**Eucalyptus Sub-forest** 

The objective of this study was to evaluate the behavior of forage sorghum when intercropped with piata grass and cultivated in eucalyptus sub-forest. The experiment was carried out at the Embrapa Gado de Corte, in Campo Grande - MS. The experimental design was a randomized complete block design with three replications. The main treatments were: monoculture (single sorghum); intercropping (simultaneous sowing of sorghum + piatã grass). The secondary treatments were composed by the sampling sites, with five sites equidistant between the rows of eucalyptus trees (CLFI), with full sun as a control (CLI). The percentage of shading was always higher than 30% in the sub-forest, and the overall average of the system with 63% shade, even after 50% thinning of eucalyptus trees. The intercropping with the grass, an interesting fact, did not affect sorghum grain yield, since it validates the potential of forage sorghum for use in intercropping with perennial grasses, with average grain yield of 2,404.63 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Regarding the sampling sites, it was observed a higher yield in the full sun with 3,283 kg ha-1. The weight of 100 grains was higher for sorghum in monoculture. On the other hand, the upper W1000 was observed at sites A and C. The weight of 1000 grains is considered stable, being affected only under conditions of stress during grain formation. The sorghum intercropped with the piatã grass did not influence the harvest index (HI), while in the shaded environment there was an increase in the harvest index in relation to the full sun.

Sorghum Intercropped with Piatã Grass in

12 13

Keywords: Eucalyptus urograndis; Sorghum bicolor; thinning; Uroclhoa brizantha.

14 15

# 1. INTRODUCTION

16 17 18

19

20

The crop-livestock-forest integration (CLFI) serves as an excellent alternative to the use of conventional agriculture and livestock, consisting of the combination of forest species with agricultural crops, livestock activities or both, these combinations being simultaneously or in a sequence of time and space [1]. These systems offer alternatives that are less impacting to the environment, which may help to reverse degradation processes and contribute to the improvement of the socioeconomic conditions of rural populations [2].

21 22

23

However, in the ILPF system, as the trees grow, there is a reduction in the radiation that occurs under the sub-forest, causing shading of the crop and/or pasture component, influencing the production of the system as a whole.

24 25

26

27

28

29

A potential crop for Integrated Agricultural and Livestock Production Systems is sorghum, because it presents tolerant characteristics to several environmental factors when compared to maize, such as tolerance to water deficit and excess moisture, and can be cultivated over a wide range of soil conditions [4].

31

34 35

36 37

38

> 48

43

49 50 51

52

53 54 55

> 56 57

> 58

59

68 69 70

71

72

73

Sorghum when intercropped with grass tend to improve soil quality and add value to land, resulting in higher income on the property. The sowing of the grass provides protection of the soil, improving the control of invasive plants, while the sorghum guarantees the economic return to the rural activity [5].

The objective of this study was to evaluate the behavior of forage sorghum when intercropped with piata grass and cultivated in eucalyptus sub-forest.

### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

# 2.1 Location and Characterization of the Study Area

The experiment was carried out at the Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation (EMBRAPA), Gado de Corte unit, located in the municipality of Campo Grande - MS (20° 27 'S, 54° 37' W, 530 m altitude). The climate, according to Köppen-Geiger, is in the transition band between sub-type Cfa and sub-type Aw. The soil of the experimental area was classified as Red Latosol, with a clayey texture [6].

Table 1 shows the chemical attributes of the soil for the full sun and sub-forest (plots between eucalyptus trees).

