CHANGES IN CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND NUTRITIVE VALUE DURING GRAIN DEVELOPMENT OF THREE VARIETIES OF MAIZE

- 3
- 4

5 ABSTRACT

Two improved varieties of maize (OBA SUPER II and SWAN) and one local variety were
grown in the University of Ado Ekiti during the early cropping season of year 2016 to study
the changes in their chemical and nutritional quality as the maize kernel develops.

9 The chemical compositon of the three varieties shows a decrease in carbohydrate content and 10 an increase in protein and fat content as the kernel matures while the concentration of Ash 11 and fibre in the three varieties varies and does not follow a consistent pattern as the kernel 12 matures.

13 The most abundant mineral elements are phosphorus, calcium, magnesium and zinc. Maize14 grains are low in trace elements.

15

16 INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) IS the third most important cereal grain in the world, the majority of this product in developing countries is for human consumption, in the developed world it is mainly used for industrial purposes and animal feed (FAO,1992). Due to its value and importance, the genetic improvement of maize has played a key role in the development of genotypes with high technological and nutritional values. Specialty maize hybrids are the result of selection for improved chemical composition of the grain compared to standard hybrids. Physically, the yellow flint maize has a high content of proteins and β-carotene.

24 Field maize contains approximately 4% of sucrose to immature milky stage. Standard sweet 25 maize with the sugary1(su1) mutant at the same stage contains approximately 10% sucrose. 26 Following harvest or if left on the stalk too long, sucrose in *sul* standard sweet maize is rapidly conversed to starch. Grains can loose as much as 50% of their sucrose at room 27 28 temperature 24 hours after harvest (Amir et al., 1971). Waxy maize is a starch variant of 29 normal maize which contains 100% amylopectin whereas normal maize contains 75% 30 amylopectin and 25% of amylose. Waxy maize is used by wet-maize millers to produce waxy starch which is utilised by the food industry as a stabiliser and in the paper industry as an 31 32 adhesive (Ptaszek et al., 2009). Popping maize has a

hard, flinty endosperm that surrounds a small amount of soft moist starch in the centre.
Heating the grain turns this moisture into steam which expands, splits the pericarp and causes
the endosperm to explode, turning the grain inside out. Most commercial varieties expand 3040 times their volume. Among the most important types of maize are high lysine maize,
namely *opaque-2* and quality protein maize (QPM) and high-oil content genotypes with more
than 6% of oil high in polyunsaturated essential fatty acids (Graham *et al.*, 1990).

39 In Nigeria, specifically in the Northern part of the country, cereal provides a major food resource for man. It is the major source of energy and protein in the diet of many people. 40 41 Maize is the second most important cereal crop in Nigeria ranking behind sorghum in the 42 number of people it feeds. Estimated annual production of maize is about 5.6 million tones. 43 (Central Bank of Nigeria report 1992). Maize is a multipurpose crop, providing food and fuel 44 for human being and feed for animals (poultry and livestock). Its grain has great nutritional 45 value and can be used as raw material for manufacturing many industrial products. (Afzal et 46 al.,2009).Due to nutritional composition of maize, it serves as a good.

47 Substrate for fungi development that many cause nutritional losses and production of toxic48 substances known as mycotoxin (Lancy, 1998).

The objective of this research work is to investigate the changes in the chemical composition

- 50 and nutritive value during grain development in maize.
- 51

52 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at the back of the Plant Science Laboratory of the University of
Ado Ekiti under the rainy season condition during the 2016 early planting season.

55

56 Seed materials

57 Two improved varieties (OBA SUPER II and SWAN) were collected from IITA

58 (International Institute of Tropical Agriculture) Ibadan, Nigeria and one local variety

59 collected from Ado Ekiti market were used for the study.

60 The description of the feature of the three cultivars used is shown in the table below.

62 **Table 1**

S/N	CULTIVARS	BREEDS	TESTA COLOUR
1.	OBA SUPER II	HYBRID	YELLOW
2.	SWAN	HYBRID	YELLOW
3.	LOCAL VARIETY	OPEN	WHITE
		POLLINATED	

63 Common phenotypic characteristics of the maize cultivars used

64

65 Land preparation

The land preparation was done by clearing the bush with cutlass and filled on 8th of April, 2016. The experimental design was a Complete Randomized Block Design (CRBD) with four replicates. The plot used was divided into twelve sub plots measuring 1.2m by 4.5m per sub plot. Seeds were sown on 10th April, 2016 at the rate of two seeds per hill and at a spacing of 0.3m within rows and 0.9m across rows, weeding was done to reduce competition for the available soil nutrients, water and light.

