

Nematicidal Properties of *Moringa Oleifera*, *Chromolaena Odorata* and *Panicum maximum* and their Control Effects on Pathogenic Nematodes of Yam

**ABSTRACT**

A field study was conducted at Atonsu, Sekyere Central District, Ghana from 2013 to 2014, to (i) determine the effects of *Moringa oleifera*, *Chromolaena odorata* and *Panicum maximum* as ex-situ mulches, on soil nematodes population after two years of yam cropping and (ii) assess the effects of the soil nematodes on the yield and physical tuber quality of yam. The field experiment was a 3x3 factorial arrangement in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The first factor was ex-situ mulch types at three levels; *Panicum maximum* (farmers' choice), *Chromolaena odorata* and *Moringa oleifera*. The second factor was natural fallow aged systems at three levels i.e. 3-year old, 5-year old and 7-year old. Data collected included nematode population changes, total tuber yield of yam and tuber physical quality assessment. Generally, *Meloidogyne spp.*, *Pratylenchus spp.*, and *Scutellonema spp.* were the nematode types identified. However, *Scutellonema spp.* was found to be the most pathogenic nematode affecting yam tuber yield and physical quality. *Chromolaena* and *Moringa* mulches suppressed *Scutellonema spp.* populations by 80.7% and 76.2% respectively as compared to the *Panicum maximum* mulch. The suppressed *Scutellonema spp.* population significantly contributed to higher tuber yields and good tuber physical quality under the *M. oleifera* and *C. odorata* mulches in comparison to the *P. maximum* mulch.

**Keywords:** nematodes populations, yam, tuber physical quality, ex-situ mulches, tuber yield

**INTRODUCTION**

Across West and Central Africa, yam plays key roles in the economy, food and livelihoods [1]. For instance in Nigeria, yam contributes 12% to the AGDP [2] with about sixty million people depending on it for food and livelihood. In Ghana, yam's contribution to the AGDP is 16 percent [3]; [4] and serves as a famine reserve- and cash-crop for resource poor farmers [5].

33 In world export trade, Ghana, Costa Rica and USA are the three largest exporters of yam  
34 accounting for about 70% of global trade [6]. It has been estimated that an average of over  
35 25% of the yield of yam is lost annually due to diseases and pest, particularly nematodes  
36 [7]. These nematodes cause not only a reduction in yam yields but also a profound  
37 physical damage to yam tubers thereby rendering them unappealing to consumers and  
38 subsequently commanding a very low market value [8]. Earlier reports indicate that yam  
39 tubers are significantly damaged by three major nematode species, namely, *Scutellonema*  
40 *spp.* *Meloidogyne spp.* and *Pratylenchus coffeae* [9]; [10]; [11]; [12]; [13]]. These  
41 nematode genera are predominant in West and Central Africa and therefore have profound  
42 influence on yam tuber yields and physical quality [14]. For instance, *Scutellonema spp.*  
43 damage is characterized by the rotting of the tuber to depths of about 2 cm into the tuber  
44 [15] and [16]. [17] reported that *Scutellonema spp.* is very difficult to control because a  
45 wide range of other crops and some weeds support its populations. In spite of this  
46 drawback, the control of *Scutellonema spp.* is essential to the improvement of yam yields  
47 and its subsequent tuber physical quality. There are reports to suggest that the leaves of *M.*  
48 *oleifera* and *C. odorata* possess nematicidal properties for the control of *M. incognita* and  
49 *M. javanica* in eggplant and cowpea production resulting in their increased yields [18];  
50 [19]. However there is a dearth of such information on other pathogenic nematodes and on  
51 other crops.

52 The objectives of the present study therefore were to (i) determine the effects of *Moringa*  
53 *oleifera*, *Chromolaena odorata* and *Panicum maximum* as ex-situ mulches, on soil  
54 nematodes population after two years of yam cropping and (ii) assess the effects of the soil  
55 nematodes on the yield and physical tuber quality of the yam.