Table 1. Chemical analysis of soil in the area under full sun and understory of eucalyptus, at a depth of 0-0 20 m

	eucalyptus, at a deptil of 0-0.20 iii								
	<sup>1</sup> pH	<sup>2</sup> pH	<sup>3</sup> V	⁴m	⁵PM1	°C	<sup>7</sup> PM3	<sup>8</sup> Pres	9K
Site	CaCl <sub>2</sub>	SMP			%			mg dm <sup>-</sup> `	3
Full sun	5.36	6.40	46.46	0.25	2.89	1.75	4.91	8.78	87.52
Sub-forest	5.08	6.23	41.69	1.83	7.37	1.90	11.03	15.51	148.68
	¹0Ca	<sup>11</sup> Mg	9K	<sup>12</sup> AI	<sup>13</sup> H+AI	<sup>14</sup> S	<sup>15</sup> T	<sup>16</sup> t	
Site				cmo	l <sub>c</sub> dm <sup>-3</sup>				
Full sun	2.33	1.49	0.22	0.01	4.60	4.05	8.76	4.06	
Sub-forest	2.05	1.19	0.38	0.07	5.14	3.72	8.76	3.77	

<sup>1</sup>Potential of Hydrogen in calcium chloride; <sup>2</sup>Potential of Hydrogen in Shoemaker, Mac lean and Pratt; <sup>3</sup>Base Saturation; <sup>4</sup>Aluminium Saturation; <sup>5</sup>Phosphorus in Mehlich1; <sup>6</sup>Carbon; <sup>7</sup>Phosphorus extracted in Mehlich3; <sup>8</sup> Phosphorus extracted in anion exchange resin; <sup>9</sup>Potassium; <sup>10</sup>Calcium; <sup>11</sup>Magnesium; <sup>12</sup>Aluminium; <sup>13</sup>Hydrogen + Aluminium; <sup>14</sup>Sulfur; <sup>15</sup>Cation exchange capacity to pH 7,0; <sup>16</sup>Effective cation exchange capacity.

## 2.2 Experimental Design

The experimental design was a randomized block arrangement with three replications. The main treatments were composed of two types of cultivation: monoculture (single sorghum); intercropping (simultaneous sowing of sorghum + piatã grass). The secondary treatments were composed by the sampling sites, with five sites equidistant between the rows of eucalyptus trees - Agrosilvipastoril (CLFI), with full sun as a control - Agropastoril (CLI). These sites were marked on a transect perpendicular to the rows of trees (East-West direction). Sampling sites (North-South direction) were identified by the letters A; B; C; D and E, with the distances of rows of trees: 3 m; 7 m; 11 m; 7 m; 3 m, respectively.

## 2.3 Area Management

The sowing fertilization was 200 kg of the formulated 0-20-20, and 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of FTE BR16 (3.5% Zn, 3.5% Cu, 1.5% B and 0.1 % - Mo).

- 74 The sorghum hybrid used was the Volumax, with a row spacing of 0.45 m. For the piatã 75 grass, a sowing rate of 5.0 kg of viable pure seeds (VPS) per hectare was used. The sowing 76 of the same occurred in the row and between rows of the sorghum, with spacing of 0.25 m 77 between the grass rows, using mechanized seeder.
  - Simultaneous sowing of sorghum + piatã grass was carried out between March 7 and 9, 2017. The full emergence of 90% of sorghum and grass plants occurred on 03/16/2017. The harvest took place on July 10, 2017.

81 82 2.4 Field evaluations

83 84

85

86

87

88

78

79

80

- The evaluation of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) occurred at 51; 61; 69; 83; 102 and 117 days after emergence (DAE) of sorghum. Data collection was performed between 9:00 am and 11:00 am (local time, GMT -04 hours) for each evaluation site. Subsequently, it proceeded in full sun, respecting the maximum interval of 10 minutes between the measurement in the shade and full sun, quantified with the aid of a datalogger.
- 89 The average shadow percentage of the system was calculated by the radiation absorption of the trees: (A) = [(10-1)/10] \* 100, where: 90
- 91 10 = radiation in full sun; I = radiation in the sub-forest.
- 92 The phytometric evaluations were performed at 15; 28; 45; 57; 75; 84; 98 and 116 DAE, and 93 leaf height (LH; cm) was measured; stem diameter (SD; mm); total height of the plant (TH; 94 cm).
- The evaluation of forage production of sorghum and piatã grass occurred at 84 DAE, when 95 sorghum plants had a dry matter content of 28 to 35%. From the material collected, a 96 representative sample was collected for the morphological separation of the sorghum 97 98 components; green leaf, stem, dead material and panicle. The morphological separation of 99 the grass was also performed in the following components: leaf blade, pseudostem (stem + 100 sheath) and dead material.
- After separation, the material was taken to the laboratory and stored in an oven at 55 °C until 102 reaching a constant mass for determination of dry matter.