72

73 **Data collection**

Agronomic characters such as Days to Tasselling, Days to anthesis, Days to silking, plant height, cob height, kernel row per cob, 250-kernet weight were taken for the varieties while bulk samples for the proximate composition and mineral analysis were taken at 4,5,6,7 and 8

77 weeks after tasselling.

78

79 **Determination of Proximate**

The proximate parameters such as moisture, ash, crude fiber, protein and carbohydrate contents of the samples were carried out as follows; The proximate parameters such as moisture, ash, crude fiber, protein and carbohydrate contents of the samples were carried out as follows;

84

85 (i) Determination of moisture content

Drying method is the main method used in estimating the moisture content of foods in which the percentage weight loss of water was estimated; usually after removal by heating by oven drying at 105oC (the oven used was DHG-9023A model, made by B. BRAN Scientific and Instrument Company England). This method is considered to be a reliable method provided that there is no chemical decomposition of the sample (A.O.A.C., 2006).

91 Cleaned and dried petri dishes were weighed by using OHUS Adventure analytical balance 92 and respective weight was recorded (W1). 3.0 g of the sample was weighed into the dishes 93 spreading as much as possible. The petri dish and sample were weighed and recorded as W2. 94 The petri dishes with the samples were transferred into the thermosetting oven maintained at 95 105oC, and dried for about three hours. It was later transferred to the desiccator for effective

- 96 cooling and then reweighed. This process was performed repeatedly until a constant weight
- 97 (W3) was obtained (A.O.A.C., 2006). The loss in weight during drying in percentage was taken to be the percentage moisture content. 98
- (Loss in weight)/(Weight of sample) \times 100 99 % Moisture content =
- 100 % Moisture content = $(W2 - W3)/3 \times 100$
- 101
- 102 Where 3 represent weight of sample
- W1 = Weight of empty evaporating dish103
- 104 W2 = Weight of empty evaporating dish + sample
- W3 = Constant weight, evaporating dish and dried sample. 105
- 106 (ii) Determination of crude fat

107 The crude fat was determined by Soxhlet extraction system. A previously dried filter paper was weighed as (W1). 2.5g of the sample was added in the filter paper, weighed as (W2). 108 This was tightened very well with white thread and transferred into a thimble. A 500ml round 109 110 bottom flask was filled up to two-third of its capacity with n-hexane. The soxhlet extractor 111 was then fitted with a reflux condenser and the heat source of the extractor was adjusted so that the solvent boils gently and it was left to siphon for 8 hours, after which the paper was 112 removed. The filtre paper and defatted samples were dried in the oven at 50°C for about 30 113 114 minutes. The sample was allowed to cool down in dessicators and weighed as (W3). The 115 percentage fat content was calculated thus:-

% Crude fat = $(W2 - W3)/(W2 - W1) \times 100$ 116

- 117 Where,
- 118 W1 weight of the filter paper
- W2 weight of the filter paper and the sample 119
- 120

121

= (iii) Determination of total ash

W3

122 Clean flat bottom crucibles were placed in muffle furnace for about 15 minutes at 350°C, the 123 crucibles were removed, allowed to cool in desiccators, properly labelled with lead pencil and 124 each was weighed as (W1). 1g of the sample was added to each labelled crucibles and 125 samples were then transferred into the muffle furnace to ash at 550°C for 4 hours. After 126 complete ashing i.e when the samples become whitish in colour, the crucibles were allowed to cool in a desiccator and reweighed as (W3). Percentage ash was calculated and the ash 127 128 used for mineral analysis.

weight of the defatted sample and the filter paper

- 129 % Ash Content =(weight of ash)/(weight of sample) \times 100
- 130 % Ash Content = $(W3-W1)/(W2-W1) \times 100$
- 131

