

IN VITRO ANTIBACTERIAL EFFICACY OF *Bryophyllum pinnatum* leaf EXTRACTS

ABSTRACT

A study on the antibacterial activities of *Bryophyllum pinnatum* against multidrug resistant bacterial pathogens was carried out in this research. Air-dried and powdered *Bryophyllum pinnatum* leaves was extracted using ethanol and aqueous solvents. Five bacteria strains including *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were obtained from the University of Benin Teaching Hospital and they were preliminarily identified using standard microbiological methods. Antibacterial activity was carried out using agar well diffusion method. Mean zone diameter of inhibition in aqueous extract ranged from 9.20 ± 0.17 - 10.50 ± 0.50 mm and 9.30 ± 0.33 - 10.33 ± 0.89 mm against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* respectively at 25-100 mg/ml. In the ethanol extract, mean zone of inhibition ranged from 9.50 ± 0.28 - 13.33 ± 0.88 mm and 10.67 ± 0.67 - 19.00 ± 0.58 mm at concentration range of 6.25-100 mg/ml. Minimum inhibitory concentrations of ethanol extract ranged from 6.25-100 mg/ml against bacterial strains. While those of aqueous extract ranged from 25-100 mg/ml against bacterial isolates. Minimum bactericidal/fungicidal concentrations of ethanol extract ranged from 25-50 mg/ml. While in the aqueous extract, value was 50 mg/ml and against bacteria. The test bacterial pathogens were found to possess multiple drug resistance potential with multidrug resistance index ranging from 0.3 – 0.5. This study has shown that multidrug resistant clinical bacterial pathogens are sensitive to aqueous extract of *Bryophyllum pinatum*

Keywords

Antibacterial, antibiotics, inhibition, resistance, pathogen, bacteria.

INTRODUCTION

Bryophyllum pinnatum (*calcyonium*) is a medicinal plant belonging to the crassulaceae family. *Bryophyllum pinnatum* has gained extensive recognition for its medicinal properties. The plant *Bryophyllum pinnatum* is frequently known as air plant, love plant, miracle leaf, life plant, Zakhm-e-hyat, panfutti and Ghayamari, canterbutury bells, parnabija etc. It is conventionally used as a herbal remedy in approximately all parts of the world (Gupta *et al.*, 2010). This plant

32 widely grows in hot and humid areas, around the dwelling place, along road sides and herbal
33 garden and field. *Bryophyllum pinnatum* plant is widely used in folk medicine and it is easily
34 found in places such as, India, Tropical Africa, Madagascar, China, Australia, Pakistan, Hawaii
35 and Tropical America (Ojewole, 2005). (Okwu, 2006. The active ingredients of most of the
36 commonly used conventional drugs were originally derived from plant part before their
37 pharmaceutical mass production from synthetic chemical (Sofowara, 1993). *Bryophyllum*
38 *pinnatum* contain appreciable amount of bioactive compounds. Medicinally, the presence of
39 phytochemicals explains the role of this plant leaves in ethnomedicine in Nigeria (Nwali *et al.*,
40 2012). Phytochemical screenings of *Bryophyllum pinnatum* have yielded alkaloids, triterpenes,
41 glycosides, flavonoids, steroids, butadienolides, lipids, and organic acids, Phenol and tannins,
42 free amino acid and terpenoids. Arachidic acid, astragalins, behenic acid, beta amyrin,
43 benzenoids, bersaldehydes, beta-sitosterol, bryophollone, bryophyllin, caffeic
44 acid, ferulic acid, quercetin, steroids and taraxerol. Despite the progress made in the
45 development of drugs and antimicrobial agents, occurrence of drug resistant microbes and the
46 emergence of unknown disease causing microbes pose an enormous public health concern (Iwu,
47 1999). This fact has forced scientists to search for new antibiotics/antimicrobial compounds from
48 various sources (Mann *et al.*, 2007) such as the medicinal plants to replace those that have
49 become inactive. Traditional medicine uses numerous plants parts for the treatment of respiratory
50 diseases among which is this plant, *Bryophyllum pinnatum* (Okwu, 2005).
51 The plant has been found to possess antibacterial activity against several bacterial pathogens
52 including *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Different
53 solvents such as aqueous, ethanol, methanol and n-hexane have been used for extraction and the
54 respective extracts have shown varying degree of antibacterial actions against selected pathogens
55 (Mudi and Ibrahim, 2008). Irrespective of the researches so far, adequate information on the
56 antibacterial activity of the plant extract is very important. Therefore this study was designed to
57 investigate the antibacterial potency of leaf extract of *Bryophyllum pinnatum* against multidrug
58 resistant bacterial pathogens.