103 104

101

## 2.5 Graniferous component

105 106

107

108

- At the moment of physiological maturation, five panicles were collected per evaluation site. At that time, the number of plants in 2 linear meters was counted to obtain the final stand in number of plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (FSP).
- 109 Panicle length (PL; cm) evaluations were performed with the aid of a graduated ruler. Then, 110 all the grains were removed from the panicle in order to obtain the total grain weight and the 111 weight of 1000 grains (W1000; g). With the data of the total grain weight, it was possible to 112 estimate the grain yield (PROD), corrected for 13% of humidity and expressed in kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.
- 113 The harvest index (HI,%) was obtained by collecting two plants per evaluation site at the physiological maturation stage, and these plants were taken directly to a forced ventilation 114 115 oven at 55 °C until reaching a constant mass. Subsequently, the grains and whole area of 116 the sorghum plant were weighed. The HI was obtained through the dry matter ratio of total grains, total dry mass of the area (leaves, stem, sheath, panicle and grains) [7]. 117

118

### 2.6 Statistical analysis

The qualitative factors were submitted to analysis of variance and when the F test was significant, the Tukey test was applied, adopting the probability level of 5%. For the quantitative factors the polynomial regression analysis was performed, verifying the significance for the linear and quadratic effects. The analyzes were performed using the SISVAR statistical software [8].

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# 3.1 Photosynthetically Active Radiation

The percentage of shading was higher than 30% in the sub-forest, with a general average of 63% of the shade, even after the thinning of 50% of eucalyptus trees (Table 2).

Table 2. Percentage of shading (%) in the eucalyptus sub-forest

Sites -	Days after emergence (DAE)								
	51	61	69	83	102	117	Average		
Α	62.55	67.56	68.23	78.43	68.83	79.64	70.87		
В	80.12	55.52	67.68	47.74	62.51	51.03	60.77		
С	60.81	68.29	64.04	61.00	68.39	66.02	64.76		
D	57.51	65.66	82.85	69.19	69.90	59.19	67.38		
Ε	37.99	51.20	85.32	55.90	33.37	48.36	52.02		
Average	59.80	61.65	73.63	62.45	60.60	60.84	63.16		

There was a decreasing shading in this direction E<B<C<D<A, showing that site A received the least amount of light, while site E presented higher values of PAR.

Solar radiation is indispensable to plant life as a primary source of energy, regulating photosynthesis and all plant development, while temperature exerts a marked role in the biochemical phase of carboxylation and reduction of carbon dioxide in the process of photosynthesis [9].

 [10] observed that global solar radiation was the most affected microclimatic variable within a silvopastoral system, influenced by the position of the sun and the orientation of the trees.

# 3.2 Phytometric characterization of the complete cycle of Sorghum

There was a quadratic effect of age on stem diameter (SD) and height of insertion of the last expanded leaf (LH) for sorghum intercropped with piatã grass (Table 3). The plants in full sun reached maximum diameter at 77 DAE (15.13 mm). The C site was later, reaching its maximum diameter (8.23 mm) at 82 DAE. At 45; 58; 75; 84; 98 and 116 DAE, the sites in the eucalyptus sub-forest were not different from each other and were lower than the full sun.

The maximum height was obtaind in full sun at 93 DAE (162.63 cm). In the sites they were decreasing in the order D>C>B>A>E, with the latter site reaching its maximum increase in height at 94 DAE (118.99 cm).

