

Low Prevalence of Asymptomatic Malaria in Pregnancy among Subjects Attending Antenatal Clinic At A Tertiary Hospital in Bauchi, Nigeria

Abstract

Background; Background Asymptomatic malaria in pregnancy still posed clinical challenge and diagnostic problem. The preventive measures are often advocated during antenatal visits. This study assessed the prevalence of asymptomatic malaria in pregnancy among subjects attending ante-natal

Methodology; The cross-sectional study was conducted among volunteer asymptomatic pregnant women attending antenatal clinic of ATBUTH between July and September 2017. An interview structured questionnaire and consent form were administered, and malaria parasite antigen detected by rapid diagnostic kit.

Result; A total of 140 pregnant women at different gestational stages with mean age of 24.15±10.3years were studied. Majority were within age-group 27-32years, 39.3%(n=75), 79.5%(n=152) resides in urban area, 26.2%(n=50) involved in business, 77.4%(n=186)married, 69.6%(n=133) in monogamous relationship, 41.4%(n=79) had tertiary educational background and 70.2% had tap water as source of water. Malaria prevalence detected was 2.1%, which varied with the sociodemographic variables and preventive measures studied.

Conclusion. The low malaria prevalence recorded in the present study could be attributed to the satisfactory ante-natal practice and malaria preventive measures. This finding could provide further awareness on the importance of ante-natal visits.

Keywords; Malaria infection, Pregnant women, Antenatal Practices Bauchi

Introduction

Malaria infection caused by protozoan parasite, *Plasmodium spp.* and transmitted by vector Anopheles mosquitoes remain a major public health problem, particularly in endemic region of sub-Saharan Africa and Asia, with attendant consequence of high morbidity and mortality[1]. The burden of malaria infection is much felt among pregnant women and children aged less than 5years, indicative of susceptibility of these population due to the level of immunity[2,3,4].

34 Over 90% of global population are at the risk of malaria, and 50% experience one malaria
35 episode annually [5]. Thirty million pregnant women are at the risk of the infection, with
36 10,000 maternal mortalities and 20,000 neonatal deaths annually [5].

37 Nigeria accounts for 25% of malaria cases recorded in sub-Saharan Africa [6], while the
38 predisposition of pregnant women to malaria and the attendant clinical outcome continued to
39 attract public health attention. The malaria in pregnancy prevalence varies with geographical
40 location, but dependent on age, parity, gestational age and diagnostic method employed [7]. In
41 most cases malaria in pregnancy often presents as asymptomatic form, but progress to
42 symptomatic depending on the intensity of infection resulting in serious clinical outcomes,-
43 anaemia and low birth weight (LBW) capable of resulting into high morbidity and mortality [8-
44 10]. Asymptomatic presentation is as a result of hormonal changes induced by pregnancy that
45 causes the attraction of pregnant women to mosquitoes[11], sequestration of infected
46 erythrocytes in the placenta[12] and expression of parasite antigen on infected erythrocyte which
47 form the basis of falciparum malaria in pregnancy[13]

48 The increasing parasite resistance limit effective treatment and control of malaria, thus prompt
49 diagnosis of malaria becomes imperative especially among people at high risk of the infection
50 such as pregnant women. One of the WHO recommended measures to ensure good pregnancy
51 outcomes is ante-natal practice towards malaria preventive approach where all pregnant women
52 are required to visit ante-natal clinics periodically and be informed on the measures. During
53 these visits, they undergo several physical and laboratory investigations including malaria test.
54 Asymptomatic pregnant women with malaria parasitemia could be incidentally detected and
55 promptly treated. In addition, intermittent Preventive Treatment (IPT) with Sulfadoxine-
56 Pyrimethamine is administered at least twice to prevent malaria in pregnancy. Light microscopy

57 remains a gold standard for malaria diagnosis, however, it is time-consuming and requires
58 experienced microscopists [14]. Other techniques like Rapid Diagnostic Tests (RDT) are often
59 unemployed. Different commercially manufactured RDT tests, detects either HRPS, aldolase or
60 pLDH used for malaria antigen detection, in ANC and outpatient clinic because of easy to use
61 approach. Both methods had shown certain degree of similarity specificity and sensitivity[15]
62 depending on endemicity and transmissibility of infection