59 MATERIALS AND METHODS

60 Plant Materials

61 *Bryophyllum pinnatum* leaves were obtained from Adolor Street in Benin City and identified at
62 the Herbarium, Department of Plant Biology and Biotechnology, University of Benin, Benin
63 City, Edo State. The leaves were air-dried, ground using sterilized pestle and mortar. The
64 powdered leaf was kept in a sterile bottle container until required.

65 Preparation of Crude Extracts

66 Fifty grams (50 g) of the grinded *Bryophyllum pinnatum* leaves was soaked in 250 ml each of
67 distilled water and ethanol for 48 hr. The extract was filtered through a sieve with pore size of
68 about 250µm to remove debris. The filtrate was then filtered through membrane filter paper. The
69 final filtrate was evaporated in a water bath at 40°C to get the crude extract. The crude aqueous
70 and ethanol extracts were stored at 4°C until required. These were used for antimicrobial analysis
71 (Abdulazeez *et al.*, 2014).

72 **Preparation of concentration of plant extract**

73 One gram (1g) each of both ethanol and aqueous extract was added to 10ml of ethanol and
74 distilled water respectively to give a concentration of 100mg/ml. Other concentrations of 50, 25
75 and 12.5 and 6.25mg/ml were prepared by double dilution method (Aneja, 2003) .

76 **Test microorganisms**

77 Three Gram positive bacteria, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Bacillus*
78 *subtilis* and two Gram negative bacteria, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The
79 microorganisms were obtained from the Microbiology Laboratory stocks in University of Benin
80 Teaching Hospital. The bacteria were then identified in the laboratory based on their cultural,
81 morphological and biochemical characteristics.

82 **Bacteria Inoculum Preparation**

83 The inocula were prepared by inoculating the test organisms in nutrient broth and incubating
84 them for 24 hours at 37°C for the bacteria, After incubation, 0.2 milliliter of the diluted cultures
85 in normal saline was inoculated onto solidified nutrient agar at 45°C using a Pasteur pipette.

86 **Agar Well Diffusion Technique**

87 The ability of the various extracts to inhibit the growth of the clinical test organisms was
88 determined using the agar well technique. The inoculated nutrient agar plates were allowed to
89 dry. After which, wells were bored on the surface of inoculated agar plates using 4mm cork
90 borer. Zero point two millilitres 0.2ml of the different concentration of each extracts was
91 transferred into the well using Pasteur pipette. The wells were sufficiently spaced to prevent the
92 resulting zones of inhibition from overlapping. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24hr. The
93 experiment was performed in triplicate and the resulting zones of inhibition were recorded as
94 mean ± standard error (Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009).

95 **Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum** 96 **Bacteriocidal Concentration (MBC)**

97 The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the extracts was determined for each of the test
98 organisms at varying concentrations of 100, 50, 25, 12.5 and 6.25mg/ml. 1ml of various
99 concentrations was added into different test tubes, 1 ml of nutrient broth was added and then a
100 loopful of the test organism previously diluted to 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard was
101 introduced to the tubes. A tube containing nutrient broth only was seeded with the test organism
102 to serve as control. All the tubes were then incubated at 37°C for 24 h and then examined for
103 growth by observing for turbidity. The minimum bacteriocidal concentration (MBC) of the plant
104 extract on the clinical isolates were carried out according to Akinyemi *et al.* (2005). Briefly, 1 ml
105 each of bacterial cultures were pipetted from the mixture obtained in the determination of MIC
106 tubes which did not show any growth and subcultured on to nutrient agar. Nutrient agar plates
107 were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. After incubation the concentration at which there was no single
108 growth of bacteria was taken as MBC (Akinyemi *et al.* (2005).

109 **Antibiotics Susceptibility Testing**

110 Antimicrobial disc tests of the isolates were performed according to the recommendations of
111 the National Committee Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) using the following antibiotic discs:
112 tetracycline (20µg), ampiclox (30µg), zinnacef (20µg), amoxicillin (30µg), rocephin (25µg),

113 ciprofloxacin (10µg), Nitrofurantin (20µg), streptomycin (30µg), erythromycin (10µg),
 114 gentamycin (10µg), septrin (30µg), chloramphenicol (25µg), perfloxacin (10µg), and ofloxacin
 115 (30µg) and antibiotics resistance was interpreted by diameter of inhibition zones around
 116 the antibiotic discs (Jorgensen and Ferraro, 2009).

117
 118
 119

RESULTS

120 Table 1: zone of inhibition of aqueous extract of *Bryophyllum pinnatum*(mm) against bacterial
 121 isolates

Test organisms	Concentrations (mg/ml)				
	100	50	25	12.5	6.25
<i>S. pneumonia</i>	9.33±0.33	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
<i>B. subtilis</i>	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
<i>E. coli</i>	10.50±0.50	9.80±0.76	9.2±0.17	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
<i>S. aureus</i>	10.33±0.89	10.0±0.29	9.3±0.33	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0

122 The zones of inhibition (mm) of aqueous extract of *Bryophyllum pinnatum* against bacterial
 123 isolates is shown on table 1. No antimicrobial activity of aqueous extract against *Bacillus subtilis*
 124 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* while a low antibacterial activity was observed against
 125 *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (100mg/ml). High antibacterial activity was observed against
 126 *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* at concentration of 25mg/ml.