132 Where, weight of empty crucible, 133 W1 = weight of the crucible and sample, W2 134 =W3 weight of the crucible and ash sample 135 = 136 (iv) 137 Determination of Crude Protein (Using Kieldhal Method) 138 The stages involved are; 139 **Digestion Stage** In this stage, 1g of the sample was weighed into a Kjedhal flask and 10ml of H2SO4 with 140 Kjedhal catalyst was added. The weight is taken to be W1. This was then heated on a heater 141 142 until it was digested. The flask was rotated at intervals until the digest was clear (light green) 143 and the heating was continued after that to ascertain complete digestion. This was allowed to cool and the digested sample was made to 50ml (V1). The sulphuric acid action result in 144 complete digestion of organic matter and the conversion of nitrogen into ammonium salt 145 (ammonium sulphate). 146 147 $2NH_3(aq) + H_2SO_4(aq)$ catalyst \rightarrow (NH₄)₂SO₄(aq) The digested sample was then diluted with 50ml distilled water after which 25ml was 148 pipetted into a clean distilled flask and neutralized with 50ml 40% sodium hydroxide. 149 150 $(NH_4)_2SO_4(aq) + 2NaOH(aq)$ $Na_2SO_4(aq) + 2NH_3(g) +$ 151 $2H_2O(1)$ 152 153 154 **Distillation Stage** 155 In this stage, the digested ammonia was trapped into 5ml 2% boric acid that is contained in a receiving flask in which 4 drops of mixed indicator (0.198g bromocresol green plus 0.132g 156 methyl red in 200ml alcohol) has been added. 157 $NH_3(g) + H_3BO_3(aq) NH_4^+(aq) + H_2BO_3(aq)$ 158 159 (3) Titration Stage 160 The titration stage which is the last stage involves titrating the distillate against 0.01M HCl 161 until the colour changes from bluish to pink/red. 162 $(NH_3)_3 BO_3(aq) + 3HCl(aq)$ $3NH_4Cl(aq) + H_3BO_3(aq)$ % Nitrogen =((T-B)×14 ×0.01×V1)/(Weight of sample ×V2) × 100 163 164 where T = the titre value 165 B = blank

166 V1 = volume of digest

167 V2 = volume of digest used

168 % Crude Protein = % Nitrogen \times 6.25

169 Crude Protein

The amount of crude protein contained in seeds is obtained by multiplying the nitrogen
content of the food by 6.25. The factor 6.25 owes its origin to the assumption that all food
protein contains 16% nitrogen, and that all nitrogen in a food is present as protein.

173 (v)Determination of crude fiber.

Crude fibre is the remaining organic component when the defatted sample has been successfully treated with diluted acid (H₂SO₄) and dilute base (NaOH). Crude fibre is the indigestible portion of any main food. It is known that fibre consists of cellulose, which can be digested to considerable extents by both ruminants and non-ruminants (Pearson, 1976). The determination of fibre content in plant tissue provides a distinction between the most digestible carbohydrate.

180 Preparation of reagents:-

1.25% H2SO4:- this was prepared by measuring 6.25ml of concentrated H2SO4 with
the aid of measuring cylinder, and pour in 500ml volumetric flask that has about 200ml
distilled water, properly mixed and make up to the mark with more distilled water labeled.

1.25% NaOH:- 6.25g of NaOH pellets was weighed with Ohus analytical balance and
dissolved water in a beaker and transferred to 500 ml volumetric flask, then make up to the
mark with distilled water labeled.

HCl:- measuring cylinder was used to measure 10 ml concentrated HCl into 100ml
volumetric flask which already contain distilled water, mixed and make up to mark with
distilled water and labeled.

About 3.0 g (W1) of defatted sample was weighed into 500 ml conical flask, 200ml of 1.25%of H₂SO₄ was added to the sample in the conical flask, placed on heating mantle and bring to boiling within 2 minutes, then allowed to boil gently for 30 minutes. The mixture was filtered through Whatman filter paper, in Buchner funnel and rinsed well with hot distilled water. The

194 sample was scrapped back into the flask with spatula, placed on a heating mantle and 200ml 195 of 1.25% NaOH was added then allowed to boil for few minutes and boiled gently for 30 196 minutes. It was filtered through Whatman filter paper, in Buchner funnel and rinsed well with hot distilled water for four times and once with 10% HCl to neutralize the NaOH remaining 197 in the sample then rinsed with hot distilled water for four times and twice with ethanol. The 198 199 residue was scrapped into a crucible and weighed (W2), dried in a thermosetting drying ovenat 105°C, ashed at 550°C in a muffle furnace, cooled in a desiccator and reweighed 200 201 (W3).