63 A meta-analysis of malaria in pregnancy studies conducted in sub-Saharan Africa between 2000
64 and 2011, a mean malaria prevalence of 35.1% was reported in West and Central region[16].
65 Studies conducted in Nigeria had reported varied malaria prevalence 2.0% in Lagos[17], 11%
66 in Sokoto[18], 61.8% in Bauchi[19] and 99% in the Southeastern Nigeria[20]. As malaria
67 prevalence among pregnant women varies with regions, assessment of the risk factors, and the
68 level of compliance to the preventive measures is important. As the level could be used as
69 template to evaluate the malaria prevalence and the risk factors among asymptomatic pregnant
70 women, particularly the primigravidae towards lowering possible associated clinical outcome.
71 Based on this observation, we assessed the malaria prevalence and antenatal practice in term of
72 preventive measure among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic in Abubakar Tafawa
73 Balewa University Teaching Hospital (ATBUTH), Bauchi.

74 **Methodology**

75 The descriptive cross-sectional study was carried among volunteer pregnant women attending
76 antenatal clinic at ATBUTH Bauchi between July and September 2017. ATBUTH is a 750-bed
77 capacity hospital that provides multispecialty in northeastern zone. Geographically, Bauchi
78 state, Nigeria is located at latitude 10° 17N and longitude 09° 49I E with mean daily maximum
79 temperature ranges from 27.0°C to 29.0°C between July and August and 37.6°C in March and

80 April, mean daily minimum ranges from 22.0°C in December and January to about 24.7°C in
81 April and May. The humidity ranges between 12% to 68%. The rainy season is between May to
82 September, and dry season between October to April. The vegetation is within Sudan Savanna.
83 The study protocol was approved by ATBUTH Institutional Review Board. Criteria for inclusion
84 were asymptomatic pregnant women, with no obvious condition capable of elevating body
85 temperature attending ante-natal clinics at ATBUTH during the study period. A structured
86 questionnaire and consent form were administered to consented pregnant women. Information
87 obtained with the study questionnaire includes sociodemographic variables, gestational age, and
88 antenatal recommendation to pregnant women - ITN, prophylaxis and public health of the
89 pregnant women.

90 Malaria diagnosis was conducted by RDT using CareStart™ according to manufacturer
91 instruction. The preferred finger of the pregnant women was sterilized with 70% alcohol,
92 allowed to dry and pricked with lancet. The first blood drop was wipe off, and subsequent blood
93 dropped on the sample well of the RDT kit cassette, and 60µl of assay buffer placed into the 'A'
94 well. The test result was read after 20 minutes. Positive result was indicated with two colour
95 band (C and T), and negative result with only one line (C).

96 Data were analyzed using the SPSS version 20.1. Sociodemographic variables, and
97 parasitological data were expressed in mean values and percentage, while the Chi square test was
98 used to compare the variables. Statistical significance difference was inferred at $p < 0.05$.

99

100 **Result**

101 A total of 140 pregnant women at different gestational stage, were enrolled in the study, with
102 mean age of 24.15±10.2 years. Sociodemographic variables(table I) showed high number of the
103 pregnant women were within the age-group of 23-33years(39.3%,n=75) followed by 21-
104 26years(22.8% n=42) and least <20years(7.9%, n=15). Majority resides in urban setting,
105 79.5%(n=152), 22.2%(n=50) were involved in one business or other and 97%(n=186) were
106 married , 69.6%(n=133) in monogamous relationship and 34%(n=85) of parity between 1-
107 3.Educational background showed that 28.8%(n=55) had secondary and 41.4%(n=79) tertiary
108 education respectively. Response to the study questionnaire on preventive measures, 56% had
109 antimalaria prophylaxis at index pregnancy and affirmed sleeping under insecticide-treated net,
110 73.3% takes heamatenic 59% lives in environment with good drainage system and 70.2% with
111 tap water.