56

## 57 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 58 **Experimental locations, design and procedure**

59 The study was carried out at Atonsu village which had a good representation of all the  
60 three natural fallow ages viz: three- year old (3-year), five years (5-year) and seven years  
61 (7-year) that would be used. The 3-year and 5-year old natural fallows were previously  
62 cropped to cowpea and cassava, respectively, while the 7-year old natural fallow was  
63 previously cropped to cocoyam.

64 The experimental design was a 3 x 3 factorial arrangement in randomized complete block  
65 with three replications. The first factor was natural fallow age at three levels, namely, 3-

66 year, 5-year and 7-year. The second factor was mulch type at three levels comprising,  
67 *Chromolaena odorata* mulch, *Moringa oleifera* mulch and *Panicum maximum* mulch  
68 (control -farmer practice). The plot size within each natural fallow age system was 5 m x  
69 4 m with an experimental area of 2,000 m<sup>2</sup> (50 m x 40 m).

70 Preparation of ridges, plots demarcation and all other cultural practices were done in  
71 accordance with the methodology of [4]. The inter-ridge spacing was 1 m while the  
72 inter-plot spacing was 3 m.

73 Yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* var. Puna) setts with uniform size and a mean weight of 250 g  
74 were planted on the ridges at a spacing of 1 m x 0.5 m. There were 36 plants per plot  
75 (18,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>). Two croppings were done over the study period, namely, in 2013 and  
76 in 2014.

77 All three mulch types were applied at a rate of 0.5 kg plant<sup>-1</sup> (10 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) in both years of  
78 cropping. There were two applications of each mulch type during each cropping period.  
79 The first application was done 28 days after planting of yam while the second was done  
80 75 days after planting [20].

81 Data collected included, nutrient content of mulches, soil nematodes populations, yam  
82 tuber yield and tuber physical quality.

### 83 **Data Analysis**

84 Data were subjected to analysis of variance using Genstat version 10. Treatment means  
85 were separated using Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) at 5% level of  
86 probability.

## 87 **RESULTS**

### 88 **Nutrient content of leaves and stems of the three mulches**

89 Among the three mulches, *M. oleifera* leaf residues contained significantly ( $p < 0.05$ )  
90 greater concentrations of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium and magnesium than  
91 *C. odorata* and *P. maximum* (Table 1). *C. odorata* leaves also had significantly higher  
92 contents of all the nutrients studied than *P. maximum*. The nutrient composition of the leaf  
93 residues were therefore found to be in the order: *M. oleifera* > *C. odorata* > *P. maximum*.  
94 Generally, there were higher contents of nitrogen and calcium in the leaves than in the  
95 stems except for potassium, which had higher content in the stems than in the leaves for all  
96 the mulches (Table 1). The C/N ratios of the leaf residues of *C. odorata*, *M. oleifera* and

97 *P. maximum* were 18.73, 8.38 and 43.12, respectively. For the stems, the C/N ratios ranged  
98 from 59.54 to -80.52.

99 Table 1 Nutrient content of leaves and stems of *P. maximum*, *C. odorata* and *M. oleifera*  
100 used in the study

<i>Mulch type</i>	%N	%P	%K	%Ca	%Mg	C/N
<b>Leaves</b>						
<i>P. maximum</i>	0.90c	0.13c	2.00c	0.29c	0.26c	43.12
<i>C. odorata</i>	1.60b	0.24b	2.52b	0.44b	0.50b	18.73
<i>M. oleifera</i>	3.87a	0.29a	2.88a	0.50a	0.59a	8.38
HSD (0.05)	0.039	0.023	0.138	0.054	0.068	
<b>Stems</b>						
<i>P. maximum</i>	0.56a	0.18ab	3.87a	0.18b	0.26b	69.46
<i>C. odorata</i>	0.50a	0.14b	3.05c	0.23ab	0.24b	80.52
<i>M. oleifera</i>	0.57a	0.22a	3.36b	0.29a	0.31a	59.54
HSD (0.05)	0.087	0.041	0.096	0.076	0.043	