202 (%) Crude fibre = (weight loss)/(weight of sample) \times 100

nere

205	W1	=	weight of empty crucible,			
206		W2	=	weight of the crucible and sample,		
207		W3	=	weight of the crucible and ash sample		

208 Mineral analysis

- 209 Determination of soluble carbohydrate (Nitrogen free extractive)
- 210 Carbohydrate is the most abundant constituent of plants and animals. The most common
- approach for the determination of carbohydrate content of food is the difference between the
- total predominant content in percentages (ash, crude protein, fat, crude fibre, moisture) and one
- 213 hundred (A.O.A.C., 1990). % Carbohydrate = 100 (% ash + % crude protein + % fat + %

crude fibre + % moisture).

215

216 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The mean values of different growth and developmental characters of the three varieties of maize grown during the experiment are shown in Table 2. From the table, days to tasselling, anthesis and silking were significantly different (P=0.05) in the three cultivars.

The hybrid yellow variety (OBA SUPER II) at $P \le 0.05$ was recorded for both plant and ear height among the three cultivars investigated but on the average, the open pollinated variety (local white) recorded the highest plant height and ear height while SWAN recorded the least in plant and ear height respectively.

224

225 **Table 2**

Mean values of different growth and developmental characteristics of the three varieties grown.

S/N	VARIETIES	DAYS TO TASSELLING	DAYS TO ANTHESIS	DAYS TO SILKING	PLANT HEIGHT (CM)	EAR HEIGHT (CM)
1	OBA SUPER II	51.30a	54.33a	56.67a	191.10a	151.50a
2	SWAN	55.30b	57.33b	59.33b	180.73b	132.87b
3	LOCAL VARIETY	58.00c	61.00c	62.67c	264.67c	171.00c

229 Means with the same letter within a column are not significantly different (p=0.05) based on Fisher Least 230 significant Difference (LSD)

231

232 **Table 3**

Mean values of different grain yield components and total grain yield of the three maize varieties grown

S/N	VARIETIES	VARIETIES COB LENGTH (cm)		250- KERNEL WEIGHT (g)		
1	OBA SUPER II	18.90a	14.33a	87.90a		
2	SWAN	18.53a	17.00b	87.30a		
3	LOCAL VARIETY	34.37b	13.00c	84.50b		

235

Means with the same letter within a column are not significantly different (p=0.05) based on Fisher Least
 significant Difference (LSD)

238

Table 3 shows the mean values of different grain yield compound and the total grain yield of the three maize varieties. From the table, there were significant differences in the cob length, row number and 250 kernel weight at P \leq 0.05 for the three cultivars. Local variety recorded the highest cob length and 250 kernel weight while OBA SUPER II and SWAN are not significantly different from each other. SWAN recorded the highest row number per cob (17.00) while local variety recorded the lowest row number per cob.

The proximate composition (percentage Dry-weight) of the three maize varieties at 4,5,6,7 and 8 weeks after tasselling is presented in tables 4,5 and 6.

The carbohydrate content in the cultivars ranged between 69.92% at 8 week after tasselling in the local variety to 11.29% at 8 weeks after tasselling in OBA SUPER II. The protein content appears to be inversely correlated to the carbohydrate content and increases as the kernel matures. The fat content ranged between 2.65 in the local variety at 4 weeks after tasselling to 4.30 in SWAN at 8 weeks after tasselling and also like protein increases as the kernel matures. The ash and fiber percentages do not follow a consistent trend as the kernel matures.

The mineral elements of the three varieties of maize grain on dry weight biss in mg/kg at 4,5,6,7 and 8 weeks after tasselling is shown in Tables 7, 8 and 9.

The highest mineral elements in the three varieties is phosphorous which ranges between 256 2385.51mg/kg at 8 weeks after tasselling which increases as the kernel matures.

Other elements such as iron, copper and manganese shows an increase as the maize kernel matures. The concentration of sodium and potassium for the three varieties increases form 4 weeks to 6 weeks after tasselling and decreases at 7 weeks and later increases at 8 weeks after tasselling while the concentration of zinc in the three varieties increases form 4-7 weeks after
 tasselling and later decreases at 8 weeks after tasselling. The concentration of potassium
 magnesium and cobalt are generally unstable in the maize grain.