112 Assessment of antenatal practice on malaria prevalence as presented in table 2, indicates
113 statistical significance difference between the age-group and malaria prevalence, with the 3 cases
114 recorded within age-group of 33-38years and >39years($p<0.03$). Likewise, 3 cases among those
115 residing in urban area, and those with secondary and tertiary education background respectively.
116 For the gestational age the 3 cases were recorded within 2 and 3 trimesters stages, while parity
117 in nulliparous and multiparous women. On preventive measures, the 3 cases were recorded
118 among pregnant sleeping under ITN and those using insecticide spray, while one each with
119 those who had antimalaria treatment and heamatenic respectively, One case was recommended
120 among those with good drainage system.

121

122

123 Discussion

124 In the study, the malaria prevalence of 1.2%(3/191), is low when compared to 61.1%
125 recorded in same study area with a stable malaria transmission region[19]. But the prevalence is
126 comparable to 2.0% reported in a similar study conducted in Lagos [17] and 2.3% in
127 Chittagny, Banglesh[21],these studies employed both light microscopy and rapid diagnostic
128 test. Similar studies had reported relatively low malaria prevalence that were higher than the
129 level in our study, 7.7% in Lagos[22], 11% in Sokoto[18] and 7.3% in PortHarcourt,[3]. In
130 Colombia, VaÂsquez et al[15] reported malaria prevalence of 4.7%, using a highly sensitive
131 RDT kit. While studies conducted in Nigeria with RDT only reported varied malaria
132 prevalence, 13% in Dekina Nigeria[24], 36.8% in Southwest Nigeria [4] and 41% in
133 Southeastern Nigeria[25]

134 Therefore, the low malaria prevalence in our study may be due to several factors, low malaria
135 parasite density is known to affect the sensitivity of RDT[15], altered malaria parasite antigen
136 and sequestration of parasite tends to reduce detectability by RDT kit[26], the presence of
137 antimalaria antibodies[anti-HRP-2] elicited during exposure[27]. The contribution of antenatal
138 practices as alluded to by the participant in the study questionnaire, 56% responded to be
139 sleeping under insecticide treated net, 56% takes antimalaria prophylaxis at index pregnancy,
140 73% takes heamatenics and 59% lived in environment with good drainage system, may have
141 contributed to lowering the risk of infection

142 Maternal age, parity and gestational stages are known risk factors of malaria in pregnancy and
143 prevalence[4, 17, 18,19,2223]. In stable malaria transmission region as in the study area, high
144 prevalence are often recorded with young age, second trimester and primigravidae and secondi

145 gravidae due to low pregnancy -specific immunity. Though the number of malaria cases detected
146 was low nevertheless statistical significance association was observed between the age-group
147 and malaria prevalence($p<0.05$), while the expected pattern was in contrast, as the 3 cases were
148 recorded among pregnant women within second and third trimester and nulliparous and
149 multiparous. While the low number of malaria cases may make it rather difficult to draw an
150 inference between the risk factors and malaria prevalence. It is expected that previous exposure
151 to malaria infection by multiparous women must have mounted pregnancy specific immunity
152 capable of lowering the risk of infection. Therefore, this observed pattern raises possibility of
153 obvious exposure of the subjects to mosquito bite either through environmental or occupational
154 activities in the community.

155 The adherence and application of malaria infection preventive measures with the subjects
156 depends primarily on adequate public health education, knowledge and awareness, which
157 dependent on the level of formal education[28,29]. Several studies have collaborated this
158 observation with high malaria infection prevalence among pregnant women with non-informal
159 education compared to those with formal educational background[24,28]. In this study, the 3
160 malaria cases were recovered from pregnant women with secondary and tertiary educational
161 background, similar to the finding of study conducted among pregnant women in Katsina,
162 northwest Nigeria[29]. This raises the need for further advocacy on public health education
163 awareness in the community. Appropriate usage and ownership of insecticide treated net, regular
164 antimalaria prophylaxis and clean environment/non-stagnant water prevent breeding of
165 mosquitoes and remains the WHO recommendations. Response from the participant(table I)
166 showed that 56% sleep under ITN which is lower than 68% in similar study in Kano[30], but
167 higher than 45% in a study that reported 41% malaria prevalence in southern Nigeria[25]. The