Table 3. SD and LH of forage sorghum intercropped with piatã grass in eucalyptus sub-forest

			Da	ays after em	ergence (D	DAE)				CV	
Site	15	28	45	57	75	84	98	116	Regression Equation	(%)	R <sup>2</sup>
				Diamet	er (mm)					(70)	
Α	4.13	7.93b	9.17b	9.21b	9.14b	9.01b	8.69b	8.72b	ŷ= 2.898206 + 0.171464x** – 0.001094x2**		0.80
В	4.51	7.96b	9.06b	8.70b	8.88b	8.25b	8.77b	8.38b	ŷ= 3.636865 + 0.142218x** - 0.000910x2**		0.73
С	3.52	6.12c	7.71b	7.70b	7.75b	7.69b	8.06b	7.46b	ŷ= 2.120360 + 0.149462x** – 0.000913x2**	8.7	0.89
D	3.54	6.46bc	7.93b	7.64b	8.14b	7.61b	7.54b	7.38b	ŷ= 2.248224 + 0.152621x** - 0.000971x2**	0.7	0.84
E	3.39	6.57bc	8.57b	8.73b	8.59b	8.30b	8.41b	7.67b	ŷ= 1.518913 + 0.194806x** – 0.001257x2**		0.89
Full sun	5.07	13.0a	15.19a	14.45a	13.84a	13.33a	13.90a	13.28a	ŷ= 3.530681 + 0.303295x** - 0.001981x2**		0.67
Site				Leaf hei	ght (cm)						
Α	15.36	29.27	83.39bc	128.89b	121.72b	126.67b	127.95b	130.72 b	ŷ= -43.841621 + 3.758937x** - 0.019808x2**		0.94
В	16.53	32.23	85.47b	126.22bc	117.17b	120.89b	123.00b	128.45 b	ŷ= -37.325459 + 3.540754x** - 0.018693x2**		0.93
С	14.99	25.14	61.30d	113.28c	111.78b	114.28b	117.33b	113.50 c	ŷ= -40.809738 + 3.323271x** - 0.017213x2**	6.2	0.93
D	15.25	26.63	70.67cd	113.72c	111.50b	114.61b	115.28b	119.22 bc	ŷ= -37.425565 + 3.267688x** - 0.016809x2**	6.3	0.94
E	14.68	23.05	66.25d	112.78c	112.89b	114.17b	114.22b	112.39 c	ŷ= -42.641646 + 3.423400x** - 0.018127x2**		0.94
Full sun	12.26	36.84	104.73a	151.33a	150.67a	151.47a	155.60a	155.20 a	ŷ= -60.104835 + 4.788991x** - 0.025741x2**		0.96

CV (%): Coefficient of variation; R<sup>2</sup>: Determination coefficient. \*\*, \*: Significant at the 1 and 5% levels, respectively, by the F test. Means followed by the same letter in the column do not differ by Tukey test at the 5% probability level of error.

There was a linear effect of age on the Total Plant Height (TH), in cm, for sorghum intercropped with piatã grass (Table 4). The full sun condition presented total plant height superior to the other sub-forest sites, with an average value of 176.79 cm.

Table 4. TH of forage sorghum intercropping with piata grass.

Callage		Regression				
Collect	57	75	84	98	116	Equation
	141.22	146.61	149.20	149.94	152.71	ŷ= 0.186103 + 0.03199352x**
CV (%)				4.11		A
R <sup>2</sup>				0.92		
Site	Α	В	С	D	E	Full sun
	149.39 b	148.24 b	138.93 b	139.86 b	134.39 b	176.79 a
CV (%)				14.20		

CV (%): Coefficient of variation; R<sup>2</sup>: Determination coefficient. \*\*, \*: Significant at the 1 and 5% levels, respectively, by the F test. Means followed by the same letter do not differ by Tukey test at the 5% probability level of error.

[11] testing the establishment of sorghum under seven species of vegetal cover, concluded that from the 80 days after sowing (DAS), the height of the forage sorghum (BRS 601) stabilized for all treatments, based on the nonlinear regression model with logistic adjustment. Plant height is an important measure due to the good correlation with dry matter production and coefficients around 71% [12].

## 3.3 Sorghum forage components

There was interaction in the modalities and the cultivation sites (P = .05) for leaf dry mass (LDM), stem dry mass (SDM), stem proportion (SP) and panicle panicle (PP) (Table 5).