101 Means with the same subscript within a column are not significantly different at  $p > 0.05$ .

## 102 Effects of three mulches on soil nematodes populations

### 103 Initial soil nematodes populations

104 Soil nematode types initially found in the soil were *Meloidogyne spp.*, *Scutellonema spp.*,  
105 *Pratylenchus spp.*, *Rotylenchus spp.*, *Helicotylenchus spp.*, and *Tylenchus spp.* Significant  
106 differences were observed between the fallow ages for populations of *Meloidogyne spp.*,  
107 *Scutellonema spp.*, and *Rotylenchus spp.* (Table 2). The 3-year fallow system recorded the  
108 highest population for *Scutellonema spp.* and the least for *Meloidogyne spp.* and  
109 *Rotylenchus spp.* Contrarily, the 5-year fallow system recorded the highest population for  
110 *Meloidogyne spp.*, and the least for *Scutellonema spp.* For the 7-year fallow system, the  
111 highest population was found in *Rotylenchus spp.*, and the least in *Scutellonema spp.*  
112 There were no significant differences in the populations of *Pratylenchus spp.*  
113 *Helicotylenchus spp.* and *Tylenchus spp.* for all three fallow aged systems.

114 **Table 2.** Initial soil nematode population in the three natural fallow aged systems

Fallow aged system	<i>Meloidogyne spp.</i>	<i>Scutellonema spp.</i>	<i>Rotylenchus spp.</i>
--------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------

3-year	19.67b	14.33a	2.00b
5-year	40.33a	1.33b	14.00b
7-year	29.00a	9.33a	68.00a
HSD	19.72	7.29	30.10

Means with the same subscript within a column do not differ significantly at  $p>0.05$

116

### Changes in soil nematode population after mulching

There were significant increases in the population of *Meloidogyne spp.* under all three mulches, two years after application and cropping. The population increases in comparison to the initial were 223.2 %, 421.5% and 270.0 % for *P. maximum*, *C. odorata* and *M. oleifera*, respectively (Table 3). As regards, *Scutellonema spp.*, population increase was only found under *P. maximum*, about 406.0%. Under both *C. odorata* and *M. oleifera* mulches, however, no significant differences were found in the population of *Scutellonema spp.* when the population after two years was compared to the initial. There were no significant differences between the initial and two years populations of *Pratylenchus spp.* and *Rotylenchus spp.*

127

**Table 3.** Effects of application of three mulch types on the populations of *Meloidogyne spp.* and *Scutellonema spp.* across the fallow aged systems

Sampling period	<i>Meloidogyne spp.</i>			<i>Scutellonema spp.</i>		
	Population (per 100 g soil)			Population (per 100g soil)		
	<i>P. maximum</i>	<i>C. odorata</i>	<i>M. oleifera</i>	<i>P. maximum</i>	<i>C. odorata</i>	<i>M. oleifera</i>
Initial	29.67b	29.67b	29.67b	8.33b	8.33a	8.33a
After two years of mulching	96.00a	154.89a	109.89a	42.00a	8.11a	10.00a
HSD (0.05)	58.14	88.77	78.45	23.38	6.55	12.02

130

131

## 132 **Tuber physical damage due to by nematodes**

133 There were no significant fallow ages x mulch type interactions for tuber physical damage  
 134 due to nematodes. Similarly, there were no significant differences between the mulches for  
 135 nematode-related tuber physical damage. Furthermore, there were no significant  
 136 differences between the fallow aged systems for nematode-related tuber physical damage.  
 137 Generally, tuber damage ranged between 0.29 % and 1.38 %.

