed mostly similar to parasite density  
175 calculated with absolute RBC count.

176

177 In females, parasite densities calculated by absolute RBC and assumed RBC counts  
178 from  $4.2 \times 10^6$ - $4.7 \times 10^6$ / $\mu\text{L}$  was shown in Table 10. Parasite density estimations were highly  
179 reliable between assumed RBC counts of  $4.2 \times 10^6$ - $4.4 \times 10^6$ / $\mu\text{L}$ .

180

181 Table 11 showed assumed RBC counts  $\geq 4.6 \times 10^6$  RBC/ $\mu\text{L}$  were found to be  
182 significantly overestimated ( $P < 0.001$ ) in parasite density. Assumed RBC counts of  $4.2$   
183  $\times 10^6$ / $\mu\text{L}$ - $4.5 \times 10^6$ / $\mu\text{L}$  showed no significantly different parasite density calculated by absolute  
184 WBC count. Assumed RBC  $4.3 \times 10^6$ / $\mu\text{L}$  showed the most similar to absolute parasite  
185 density in females.

186

#### 187 **Discussion**

188 The median age in this study was 25 years (range 14-76 years) showing that  
189 malaria infection could occur in any age groups but it more commonly occurred in age group  
190 21-30 years. The WBC count was similar between gender, and *Plasmodium* species whereas  
191 RBC count was significantly higher in male and vivax malaria patients.

192 When calculating parasite density by absolute WBC counts of patients in thick films,  
193 mean parasite density in this study was 20 826 parasites/ $\mu\text{L}$  (range 16-386 780 parasites/ $\mu\text{L}$ ).  
194 In the study by in Brazil, mean parasite density was 7 519 parasites/ $\mu\text{L}$  (range 31-64 930  
195 parasites/ $\mu\text{L}$ ) calculated from absolute WBC counts [7]. And in the study in Ghana, mean  
196 parasite density was 49 474 parasites/ $\mu\text{L}$  (range 15-4 036 350) parasites/ $\mu\text{L}$  calculated by  
197 absolute WBC counts [8]. Parasite density estimated by WHO recommended assumed WBC  
198 8000/  $\mu\text{L}$  showed overestimation in comparing with parasite density estimated by absolute  
199 WBC count. Similarly, the study of children patients aged 1-8 years in Nigeria [9], study of  
200 African children <5 years [10] , and the study of mostly adults in Brazil [7], showed  
201 overestimation of parasite densities when they used WHO recommended WBC count of  
202 8,000 cells/ $\mu\text{L}$  to estimate parasite densities. Assumed WBC counts of 5,500 cells/  $\mu\text{L}$  [7]  
203 and 5,100 cells/ $\mu\text{L}$  [9] respectively could estimate parasite density more precisely. Studies in  
204 Ghana [8] and Sudan [11] mentioned underestimation of parasite density when assumed  
205 WBC count of 8 000/ $\mu\text{L}$  was used. Assumed WBC count of 10 000 cells/ $\mu\text{L}$  could estimate  
206 parasite density more precisely [8]. However, the study conducted in Papua New Guinea  
207 [12], parasite density estimation using assumed WBC of 8 000 cells/ $\mu\text{L}$  showed no significant  
208 difference with parasite density calculated by absolute WBC counts.

209 In this study, parasite densities estimated by other assumed WBC counts of 4 000  
210 and 5 000/ $\mu\text{L}$  showed significantly underestimated ( $P < 0.001$ ) and by assumed WBC counts  
211 of 7 000, 8 000, 9 000, and 10 000/ $\mu\text{L}$  showed significantly overestimated ( $P < 0.001$ )  
212 comparing with calculation by absolute WBC count. However, assumed WBC count of 6  
213 000/ $\mu\text{L}$  showed no significantly different parasite density calculated by absolute WBC count.  
214 To obtain a more precise assumed WBC value to estimate more precise parasite densities,  
215 assumed WBC counts of 5 500, 5 800, and 5 900 WBC/ $\mu\text{L}$  were used for estimation and  
216 showed similar to absolute parasite density calculated by absolute WBC. Parasite density  
217 estimated with assumed WBC count of 5 900 showed the most similar value ( $P = 0.925$ ) with  
218 absolute parasite density, therefore it might be the most reliable assumed WBC count in this  
219 studied population. The possible reason that precisely assumed WBC count in Thailand was  
220 lower than WHO recommended assumed WBC might be due to general infections in people  
221 living in Thailand less than in African country [4] particularly in the past where WHO  
222 recommended to use assumed WHO count for malaria density estimation.