Table 5. DLM, SDM, SP e PR, at 84 DAE, of forage sorghum intercropped with piatã grass in eucalyptus sub-forest.

grass in eucalyptus sub-forest.									
Site	Α	В	С	D	E	Full sun			
LDM (g)									
Monoculture	4.16 Ba	4.25 Ba	4.22 Ba	3.73 Ba	4.13 Ba	9.04 Aa			
Intercropping	3.65 Ba	3.03 Bb	2.77 Bb	3.44 Ba	3.06 Bb	6.81 Ab			
CV (%)			7.	81					
			SDM (g)						
Monoculture	9.96 Ba	9.93 Ba	11.31 Ba	9.10 Ba	9.47 Ba	36.74 Aa			
Intercropping	8.56 Ba	7.97 Ba	7.39 Bb	8.77 Ba	8.42 Ba	27.20 Ab			
CV (%)			11	,49					
			SP (%)						
Monoculture	30.71 Ba	30.48 Ba	33.47 Ba	30.56 Ba	32.24 Ba	57.14 Aa			
Intercropping	32.82 Ba	32.67 Ba	33.76 Ba	33.66 Ba	33.19 Ba	49.38 Ab			
CV (%)			5,	35					
	PP (%)								
Monoculture	55.71 Aa	56.43 Aa	53.95 Aa	56.68 Aa	53.87 Aa	36.74 Ba			
Intercropping	52.27 Aa	53.47 Aa	53.19 Aa	52.99 Aa	53.06 Aa	27.47 Bb			
CV (%)			4.:	20					

- LDM was superior to monoculture sorghum. This lack of competition with piatã grass and
- trees tends to favor the accumulation of LDM. The sites B, C and E presented lower LDM
- than the other evaluated sites, even in full sun.
- SDM was also superior for sorghum grown in full sun and monoculture. All sub-forest sites obtained SDM below full sun.
- All SP values in the sub-forest were lower than the full sun. Sorghum monoculture in full sun was superior in relation to the intercropping.

PP follows the inverse pattern of the other variables, since it presented a higher proportion for sub-forest environments, not differing for cultivation modalities.

# 3.4 Grass forage components

190

193 194

195

200

201

202

203

204 205

206 207

208

209

210

220 221

222

There was interaction between the cultivation methods and sowing methods of the piatã grass (row spacing and between rows spacing) for leaf dry mass (LDM), stem dry mass (SDM), total dry mass of the aerial part (TDM), stem proportion (SP), Leaf/Stem (L/S) Ratio and Dry Matter yield (DMY) (Table 6).

Site B presented higher LDM, SDM, SP and DMY in the between rows spacing compared to the row. [13] evaluated the yield of *U. brizantha* cv. Marandu, in the different arrangements of the agroforestry system, and pointed out that the available forage was always larger in the between row than in the planting row, regardless of the eucalyptus plantation arrangement.

In shaded environments, to compensate for the lower luminosity in the basal portion of the canopy, plants can raise their leaves and stems in the search for light, a mechanism known as dewatering, and thus improve the distribution of radiation along the canopy [14]; [15]. These structural alterations of the canopy can, according to [16], influence the composition of the forage mass, as there is greater use of photo-assimilates for the elongation of stem, and greater shading of the bases of the tillers.

- According to [17], Brachiaria, when sown in the between row of sorghum, does not interfere in the yield of the same, presenting itself as the most indicated sowing modality in order to minimize the competitive effect in an CLI system. In addition, the use of forages tends to suppress the emergence of weeds due to their aggressiveness [18].
- Reverse behavior was observed in full sun, where higher LDM, MSC, SP and DMY were obtained in the line when compared to the between row. [19] found that, with a 32% shading in a silvopastoral system with eucalyptus (*E. urophylla*), Tanzania grass (*Panicum maximum* cv. Tanzania) recorded a decrease in dry mass accumulation rate when compared to open pastures due to the reduction of the amount of available light for the grass.

Table 6. LDM, SDM, TDM, SP, L/S and DMY of the piata grass intercropped with forage sorghum at 84 DAE in eucalyptus sub-forest.