263 **Table 4**

Proximate composition (%Dry weight) of OBA SUPER II grains at 4,5,6,7 and 8 weeks after tasselling

WEEKS AFTER TASSELLING	ASH%	FAT%	FIBRE%	PROTEIN%	SOLUBLE CARBOHYDRATE%
4	3.21	3.42	1.29	8.85	74.48
5	6.54	3.51	1.30	9.82	72.81
6	3.68	4.11	1.33	10.80	70.44
7	3.66	4.18	1.32	10.91	70.46
8	3.59	4.26	1.26	11.29	69.92

266

267 **Table 5**

Proximate composition (%Dry weight) of SWAN grains at 4,5,6,7 and 8 weeks after tasselling

WEEKS AFTER TASSELLING	ASH%	FAT%	FIBRE%	PROTEIN%	SOLUBLE CARBOHYDRATE%
4	3.30	3.36	1.32	8.35	75.05
5	3.48	3.48	1.35	9.40	73.34
6	3.66	4.21	1.33	10.62	70.54
7	3.62	4.27	1.30	10.82	70.34
8	3.55	4.30	1.25	11.05	70.18

270

Table 6

274	Proximate composition (%Dry weight) of LOCAL VARIETY (WHITE) g	grains at
275	4,5,6,7 and 8 weeks after tasselling	

WEEKS AFTER TASSELLING	ASH%	FAT%	FIBRE%	PROTEIN%	SOLUBLE CARBOHYDRATE%
4	3.28	2.65	2.45	8.05	75.11
5	3.30	2.70	2.51	8.34	74.61
6	3.58	3.95	2.18	9.57	71.80
7	3.56	3.92	2.16	10.90	70.89
8	3.45	3.99	2.16	10.90	70.61

Table 7

Mineral elements of OBA SUPER II grains on dry weight basis in mg/kg at 4,5,6,7 and 8

weeks after tasselling

weel	ks after tass	elling									
WEI AFT TAS		Na	К	Ca	Mg	Zn	Fe	Cu	Mn	Со	Р
4		65.11	52.66	296.82	344.70	480.87	18.19	21.06	5.74	6.70	2560.32
5		87.63	59.40	344.69	554.04	461.54	20.45	23.37	5.84	3.89	2692.31
6		87.34	70.25	818.30	684.45	636.99	24.68	27.53	9.49	9.49	2954.24
7		85.58	46.20	954.16	688.57	642.34	25.58	29.51	10.82	9.84	3000.20
8	\mathcal{N}	86.82	73.01	952.05	714.29	542.62	25.65	29.60	15.79	13.81	3020.92

281 Table 8

282	Mineral elements of SWAN grains on dry weight basis in mg/kg at 4,5,6,7 and 8 weeks
283	after tasselling

WEEKS AFTER TASSELLING	Na	K	Ca	Mg	Zn	Fe	Cu	Mn	Со	Р
4	65.15	39.09	318.32	317.39	232.69	18.62	18.62	5.58	6.54	2559.57
5	84.17	56.11	355.07	580.50	436.34	24.19	24.19	11.06	11.61	2481.62
6	87.24	67.32	883.75	649.54	451.36	27.65	27.65	11.38	9.22	2803.69
7	82.95	85.44	941.94	803.69	634.10	28.45	28.45	12.58	9.48	5963.21
8	90.94	31.31	950.08	727.55	507.93	29.02	29.02	15.48	13.54	2970.20

284

285 **Table 9**

Mineral elements of LOCAL VARIETY (WHITE) grains on dry weight basis in mg/kg at 4,5,6,7 and 8 weeks after tasselling

WEEKS	Na	K	Ca	Mg	Zn	Fe	Cu	Mn	Со	Р
AFTER										
TASSELLI	NG									
4	66.63	43.17	328.45	321.88	220.53	17.83	18.77	4.69	2.82	2385.51
5	69.82	44.95	350.04	444.72	36.64	18.17	19.13	15.30	8.26	2534.43
6	78.87	55.00	398.51	965.59	373.60	23.87	25.37	15.57	13.49	2849.49
7	77.04	47.91	436.87	685.83	926.12	23.49	25.63	13.15	11.27	2866.91
8	102.53	71.20	443.33	686.35	521.17	24.83	26.98	15.19	13.30	3267.99

288

289 DISCUSSION

The results of the proximate and nutritional value showed that the hybrid (OBA SUPER II) has the highest protein content which can be attributed to its improved genetic factor while local variety (WHITE) has the least protein content which increases as the kernel matures.