223 In this study, parasite density by assumed RBC count of  $5 \times 10^6$ / $\mu\text{L}$  (for males) and  $4.5$   
224  $\times 10^6$  / $\mu\text{L}$  (for females) showed overestimation, possibly people living in Thailand including  
225 Thai and other ethnicities from Myanmar had underlying anemia due to hemoglobinopathy  
226 (which is commonly found) [13, 14] and intestinal parasitic infection [15-17] causing lower  
227 exactly assumed RBC counts in these population in Thailand.

## 228 Conclusion

229 The application of assumed WBC count of 8 000 cells/ $\mu\text{L}$  and assumed RBC counts  
230 of  $5 \times 10^6$  / $\mu\text{L}$  (for males) and  $4.5 \times 10^6$  / $\mu\text{L}$  (for females) respectively to estimate parasite  
231 densities in malaria patients led to overestimated parasite densities and resulted in low  
232 reliability when compared to absolute WBC and RBC counts from the AHA. In this study,  
233 calculating by new assumed WBC 5 900/ $\mu\text{L}$  in thick blood film; assumed RBC counts of 4.8

234  $\times 10^6$  / $\mu$ L and  $4.3 \times 10^6$  / $\mu$ L for male and female patients respectively in thin blood film for  
235 estimating parasite densities will provide more precision in Thailand where malaria is  
236 endemic. Assumed WBC and RBC counts may differ in other countries due to other national  
237 normal WBC and RBC values effected by many factors in different population in the world.

238

239

## 240 **Competing interests**

241 We declare that no competing interests exist.

## 242 **References**

- 243 1. WHO . World malaria report 2018. Geneva: WHO; 2018.
- 244 2. WHO. Basic malaria microscopy. Geneva: WHO; 2010. Accessed 28 November 2018.  
245 Available:  
246 [http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44208/9789241547826\\_eng.pdf?sequenc](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44208/9789241547826_eng.pdf?sequence=1)  
247 [e=1](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44208/9789241547826_eng.pdf?sequence=1) (accessed 28 November 2018).
- 248 3. WHO. Malaria parasite counting. Geneva: WHO; 2016. Accessed 20 November 2018.  
249 Available: [http://www.wpro.who.int/mvp/lab\\_quality/2096\\_oms\\_gmp\\_sop\\_09\\_rev1.pdf](http://www.wpro.who.int/mvp/lab_quality/2096_oms_gmp_sop_09_rev1.pdf)  
250 (accessed 28 November 2018).
- 251 4. Dowling Ma, Shute GT. A comparative study of thick and thin blood films in the  
252 diagnosis of scanty malaria parasitaemia. Bull World Health Organ.1966; 34: 249–67.
- 253 5. WHO. Guidelines for the treatment of malaria. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Geneva: WHO; 2015.
- 254 6. Argy N, Kendjo E, Augé-Courtoi C, Cojean S, Clain J, Houzé P, et al. Influence of host  
255 factors and parasite biomass on the severity of imported *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria.  
256 PLoS One. 2017; 12(4): e0175328. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0175328.
- 257 7. Alves-Junior ER, Gomes LT, Ribatski-Silva D, Mendes CR, Leal-Santos FA, Simões  
258 LR, et al. Assumed white blood cell count of 8,000 cells/ $\mu$ L overestimates malaria  
259 parasite density in the Brazilian Amazon PLoS One. 2014; 9(4): e94193. doi:  
260 10.1371/journal.pone.0094193.
- 261 8. Adu-Gyasi D, Adams M, Amoako S, Mahama E, Nsoh M, Amenga-Etego S, et al.  
262 Estimating malaria parasite density: assumed white blood cell count of 10 000/ $\mu$ l of  
263 blood is appropriate measure in Central Ghana. Malaria Journal. 2012; 11: 238. doi:  
264 10.1186/1475-2875-11-238.
- 265 9. Jeremiah ZA, Uko EK. Comparative analysis of malaria parasite density using actual and  
266 assumed white blood cell counts. Ann Tropical Paed. 2007; 27(1) :75-9.
- 267 10. Olliaro P, Djimdé A, Karema C, Mårtensson A, Ndiaye JL, Sirima SB, et al.  
268 Standardised versus actual white cell counts in estimating thick film parasitaemia in  
269 African children under five. Trop Med Int Health. 2011; 16(5): 551-4. doi:  
270 10.1111/j.1365-3156.2011.02738.x.
- 271 11. Haggaz AD, Elbashir LM, Adam GK, Rayis DA,Adam I. Estimating malaria parasite  
272 density among pregnant women at central Sudan using actual and assumed white blood  
273 cell count. Malar J. 2014; 13: 6. doi: 10.1186/1475-2875-13-6.
- 274 12. Laman M, Moore BR, Benjamin J, Padapu N, Tarongka N, Siba P, et al. Comparison of  
275 an assumed versus measured leucocyte count in parasite density calculations in Papua  
276 New Guinean children with uncomplicated malaria. Malar J. 2014; 13: 145. doi:  
277 10.1186/1475-2875-13-145.