138

## 139 **Tuber yield of yam**

140 There were no significant fallow aged systems x mulch type interactions for any of the  
 141 yield components over the two years of cropping. There were however significant  
 142 differences between the mulches for tuber weight and subsequently tuber yield for both  
 143 years of cropping. Tuber yield of yam under *M. oleifera* was significantly and consistently  
 144 greater than those under *C. odorata* and *P. maximum*, (Table 4). The least tuber weight of  
 145 yam was produced under *P. maximum*. In terms of the fallow aged systems, yam tuber  
 146 yield was greatest under the 7-year fallow system, significantly heavier than those under  
 147 5-year and 3-year fallow systems after the first year of cropping. The least tuber weight  
 148 was produced by yam under 5-year fallow. However, after the second year of cropping,  
 149 no significant differences were observed between the fallow aged systems for all the  
 150 measured yield components.

151

152

153

154 Table 4. Effects of mulch types and fallow aged systems on Total yam tuber yield over  
 155 two years

<b>Mulch type</b>	Mean Tuber wt. (kg)	Total Tuber yield (t/ha)
<i>P. maximum</i>	1.10b	51.3b
<i>C. odorata</i>	1.25b	56.1b
<i>M. oleifera</i>	1.50a	70.5a
HSD (0.05)	0.24	6.62
<b>Fallow age</b>		
3-year	1.25b	31.38b

5-year	1.02b	30.35b
7-year	1.83a	43.16a
HSD (0.05)	0.45	10.48

156 *Means with the same subscript within a column do not differ significantly ( $p>0.05$ )*

157

## 158 **DISCUSSION**

159 *Scutellonema* spp. population under *P. maximum* significantly increased by 5 fold after  
160 two years of mulch application and cropping. Conversely, populations under *M. oleifera*  
161 and *C. odorata* mulches, did not change over the same period of application and cropping.  
162 The implication was that, in spite of the yam cropping, the *M. oleifera* and *C. odorata*  
163 mulches were able to prevent the multiplication of the *Scutellonema* spp., one of the most  
164 devastating species which causes considerable damage to yam tubers. These two mulches  
165 could therefore be considered as good agents for the biological control of the *Scutellonema*  
166 spp. nematode. This is the first report of the nematicidal properties of *M. oleifera* in the  
167 control of *Scutellonema* spp. For *C. odorata*, the present study corroborates the findings of  
168 earlier studies which indicated that the direct application of *C. odorata* either as mulch or  
169 as in natural fallows, reduced the population of *Scutellonema* spp. [21]; [22]; [23]; [24].  
170 The mechanism of control has been explained by the single or combined action of  
171 alkaloids, flavonoids, saponins, amides and ketones which are produced during  
172 decomposition of the candidate mulch material [25]; [26]; [27]; [28]. [23] and [29] have  
173 in separate reports indicated that *C. odorata* possessed alkaloids, flavonoids and the other  
174 phytochemicals that conferred nematicidal properties on it. In addition, [30] and [31] had  
175 indicated that the saponins and tannins in *C. odorata* were responsible for the inhibition of  
176 the egg hatching ability of such nematodes. These positive nematicidal attributes of *M.*  
177 *oleifera* and *C. odorata* could partly be responsible for the heavier weighted yam tubers  
178 under them as compared to the *P. maximum* mulch. Also contributing to the good yields  
179 observed under *M. oleifera* and *C. odorata* were the high nutrient status of the leaves  
180 which most probably were released through decomposition, in synchrony with tuberization  
181 of the yam. The findings of the present study supports the report of [32] who indicated that  
182 tuber yield of yam under *C. odorata* mulch was significantly greater than that under *P.*  
183 *maximum*.