168 impact of ITN in lowering the risk of malaria depends on effective usage and ownership of the
169 ITN[28]. While the ITN has impacted positively in lowering the risk of malaria infection, some
170 limitations have been identified , such as sweating under the net, improper usage, quality of
171 ITN and cost implication had limited proper usage. Similarly, intermittent preventive treatment
172 with a dose of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and heamatinic at every scheduled antenatal care
173 visit reduces the risk of infection. In this study, 56% and 73% affirmed taking antimalaria
174 prophylaxis and heamatenics, while 59% had good drainage system and 70% had tap water as
175 source of water .Based on the high preventive measures by the respondents and malaria
176 prevalence. It can be assumed that the malaria cases recorded might be attributed to
177 environmental and occupational activities that occurs outdoor exposing them to mosquito bite

178 The findings of the study portray malaria prevalence and associated risk factors among
179 asymptomatic pregnant women attending antenatal clinic. Also, as a template for Midwives and
180 attending Physician in early diagnosis and indicators in management. Despite, the positive
181 perceptive of the study, there are limitation, The low malaria prevalence limit the assessment
182 impact of antenatal practice on malaria infection. The sample size, number of malaria cases
183 detected, and period of the study cannot serve as a good epidemiological representation of
184 infection rate. In conclusion, the low malaria prevalence is of public health concern, as relates to
185 the clinical outcome and diagnostic challenges. Therefore, further studies is needed to asses
186 malaria prevalence and antenatal practice with a comprehensive epidemiological assessment.

187 **Competing Interests**

188 All authors declare that no competing interest exist

189

190 **References**

- 191 **1-** World Malaria Report
192 011.http://www.who.int/malaria/world_malaria_report_2011/97892415
193 [64403_eng.pdf](http://www.who.int/malaria/world_malaria_report_2011/9789241564403_eng.pdf)
- 194 **2-** Desai M, ter Kuile FO, Nosten F, McCready R, Asamo K, Brabin B, .Epidemiology and
195 burden of malaria in pregnancy. *Lancet Infect Dis.*2007;7:93–104.
196
- 197 **3-**Ibeziako PA, Okerengwo AA, William AIO. Malaria immunity in Pregnant Nigerian Women
198 and their babies. *J Gynaecol Obstet.* 1980;18:147–149.
199
- 200 **4-** Oyetunde T, Oyeyemi□, Oluwarotimi J, Sode, Olalekan D, Adebayo, Grace O, Mensah-
201 Agyei Reliability of rapid diagnostic tests in diagnosing pregnancy and infant-associated malaria
202 in Nigeria. *Journal of Infection and Public health,* (2016) 9,471-477
203
- 204 **5-**WHO, World Malaria Report (Malaria in Pregnancy). WHO Global Malaria Programme,
205 Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2008.
206
- 207 **6-** Federal Ministry of Health (FMH), Malaria Desk Situation Analysis. FMH, Nigeria, 2005
208
- 209 **7-** Ebako Ndip Takem and Umberto D’Alessandro. Malaria in Pregnancy *Mediterr J Hematol*
210 *Infect Dis* 2013; 5
211
- 212 **8-** Uko EK, Emeribe AO, Ejezie GC. Malaria infection of the placenta and Neonatal Low Birth
213 Weight in Calabar. *J Med Lab Sci.* 1998;7:7–20
214
- 215 **9-** Kalilani L, Mofolo I, Chaponda M, Rogerson SJ, and Meshnick SR, The effect of timing and
216 frequency of Plasmodium falciparum infection during pregnancy on the risk of low birth weight
217 and maternal anemia. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2010; 104(6): 416-
218
- 219 **10-** Huynh BT, Fievet N, Gbaguidi G, Dechavanne S, Borgella S, Guezo-Mevo B, Massougbodji
220 A, Ndam NT, Deloron P, and Cot M, Influence of the timing of malaria infection during
221 pregnancy on birth weight and on maternal anemia in Benin. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2011; 85(2):
222 214-20
223
- 224 **11-** Lindsay S, Ansell J, Selman C, Cox V, Hamilton K, and Walraven G, Effect of pregnancy on
225 exposure to malaria mosquitoes. *Lancet.* 2000; 355(9219): 1972
226
- 227 **12-** Cserti CM and Dzik WH, The ABO blood group system and Plasmodium falciparum
228 malaria. *Blood.* 2007; 110(7): 2250-8.
229
- 230 **13-** Staalsoe T, Shulman CE, Bulmer JN, Kawuondo K, Marsh K, and Hviid L, Variant surface
231 antigen-specific IgG and protection against clinical consequences of pregnancy-associated
232 Plasmodium falciparum malaria. *Lancet.* 2004; 363(9405): 283-9.
233