Site	Α	В	С	D	E	Full sun			
			LDM (g)						
Row	9.68 Aa	9.44 Aa	14.57 Aa	10.59 Aa	11.76 Aa	14.48 Aa			
Between row	8.24 Ba	18.46 Ab	7.91 Bb	12.46 ABa	7.53 Ba	7.37 Bb			
CV (%)			28.	.29					
			SDM (g)						
Row	11.65 Aa	11.16 Ab	17.24 Aa	12.09 Aa	13.77 Aa	20.46 Aa			
Between row	9.95 Aa	29.36 Ba	8.46 Ab	14.56 Aa	7.77 Aa	6.93 Ab			
CV (%)			29.	.03					
			TDM (g)						
Row	22.69 Aa	22.24 Ab	34.15 Aa	24.72 Aa	27.02 Aa	38.00 Aa			
Between row	19.78 Ba	50.33 Aa	19.44 Bb	30.29 ABa	17.73 Ba	18.43 Bb			
CV (%)			26.	.16					
			SP (%)						
Row	50.51 Aa	49.83 Ab	49.93 Aa	48.84 Aa	50.68 Aa	52.14 Aa			
Between row	49.90 Aba	57.72 Aa	42.20 BCb	47.93 Ba	43.25 BCb	35.75 Cb			
CV (%)			6.0	66					
			L/S						
Row	0.85 Aa	0.85 Aa	0.85 Aa	0.88 Aa	0.86 Aa	0.73 Ab			
Between row	0.83 Aba	0.64 Ba	0.99 Aba	0.86 ABa	1.02 Aa	1.07 Aa			
CV (%)		14.42							
	DMY (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )								
Row	7,300 Aa	8,643 Aa	11,142 Aa	10,049 Aa	12,164 Aa	12,183 Aa			
Between row	7,885 BCa	18,450 Ab	9,019 BCa	11,889 Ba	7,831 BCb	5,703 Cb			
CV (%)	0#:-:	famia dia ma Ada am	20.	.67					

CV (%): Coefficient of Variation; Means followed by the same letter, uppercase in the row, and lowercase in the column, do not differ by Tukey's test (P = .05).

## 3.5 Post-harvest componentes

Panicle length (LP) and final plant stand were not affected by cultivation modalities and sampling sites (Table 7). The average panicle length was 22.44 cm. The average plant stand was 158,000 ha<sup>-1</sup> plants.

The final plant stand (FPS) and the number of panicles per hectare (NPha) were not influenced by sorghum cultivation (monoculture or intercropping) [20]. [21] stated that the ideal stand for sorghum is between 150 and 200 thousand plants per hectare.

The weight of 1000 grains (W1000) was higher for monoculture sorghum. While for the sites, higher W1000 was observed in sites A and C. According to [22], the weight of 1000 grains is considered stable, being affected only under conditions of stress during the formation of the grains.

Table 7. PL, W1000, Grain yield adjusted to 13% moisture (GY; kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and final plant stand (FPS; number of plants ha<sup>-1</sup>), sorghum in monoculture and intercropped with plata grass in eucalyptus sub-forest.

	piata grace in cacaryptae cab rerecti									
Modality	PL (cm)	W1000 (g)	н	GY	FPS					
Monoculture	22.81	27.97 a	0.44	2,816.42	156,172.82					
Intercropping	22.08	27.12 b	0.43	2,326.25	159,876.52					
<sup>1</sup> CV (%)	4.07	0.38	6.31	28.27	7.32					
Site										
A	24.02	25.63 b	0.43 ab	2,829.11 ab	157,407.39					
В	20.98	30.25 a	0.43 bc	2,331.07 ab	144,444.43					
С	22.28	31.36 a	0,47 a	2,496.81 ab	161,111.09					
D	22.02	27.39 ab	0.44 ab	2,096.44 b	162,962.95					
Е	22.95	25.08 b	0.45 ab	2,391.41 ab	149,999.98					
Full sun	22.40	25.45 b	0.39 c	3,283.11 a	172,222.20					
CV (%)	9.54	8.03	4.32	22.53	11.25					

CV (%): Coefficient of variation. Means followed by the same letter in the column do not differ by Tukey's test (P = .05).