- 278 13. Bancone G, Gilder ME, Chowwiwat N, Gornsawun G, Win E, Cho WW, et al.  
279 Prevalences of inherited red blood cell disorders in pregnant women of different  
280 ethnicities living along the Thailand-Myanmar border. Wellcome Open Res. 2017; 2:  
281 72. doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.12338.2.
- 282 14. Para S, Mungkalasut P, Chanda M, Nuchprayoon I, Krudsood S, Cheepsunthorn CL. An  
283 Observational Study of the Effect of Hemoglobinopathy, Alpha Thalassemia and  
284 Hemoglobin E on *P. vivax* Parasitemia. Mediterranean J of Hematol Infect Dis. 2018:  
285 10(1): e2018015. doi: 10.4084/MJHID.2018.015.
- 286 15. Punsawad C, Phasuk N, Bunratsami S, Thongtup K, Viriyavejakul P, Palipoch S, et al.  
287 Prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections and associated risk factors for hookworm  
288 infections among primary schoolchildren in rural areas of Nakhon Si Thammarat,  
289 southern Thailand. BMC Public Health. 2018;18(1): 1118. doi: 10.1186/s12889-018-  
290 6023-3.
- 291 16. Suntaravitun P, Dokmaikaw A. Prevalence of intestinal parasites and associated risk  
292 factors for infection among rural communities of Chachoengsao Province, Thailand.  
293 Korean J Parasitol. 2018; 56(1): 33-9. doi: 10.3347/kjp.2018.56.1.33.
- 294 17. Yanola J, Nachaiwieng W, Duangmano S, Prasannarong M, Somboon P, Pornprasert S.  
295 Current prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections and their impact on hematological  
296 and nutritional status among Karen hill tribe children in Omkoi District, Chiang Mai  
297 Province, Thailand. Acta Trop. 2018; 180:1-6. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2018.01.001.

298

299 Table 1. WBC counts among gender, parasite species, and ethnicity

Characteristics (N)	WBC/ $\mu$ l (SD)	P-value
Gender		
males (425)	6 000 (1 938)	0.308
females (87)	6 301 (2 023)	
Malaria species		
<i>P. falciparum</i> (251)	6 001 (2 009)	0.453
<i>P. vivax</i> (261)	6 100 (1 902)	
Ethnicity		
Thai (42)	5 705 (2 047)	0.111
Myanmar (312)	6 070 (1 936)	
Karen (101)	5 853 (1 878)	
Mon (51)	6 602 (2 123)	

300

301

302 Table 2. Absolute RBC counts among gender, parasite species, and ethnicity

Characteristics (N)	RBC/ $\mu$ l (SD)	P-value
Gender		<0.001
Male (425)	4 705 271 (814 376)	<0.001
Female (87)	4 275 402 (723 041)	
Malaria species		<0.001
Falciparum (251)	4 48 9203 (848 185)	<0.001
Vivax (261)	4769 770 (758 485)	
Ethnicity		<0.001
Thai (42)	5 096 667 (897 190)	<0.001
Myanmar (312)	4 644 103 (802 786)	
Karen (101)	4 493366 (721 759)	
Mon (51)	4 464 510 (864 850)	