- 234 14- World Health Organization. World Malaria Report 2017. Geneva; 2017
235
- 236 15- VaÂsquez AM, Medina AC, ToboÂn-CastaÂo A, Posada M, VeÂlez GJ, Campillo A, et al.
237 (2018) Performance of a highly sensitive rapid diagnostic test (HS-RDT) for detecting malaria in
238 peripheral and placental blood samples from pregnant women in Colombia. PLoS ONE 13(8):
239 e0201769
240
- 241 16- Chico RM, Mayaud P, Ariti C, Mabey D, Ronsmans C, and Chandramohan D, Prevalence of
242 malaria and sexually transmitted and reproductive tract infections in pregnancy in sub-Saharan
243 Africa: a systematic review. JAMA. 2012; 307(19): 2079-86.
244
- 245 17 Aina Oluwagbemiga, Akinsanya Bamidele, Adewale Babatunde, Agomo Chimere, Sulyman
246 Medinat1 and Rahman Olalekan2Prevalence of Malaria in Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal
247 Clinic in Primary Health Centres in Lagos, South West, Nigeria- Journal of Advances in
248 Medicine and Medical Research 25(12): 1-9, 2018;
249
- 250 18- Buhari, H.A., Erhabor, O., Momodu, I. Plasmodium falciparum Malaria among Pregnant
251 Women attending Ante-Natal Clinic in Sokoto, North Western, Nigeria Sokoto Journal of
252 Medical Laboratory Science 2016; 1(1): 187 – 193
253
- 254 19- Samalia AB, Uchendu, S.C. and A. A. Yarma Prevalence of Plasmodium
255 Falciparum Malaria in Pregnant women and non-pregnant women attending Specialist
256 Hospital Bauchi, Bauchi State, Nigeria Palgo J.Med.Medical Sci. 2017, 4(1),139-144
- 257 20- Jayleen K. L. Gunn, John E. Ehiri, Elizabeth T. Jacobs, Kacey C. Ernst, Sydney
258 Pettygrove, Lindsay N. Kohler, et al Population-based prevalence of malaria among pregnant
259 women in Enugu State, Nigeria: the Healthy Beginning Initiative Malar J (2015) 14:438.
260
- 261 21- Wasif AK, Sean R, Chai P, Jacob K, Sabeena A, Malathi R, Myaing MN. Asymptomatic plasmodium
262 falciparum malaria in pregnant women in the Chittagong Hill District of Bangladesh. Plosone. 2013;11:45-50-
263
- 264 **22-** Agomo CO, Oyibo WA, Anorlu RI, Agomo PU. Prevalence of Malaria in Pregnant Women
265 in Lagos South-west Nigeria. Kor J Parasitol. 2009;47:179–83.-
266
- 267 **23-** Clement Ameh Yaro, Florence Oyibo Iyaji1, Michael Obanogbon Tope. Rapid Diagnostic
268 Test Kits Detection of Malaria Parasites among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal in
269 Selected Hospitals in Anyigba, Kogi State, Nigeria Advances in Bioscience and Biotechnology,
270 2017, 8, 249-258
271
- 272 24- Ibeneme Georgian, Ojone Matthew, and Nwode Isaiah Nte(2017)Prevalence and effect of
273 malaria in pregnancy among antenatal women in Ebonyi State, Nigeria International Research
274 Journal of Public and Environmental Health Vol.4 (8),pp. 177-183
275
- 276 25- Wellems, T.E., A. Walker-Jonah and L.J. Panton, 1991. Genetic mapping of the chloroquine-
277 resistance locus on *Plasmodium falciparum* chromosome 7. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA., 88:
278 3382-3386
279