The sorghum intercropping with the piatã grass did not influence the HI, whereas in the shaded environment there was an increase of the same in relation to the full sun. The HI represents the ratio between the biological yield and the grain yield, being indicative of the efficiency with which the plant converts the total production of phytomass above the soil into total production of part harvested and commercialized of the crop. As a result, higher harvest rates demonstrate a better targeting of the photo-assimilates from the plant to the grain.

HI varies among species and between cultivars within species. Studies have shown that the HI of a crop is highly influenced by sowing density, water availability, nutrients and temperature [23].

Grain yield did not differ among cultivation methods, an interesting fact, since it validates the potential of forage sorghum in an intercropping with forage species, obtaining an average yield of 2,404.63 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Regarding the sampling sites, it is observed a higher yield in the full sun with 3,283 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, being 10.6% higher than the national average, and this is due to the greater availability of radiation, which ensures that the plant reaches productive potential.

[24] indicates six characters explaining 51% of the variation in grain yield of sorghum, presenting the following percentages of participation: height of the plant (+ 1.09%), stem diameter (+ 3.28%), thousand grain weight (+ 0.33%), number of spikelets (+ 0.15%), number of grains per panicle (+ 1.17%) and harvest index (+ 55.14%), stating that the HI variable is the main determinant of grain yield. However, the present work does not demonstrate a direct relationship between HI and GY, where, full sun presented a higher productivity in relation to the other sites and a lower HI.

### 4. CONCLUSION

Sorghum competition with piatã grass affects its morphological components, but does not reflect losses of grain yield or forage mass.

Cultivation of sorghum in the eucalyptus sub-forest abruptly affects the production of its morphological components, reflecting lower grain yield and forage yield.

278 The piatã grass is harmed when cultivated in the sorghum row, affecting its productive 279 potential.

280 281

### REFERENCES

282 283

284 1. Jose S. Agroforestry for ecosystem services and environmenal benefits: an overview.

Agroforestry Systems, 2009; 76: 1-10. 285

- 286 2. CAM Steps; Couto L. Potential agroforestry systems for the State of Mato Grosso do Sul.
- 287 In: Seminar on Forest Systems for Mato Grosso do Sul - Dourados. (EMBRAPA / CPAO,
- 288 10). 1997: 16-22.
- 289 3. Nair PKR. Agroforestry systems and environmental quality: introduction. Journal
- 290 Environmental Quality, 2011; 40: 784-790.
- 291 4. Doggett H. Physiology and Agronomy. Sorghum. London: Longmans, 1970: 80-211.
- 292 5. Balbino LC; Barcellos AO; Stone LF. Reference frame: crop-livestock-forest integration. 1.
- 293 ed. Brasília, DF: Embrapa, 2011: 130.
- 294 6. Santos HG; Jacomine PKT; LHC angels; Oliveira VA; Lumbreras JF; Coelho MR; Almeida
- 295 JA; TJF wedge; Oliveira JB. Brazilian soil classification system. 3. ed. rev. e ampl. Brasília,
- 296 DF: Embrapa, 2013: 353.
- 297 7. Donald CM; Hamblin J. The biological yield and harvest index of cereals as agronomic
- 298 and plant breeding criteria. Advances in Agronomy, 1976; 28: 351-405.
- 299 8. Ferreira DF. SISVAR: a Guide for its Bootstrap procedures in multiple comparisons.
- 300 Science and Agrotechnology, 2014; 38 (2): 109-112.
- 301 9. Coast C; Meirelles PRL; Silva JJ; Factori MA. Alternatives to bypass the seasonality of
- 302 fodder production. Veterinary and Animal Science, Botucatu, 2008; 15 (2): 193-203.
- 303 10. Bosi C. Parameterization and evaluation of mechanistic crop models for estimating
- 304 Urochloa brizantha cv. BRS Piatã productivity under full sun and in silvopastoral system.
- 305 Doctoral thesis. University of Sao Paulo. 2018: 158.
- 306 11. Andrade Neto RC; Miranda NO; Duda GP. Growth and yield of forage sorghum cv. BR
- 307 601 under green manure. Brazilian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Engineering,
- 308 Campina Grande, 2010; 14 (2): 124-130. English.
- 309 12. Dann PR. A calibration method for estimating pasture yield. Journal of Australian
- 310 Institute Agricultural Science, 1966; 32 (1): 46-49.
- 311 13. Oliveira TK; Macedo RLG; Santos IPA; Higashikawa MS; Venturin N. Productivity of
- 312 Brachiaria brizantha (Hochst. Ex A. Rich.) Stapf cv. Marandu under different spacings on
- 313 agrosylvopastoral system with eucalypt. Science and Agrotechnology, 2007a; 31 (3): 748-
- 314 757. Portuguese
- 315 14. Castro CRT, Paciullo DSC, Gomide CAM; Müller MD; Birth Jr ER. Agronomics
- 316 Characteristics, Forage Mass and Nutritional Value of Brachiaria decumbens in a