184

## 185 CONCLUSION

186 After two years of application and cropping, the ex-situ mulches, *C. odorata* and *M.*  
187 *oleifera* suppressed *Scutellonema* populations by 80.7% and 76.2% respectively as  
188 compared to the control mulch (*Panicum*). The suppressive effects of these mulches on  
189 *Scutellonema spp.* partly contributed to the significantly higher tuber yield sustenance of  
190 *M. oleifera* and *C. odorata* mulches as compared to *P. maximum* as well as to the very  
191 minimal (less than 2%) tuber physical damage observed. Both *Moringa* and *Chromolaena*  
192 could therefore be exploited for cultural management and suppression of the *Scutellonema*  
193 *spp.* through their use as mulch.

194

## 195 REFERENCES

1. FAO. Corporate document repository. Factors affecting storability of roots and tuber. Accessed 20 February, 2017. [www.fao.org/docrep](http://www.fao.org/docrep).
2. Nahanga, V. and Becvarova, V. An Analysis of Yam Production in Nigeria. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis, 2015, 63(2): 659–665
3. FAO, Analysis of incentives and disincentives for yam in Ghana. Technical notes series, MAFAP, by Anaadumba P. 2013
4. Ennin, SA., Otoo, E. and Tetteh, F. M. Ridging, a Mechanized Alternative to Mounding for Yam and Cassava Production. West African Journal of Applied Ecology, 2009. vol. 15, No. 1 8pp.
5. Norman, PE. Cytological diversity of some yams *Dioscorea spp.* University of Kwazulu-Natal, assessed [www.slideshare.net](http://www.slideshare.net), January 10, 2017 MSc. Thesis, 2010
6. UN COMTRADE. Yam sector development strategy, United Nations Comtrade Database-International Trade Statistics. Ghana. Ministry of trade and Industry. [moti.gov.gh/sites/default/files](http://moti.gov.gh/sites/default/files), 2012.
7. Nyadanu, D., Dapaah, H. and Agyekum, AD. Resistance to Post harvest Microbial



Rot in Yam: Integration of Genotype and Storage methods. African Crops Science Journal, 2014, Vol. 22 No.2 pp 89-95.

8. Baimey, H., Coyne, D. and Labuschagne, N. Effect of fertilizer application on yam nematode (*Scutellonema bradys*) multiplication and consequent damage to yam (*Dioscorea spp.*) under field and storage conditions in Benin. International Journal of Pest Management 2006. 52(1), pp. 63–70.
9. Plowright, RA and Kwoseh, CK. Farmer's perceptions of nematode disease in yams in Ghana and the prevalence of endoparasitic nematodes in stored tubers. Nematologica 1998, 44: 558-559.
10. Plowright, R. and Kwoseh, C. Identification of resistance to major nematode pests of yams (*Dioscorea spp.*) in West Africa. Crop Protection Programme, CABI. Bioscience. 2000
11. Bridge J., Coyne DL. and Kwoseh, C. Nematode parasites of tropical root and tuber crops. In: "Plant parasitic nematodes in subtropical and tropical agriculture" (Second edition). M. Luc, R. A. Sikora, J. Bridge (eds), CABI Wallingford, UK, pp. 221-258. 2011.
12. Caveness, F. E. Nematological Research at IITA 1969-1988: A summary of investigations. In. Plant Health Management Research Monograph 2. Lowe, ed. Ibadan, Nigeria, p. 52. 1992.
13. Baimey, HK. *Scutellonema bradys* as a pathogen of yam in Benin PhD dissertation. 2006 <http://repository.up.ac.za/bitstream/handle/2263/29022> (Date accessed: 25/02/18)
14. Coyne, DL., Williamson, VM. and Banna, AB. Analysis of the pathogenic, morphological and genetic variability of *Scutellonema bradys* on yam in West Africa. Afr. Plant Prot. 12 (1). 2006.
15. Nwauzor, EC. and Fawole, B. Root knot nematodes on yams (*Dioscorea spp.*) in eastern Nigeria. Proceeding of the 3rd Research Planning Conference on Root-knot nematodes, *Meloidogyne spp.*, Region IV and V, Ibadan, Nigeria, 1981. pp. 161-167.
16. Kwoseh, CK. Identification of resistance to major nematode pest of yams (*Dioscorea spp.*) in West Africa. PhD dissertation. 2000. Dept of Agriculture,