303 N=number; RBC=red blood cells; SD= standard deviation

304

305

306

307 Table 3. Parasite densities calculated with absolute WBC counts in falciparum and vivax  
308 malaria patients

Parameter	Parasites/ $\mu$ L in falciparum malaria patients (N=251)	Parasites/ $\mu$ L in vivax malaria patients (N=261)
Minimum	16	28
25 Percentile	587	3 625
Median	9 040	10 800
75 Percentile	39 520	21 280
Maximum	386 780	115 000
Mean	26 917	14 968
Std. Deviation	42 231	16 336
Std. Error of Mean	2 666	1 011
Lower 95% CI of the mean	21 667	1 2977
Upper 95% CI of the mean	32 167	16960
Geometric mean of parasite density	4 256	4 254

309

310



311 Table 4. Parasite density calculated with absolute and assumed WBC counts from 4 000-  
 312 10 000/ $\mu$ L (N=512)

Parameter	Absolute WBC/ $\mu$ L	Assumed WBC/ $\mu$ L						
		4 000	5 000	6 000	7 000	8 000	9 000	10 000
Minimum	16	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
25 Percentile	1 036	765	956	1 148	1 339	1 530	1 721	1 913
Median	10 300	7 370	9 213	11 055	128 898	147 40	16 583	18 425
75 Percentile	25 038	17 607	22 009	26 411	30 812	35 214	39 616	44 018
Maximum	386 780	166 357	207 946	249 535	291 125	332 714	374 303	415 892
Mean	20 826	14 398	17 998	21 598	25 197	28 797	32 396	35 996
Std. Deviation	32 312	21 903	27 379	32 855	38 331	43 806	49 282	54 758
Std. Error of Mean	1 428	968	1 210	14 52	1 694	1 936	2 178	2 420
Lower 95% CI of the mean	18 021	12 497	15 621	18 745	21 869	24 993	28 117	31 242
Upper 95% CI of the mean	23 632	16 300	20 375	24 450	28 525	32 600	36 675	40 750
Geometric mean	4 250	2 931	37 02	4 477	52 56	6 038	6 823	7 611

313 N=number; WBC=white blood cells; SD= standard deviation

314

315

316

317

318 Table 5. Underestimated and overestimated parasite density calculated with different  
 319 assumed and absolute WBC as the standard (N=512)

Assumed WBC WBC/ $\mu$ L	Underestimated N	Overestimated N	P-value
5 000	348	156	<0.001
6 000	233	270	0.316
7 000	141	366	<0.001
8 000	70	439	<0.001
9 000	37	473	<0.001
10 000	17	495	<0.001

320 WBC=white blood cells

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334 Table 6. Parasite density calculated with absolute and assumed WBC counts from 5 000 to  
 335 6 000  $\mu\text{L}$  (N=512)

Parameter	Absolute WBC/ $\mu\text{L}$	Assumed WBC/ $\mu\text{L}$				
		5 000	5 500	5 800	5 900	6000
Minimum	16	25	28	29	30	30
25 Percentile	1 036	956	1 052	1 109	1 128	1 148
Median	10 300	9 213	10 134	10 687	10 871	11 055
75 Percentile	25 038	22 009	24 210	25 530	25 970	26 411
Maximum	386 780	207 946	228 741	241 218	245 377	249 535
Mean	20 827	17 999	19 799	20 879	21 239	21 599
Std. Deviation	32 311	27 378	30 116	31 759	32 306	32 854
Std. Error of Mean	1 428	1 210	1 331	1 404	1 428	1 452
Lower 95% CI of the mean	18 022	15 622	17 184	18 121	18 434	18 746
Upper 95% CI of the mean	23 633	20 376	22 414	23 636	24 044	24 451
Geometric mean	4 250	3 702	4 089	4 322	4 399	4 477

336 WBC=white blood cells

337

338

339 Table 7. Underestimated and overestimated parasite density calculated with different  
 340 assumed WBC counts from 5 000 -7 000  $\mu\text{L}$  with the absolute WBC counts as  
 341 the standard (N=512)