280 26- Biswas, S., D. Tomar and D.N. Rao, 2005. Investigation of the kinetics of histidine-rich protein 2 and
 281 of the antibody responses to this antigen, in a group of malaria patients from India. *Ann. Trop. Med.*
 282 *Parasitol.*, 99: 553-562
 283

284 27- Agomo CO, Oyibo WA. Factors associated with risk of malaria infection among pregnant
 285 women in Lagos Nigeria. *Infect Dis Poverty*. 2013;2:19
 286

287 28- Report of the meeting on the development of guidelines for testing and evaluation of long-
 288 lasting insecticidal mosquito nets. WHO-Pesticide Evaluation Scheme (WHOPES), WHO/HQ,
 289 Geneva, 2005
 290

291 29- Eberemu NC, Magaji H. The use of microscopy and rapid diagnostic test in diagnosing the
 292 prevalence of malaria among women attending antenatal clinic in Dutsin Ma, Katsina State Nigeria
 293 *Nigerian Journal of Parasitology*, 2017, 38; 92

294

295 30- Salwa Dawaki, Hesham M. Al-Mekhlafi, Init Ithoi, Jamaiah Ibrahim, Wahib M. Atroosh et al, Is
 296 Nigeria winning the battle against malaria? Prevalence, risk factors and KAP assessment among
 297 Hausa communities in Kano State. *Malar J* (2016) 15:351
 298 -
 299

300 **Table 1; Demographic variables of Pregnant women studied**

Variables	Frequency(%)
Age-group	
<20years	12(8.6)
21-26	32(22.9)
27-32	58(41.4)
33-38	35(25.0)
>39	3(2.1)
Residence	
Urban	129(92.1)
Semi urban	9(6.4)
Rural	2(1.4)
Occupation	
Student	29(20.7)
Civil servant	40(28.6)
Applicant	21(15.0)
Business	34(24.3)
Trader	16(11.4)
Marital status	
Married	135(96.4)
Non-married	5(3.6)
Type of relationship	
Polygamous	34(24.3)
Monogamous	106(75.7)

Educational background	
Islamic	8(5.7)
Primary	11(7.9)
Secondary	52(37.1)
Tertiary	69(49.3)
Gestational stage	
First	13(9.3)
Second	72(51.4)
Third	55(39.3)
Control	
Malaria Treatment at index Pregnancy	
Yes	64(45.7)
No	76(54)
Preventive measure	
Insecticide-treated net	80(57.1)
Mosquito coil	25(17.9)
Insecticide spray	35(25)
Heamatenic intake	
Yes	96(68.6)
No	44(31.4)
Drainage system	
Stagnant water	25(17.9)
Open space	40(28.6)
Drainage provided	75(53.6)
Parity	
0	37(26.4)
1-3	64(45.7)
>4	39(27.9)
Source of water	
Well water	36(25.7)
Tap water	104(74.3)

301

302 **Table 2;Malaria Prevalence versus demographic variables of pregnant women**

Variables	Frequency(%)	p-value
Age-group		
<20years		
21-26		
27-32		0.001
33-38	2(5.7)	
>39	1(33.3)	
Residence		

Urban	3(2.4)	.877
Semi urban		
Rural		
Occupation		
Student		
Civil servant	1(2.5)	
Applicant		.443
Business	2(5.9)	
Trader		
Housewife		
Type of relationship		
Polygamous	1(2.9)	.569
Monogamous	2(1.9)	
Educational background		
Islamic		
Primary		
Secondary	2(3.8)	.731
Tertiary	1(1.4)	
Gestational stage		
First		
Second	1(1.4)	.589
Third	2(3.6)	
Control		
Malaria Treatment at index Pregnancy		
Yes	1(1.6)	.582
No		
Preventive measure		
Insecticide-treated net	2(2.5)	.930
Mosquito coil		
Insecticide spray	1(2.9)	
Heamtenic intake		
Yes	1(1.0)	.226
No		
Drainage system		
Stagnant water	1(4.2)	
Open space	1(2.4)	.249
Drainage provided	1(1.3)	
Parity		
0	1(2.5)	
1-3		.379
>4	2(2.8)	
Source of water		
Well water	2(4.2)	.265

Tap water	1(1.1)	
-----------	--------	--

UNDER PEER REVIEW