- 317 Silvopastoral System. Brazilian Forest Research. 2009; 60: 19-25. Brazilian Forest
- 318 Research. 2009; 60: 19-25. Portuguese
- 319 15. Paciullo DSC; Fields NR; Gomide CAM; Castro CRT; Tavela RC; Rossiello ROP.
- 320 Brachiaria grass growth influenced by the degree of shading and the season of the year.
- 321 Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira. 2008; 43: 917-923.
- 322 16. Bosi C; Pezzopane JRM; Sense PC; Santos PM; Nicodemo MLF. Productivity and
- 323 biometric characteristics of brachiaria grass in a silvopastoral system. Pesquisa
- 324 Agropecuária Brasileira. 2014; 49: 449-456.
- 325 17. Machado VD. Tuffi Santos LD; Santos Jr A; Mota VA; Padilha SV; Santos MV.
- 326 Phytosociology of Weeds in Sorghum-Brachiaria Integration Systems under. Plant Daninha,
- 327 2011; 29 (1): 85-95.
- 328 18. Jakelaitis A; Silva AA; Ferreira LA; Silva AF; Freitas FCL. Management of weeds in the
- maize consortium with grass (Brachiaria decumbens). Plant Daninha, 2004; 22 (4): 553-560.
- 330 19. Andrade CMS; Garcia R; Couto L; Pereira OG. Factors limiting the growth of tanzania
- grass in an agroforestry system with eucalyptus, in the Cerrado Region of Minas Gerais.
- Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia, Viçosa, 2001; 30: 1178-1185.
- 333 20. Crusciol CAC; Mateus GP; Pariz CM; Borghi E; Costa C; Silveira JPF. Nutrition and
- 334 productivity of sorghum hybrids of contrasting cycles intercropped with marandu grass.
- 335 Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira, 2011; 46 (10): 1234-1240.
- 336 21. Cantarella H; Quaggio JA; Furlani AMC. Recommendation of fertilization and liming for
- 337 the State of São Paulo. 2.ed. rev. current. Campinas: Instituto Agronômico, (IAC, Technical
- 338 Bulletin, 100). 1997: 43-71.
- 339 22. Montagner D; Lovato C; Garcia DC. Random losses in the initial population and its
- relation with grain yield in sorghum. Revista Brasileira Agrociência, 2004; 10 (3): 281-285.
- 341 23. Duarte EAA; Melo Filho PDA; Santos RC. Agronomic characteristics and harvest index
- 342 of different peanut genotypes submitted to water stress. Agronomic characteristics and
- 343 harvest index of different peanut genotypes submitted to water stress. Brazilian Journal of
- 344 Agricultural and Environmental Engineering, 2013; 17 (8): 843-847.
- 345 24. Silva PCS. Behavior of grain sorghum as a function of nitrogen management. Doctoral
- 346 thesis. Santa Maria, RS, Brazil. 2004: 137.