Assumed values	Underestimated	Overestimated	P-value
5 000	348	156	<0.001
5 500	298	203	<0.001
5 800	259	246	0.343
5 900	245	253	0.925
6 000	233	270	0.316
7 000	141	366	<0.001

342 WBC=white blood cells

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360  
361  
362

Table 8. Parasite density calculated with absolute and assumed RBC counts from  $4.7 \times 10^6$ - $5.2 \times 10^6 / \mu\text{L}$  in male patients (N=280)

Parameter	Absolute RBC/ $\mu\text{L}$	RBCx $10^6/\mu\text{L}$					
		4.7	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.2
Minimum	2 160	4 700	4 800	4 900	5 000	5 100	5 200
25 Percentile	10 105	9 400	9 600	9 800	10 000	10 200	10 400
Median	17 430	18 800	19 200	19 600	20 000	20 400	20 800
75 Percentile	34 320	37 600	38 400	39 200	40 000	40 800	41 600
Maximum	386 780	390 100	398 400	406 700	415 000	423 300	431 600
Mean	29 687	29 274	29 897	30 520	31 143	31 766	32 389
Std. Deviation	36 211	34 947	35 691	36 434	37 178	37 922	38 665
Std. Error of Mean	2 164	2 089	2 133	2 177	2 222	2 266	2 311
Lower 95% CI of the mean	25 427	25 163	25 698	26 234	26 769	27 305	27 840
Upper 95% CI of the mean	33 947	33 386	34 096	34 806	35 516	36 227	36 937
Geometric mean	18 167	18 284	18 674	19 065	19 456	19 846	20 237

363 RBC=red blood cells

364  
365  
366

Table 9. No. of patients with underestimated and overestimated parasite densities calculated by different assumed RBC counts from  $4.7 \times 10^6$ - $5.2 \times 10^6 / \mu\text{L}$ , with the absolute RBC count as the standard in male patients (N=280)

Assumed RBC x $10^6/\mu\text{L}$	No. of patients with underestimated parasite density	No. of patients with overestimated parasite density	P-value
4.7	157	123	0.126
4.8	140	139	0.608
4.9	127	151	0.008
5.0	110	170	<0.001
5.1	90	187	<0.001
5.2	74	204	<0.001

370 RBC=red blood cells

371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383

384  
385  
386  
387  
388

Table 10. Parasite density calculated with absolute and assumed RBC counts from  $4.2 \times 10^6$ - $4.7 \times 10^6/\mu\text{L}$  in female patients (N=71)

Parameter	Absolute RBC/ $\mu\text{L}$	RBC $\times 10^6/\mu\text{L}$					
		4.7	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.2
Minimum	2 160	4 700	4 800	4 900	5 000	5 100	52 00
25 Percentile	10 105	9 400	9 600	9 800	10 000	10 200	10 400
Median	17 430	18 800	19 200	19 600	20 000	20 400	20 800
75 Percentile	34 320	37 600	38 400	39 200	40 000	40 800	41 600
Maximum	386 780	390 100	398 400	406 700	415 000	423 300	431 600
Mean	29 687	29 274	29 897	30 520	31 143	31 766	32 389
Std. Deviation	36 211	34 947	35 691	364 34	37 178	37 922	38 665
Std. Error of Mean	2 164	2 089	2 133	2 177	2 222	2 266	2 311
Lower 95% CI of the mean	25 427	25 163	25 698	26 234	26 769	27 305	27 840
Upper 95% CI of the mean	33 947	33 386	34 096	34 806	35 516	36 227	36 937
Geometric mean	18 167	18 284	18 674	19 065	19 456	19 846	20 237

389 RBC=red blood cells

390  
391  
392  
393  
394

Table 11. Underestimated and overestimated parasite density produced with different assumed RBC counts from  $4.2 \times 10^6$ - $4.7 \times 10^6/\mu\text{L}$ , with the absolute red cell count as the standard in female patients (N=71)

Assumed RBC $\times 10^6/\mu\text{L}$	No. of patients with underestimated parasite density	No. of patients with overestimated parasite density	P-value
4.2	42	28	0.409
4.3	38	33	0.977
4.4	37	34	0.395
4.5	32	38	0.062
4.6	25	46	0.002
4.7	19	52	<0.001

395 RBC=red blood cells

396