University of Reading, UK.196 pp

17. Bridge, J., Hunt, DJ. and Hunt, P. Plant parasitic nematodes of crops in Belize. *Nematropica* 1996. 26, 111-119.
18. Murslain, M., Javed, N., Khan, SA., Khan, HA., Huma Abbas, H. and Kamran, M. Combined Efficacy of *Moringa oleifera* Leaves and a Fungus, *Trichoderma harzianum* Against *Meloidogyne javanica* on Eggplant. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, 2014 vol. 46(3), pp. 827-832,
19. Claudius-Cole, AO., Aminu, AE. and Fawole, B. Evaluation of plant extracts in the management of root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* on cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L) Walp]. *Mycopath*, 2010. 8: 53-60.
20. Agbede, TM., Adekiya, AO. and Ogeh, JS. Effects of Chromolaena and Tithonia Mulches on Soil Properties, Leaf Nutrient Composition, Growth and Yam Yield. West 2013. *frican Journal of Applied Ecology*, 21(1).
21. Ajith, K and Sheela, S. Utilization of green leaves of neem and *upatorium* for the management of soil organisms in bhindi and cowpea *Indian Journal of Nematology*. 1996 26, 139-143
22. Adekunle, OK and Fawole, B. Chemical and non-chemical control of *Meloidogyne incognita* under field conditions. *Indian Journal of Nematology* 2002. 32, 1-8.
23. Adekunle, OK. and Fawole, B. Chemical and non-chemical control of *Meloidogyne incognita* infecting cowpea under field conditions. *Moor Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2003. 4 (1): pp. 94 -99.
24. Adediran, JA., Adegbite, AA., Akinlosotu, T., Agbaje, GO., Taiwo, LB., Owolade, OF and Oluwatosin, GA. Evaluation of fallow and cover crops for nematode suppression in three agroecologies of south western Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 2005 1034-1039
25. Thoden, TC., Oppre, MB and Allmann, JH. Pyrrolizidine alkaloids of *Chromolaena odorata* act as nematicidal agents and reduced infections of lettuce roots by *Meloidogyne incognita*, *Nematology*, 2007, Vol. 9 (3) 343-349.

26. Akpheokhai, IL., Cole, AOC. and Fawole, B. Evaluation of some plant extracts for the management of *Meloidogyne incognita* on soybeans (*Glycine max*) World J. Agric. Sci. 2012. 8 (4): 429-435.
27. Goswami, B. K. and Vijayalakshmi, V. Nematicidal properties of some indigenous plant materials against root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne*. (*Incognita* on tomato). Ind. J. Nematol., 1986. 16, pp. 65-68.
28. Adegbite, AA. Comparative effects of Carbofuran and water extract of *Chromolaena odorata* on growth, yield and food components of root-knot nematode-infested soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill]. Ph. D Thesis. University of Ibadan, Nigeria, p. 219. 2003.
29. Adegbite, AA and Adesiyun, SO). Root extracts of plants to control root-knot nematodes on edible soybean. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 1(1):18-21. 2005
30. Umar, I. and Mamman, A. Nematicidal potential of *Faidherbia albida* fruit against *Meloidogyne javanica* on cowpea. *Pakistan Journal of Nematology* 2014. 32(1), pp. 77-83.
31. Pavaraj, M., Bakavathiappan, G. and Baskaran, S. Evaluation of some plant extracts for their nematicidal properties against root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*. *Journal of Biopesticides*. 2012. 5(Supplementary), pp. 106-110.
32. Adeniyi, BO., Ojeniyi, SO. and Awodun, MA. Relative Effect of Weed Mulch Types on Soil Properties and Yield of Yam in Southwest Nigeria. *J .Soil. Nature*. 2008. 2(3), pp. 01-05.