This is against the findings of Gomez-Brenes, Elias and Bressani (1968) which reported that protein quality decreases as kernel matures.

295 Changes in the crude protein content can be attributed to the fact that with advancing 296 maturiey plant fractions with structural role increases while at the same time soluble components of protein are transferred to more growing points (Gonske, Keeney 1969,
Fleischer 1986, 1987). Protein is very low in maize grain which constitute about 8-11%.

299 Consequently, it can be expected that intake and utilization of maize would be low unless 300 supplemented with a Nitrogen rich source. The major chemical component in maize kernel is 301 the carbohydrate which provided up to 69.12-75.05% and this corroborates the findings of 302 Morrison (1956) that an average of 66.80% carbohydrate based on the dry weight of maize

303 grain is achievable. The concentration of carbohydrate decreases as the kernel matures.

The percentage of crude fibre and ash which are the lowest chemical content in maize kernel decreases as the kernel matures which corroborates with (Ingle, Bietz and Hageman 1965). Fat which constitute of about 5% in maize kernel increases as the kernel matures.

- The abundant mineral form the result is the phosphorous which inceases as the kernel matures.
- In human nutrition, maize is good for consumption because its able to meet up the recommended daily requirement (rDA) for carbohydrate which is about 72-75%.
- 311 Maize also provides significant amount of protein, fat and high amount of phosphorous, 312 calcium. Thus maize should be promoted in infant feeding.

313 **REFERENCES**

- Afzal M, Nasir Z, Bashir MH, Khan BS (2009). Analysis of List Plant resistance in
 some genotypes of maize against Chilo Partellus (Swinhoe) (Pyralidae:
 Lepidoptera). *Pakistan J. Botany*. 41:421-428
- 317

328

332

- Amir J., Wright R.D., Cherry J.H., 1971. Chemical control of sucrose conversion to
 polysaccharides in sweet corn after harvest. *J Agric Food Chem* 19, 954-957.
- AOAC (2006) Official Methods of Analysis. 18th Edition, Association of Official Analytical
- 321 Chemists, Gaithersburgs, MD.
- 322 Central Bank of Nigeria (1992). Annual Report and Statement of Account Central323 Bank of
- 324 Nigeria, Lagos. pp 78.
- FAO, 1992. Maize in human nutrition. Report series 25. Food and Agricultural Organization,
 Rome, Italy.
- Fleischer. J.E. 1986. Harnessing Ghana's renewal energy resources for increased protein
 production In; proc Ghana National Conference on population and National
 Reconstruction, Legon 7-10 April 1986.
- Fleischer, J.E. 1987. A study of the growth and nutritive value of green panicum (*Panicum maximum*) vatrichoglume (v petrie) & Rhodes grass (chloris gayana kunth) OAU
 (STRC BULL) Animal Health and Prod. 35(3):229-237
- 337 Gomez-Brenes R.A., Elias L.G. and Bressan, R. 1968. Effectodel Procesode maduracion del

338	maiz sobre su valor nutritive Arch. Latinoam. Nutr., 18:6579									
339 340 341 342 343	Gonske, R.G & Keeney D.R. 1969. Effect of fertilization Nitrogen variety and maturity on dry matter yield of corn grown for sillage <i>Agron. J.</i> 61:72-75									
344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351	Graham G.G., Lembcke J., Morales E., 1990. Quality-protein maize as the sole source of dietary protein and fat for rapidly growing young children. Pediatrics 85, 85-91.									
	Lancey J (1998). Prevention of Mould Growth and Mycotoxin Production through control of Environmental Sector. <i>Mycotoxin and Phycotoxin</i> . Elisever. Amsterdam P. 161-189.									
351 352 353	Morrison F.B. (1956). Feeds and feeding 22 nd edition. Morrison Pub. Co. New York.									
354 355	Pearson, D., 1976. Chemical Analysis of Foods. 7th Edn., Church Hill Livingstone, London, UK., pp: 72-73,138-143, 488-496.									
356 357 358 359 360	Ptaszek A., Berski W., Ptaszek P., Witczak T., Repelewicz U., Grzesik M., 2009. Viscoelastic properties of waxy maize starch and selected non-starch hydrocolloids gels. <i>Carbohydr Polymers</i> 76, 567-577.									