127 Table 2: zone of inhibition of ethanolic extract of *Bryophyllum pinnatum* (mm) against bacterial
 128 isolates

Test organisms	Concentration (mg/ml)				
	100	50	25	12.5	6.25
<i>S. pneumoniae</i>	14.33±0.33	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
<i>B. subtilis</i>	12.00±0.58	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	19.33±0.33	16.83±0.44	16.5±1.25	0.0±0.0	0.0±0.0
<i>E. coli</i>	19.00±0.58	15.33±0.33	14.33±0.33	11.33±0.33	10.67±0.67
<i>S. aureus</i>	13.33±0.88	12.50±0.29	11.33±0.33	10.83±0.44	9.50±0.28

129 Antibacterial activity of the ethanolic extract of *B. pinnatum* on the bacteria isolates is shown in
 130 table 2 with the lowest activity observed against *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Bacillus subtilis*
 131 at 100mg/ml. A slightly higher antimicrobial activity was observed on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

132 at 25mg/ml while the highest was observed on *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* at
 133 6.25mg/ml.
 134

135 Table 3: Minimum inhibitory concentration and Minimum bactericidal concentration of ethanolic
 136 and aqueous extract of *Bryophylum pinnatum*

Test organisms	MIC(mg/ml)		MBC (mg/ml)	
	Ethanol	Aqueous	Ethanol	Aqueous
<i>E. coli</i>	6.25	25	25	50
<i>S. aureus</i>	6.25	25	25	50
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	25	ND	50	ND
<i>B. subtilis</i>	100	ND	ND	ND
<i>S. pneumonia</i>	100	100	ND	ND

137 KEY

138 ND- Not determined

139 The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of ethanolic extract against bacterial isolates is
 140 shown in table 3 and they ranged from 6.25-100mg/ml while that of aqueous extract ranged from
 141 25-100mg/ml. Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of ethanolic ranged from 25-
 142 50mg/ml and that of aqueous extract was 50mg/ml.

143

144

145 Table 7: Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates

Gram +ve	CPX	St	SXT	E	PEF	CN	APX	Z	AM	Ro	MDR
<i>Streptococcus. Pneumoniae</i>	S	S	S	R	S	R	R	S	R	S	0.4
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	S	R	S	S	R	S	S	S	R	S	0.3
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	R	S	S	R	S	S	R	S	R	S	0.4
Gram -ve	CH	SP	AU	OFX	SXT	PEF	AM	St	CN	CPX	
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	S	0.4
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	S	R	R	R	S	R	S	S	R	S	0.5

146 **KEY:** CPX-Ciprofloxacin, Ro-Rocephin, St-Streptomycin, AU-Augmentin, SXT-Septtrin, SP-
 147 Sparfloxacin, E-Erythromycin, CH-Chloramphenicol, PEF-Pefloxacin, CPX- ciprofloxacin,

148 CN-Gentamicin, APX-Ampiclox, AM-Amoxacillin, Z-Zinnace.

DISCUSSION

150 The antibacterial properties of plants in general have been attributed to the presence of
151 phytochemicals such as flavonoid, alkaloids, tannins, saponins and terpenes, in plants.
152 Flavonoids are known to be synthesized by plants in response to microbial attack. Their activity
153 is probably due to their ability to react with extracellular and soluble proteins and to complex
154 with bacterial cell walls leading to the death of the bacterium (Okwu and Nnamdi, 2011).
155 Tannins are also reported to have various physiological effects like anti-irritant, antisecretolytic,
156 antiphlogistic, antimicrobial and antiparasitic effects. Phyto-therapeutically, tannin containing
157 plants are used to treat non-specific diarrhoea, inflammations of mouth and throat and slightly
158 injured skins (Mudi and Ibrahim, 2008)). This study revealed moderate *in vitro* antibacterial
159 activity against test bacterial isolates at higher concentrations while at lower concentrations
160 ranging from 25.0 to 6.25mg/ml, no inhibition zone was observed. The test bacterial isolates
161 exhibited variation in their susceptibility to *B. pinnatum* extract. The lower susceptibility
162 observed at lower concentrations could be due to inability of the extract to permeate the cell wall
163 of the organisms or possession of drug inactivating enzymes mediated by plasmid or
164 chromosomes on the bacterium.

165 Minimal antibacterial activity was observed against bacterial isolates in the aqueous extract.
166 Mean zone diameter of inhibition ranged from 9.20 ± 0.17 - 10.50 ± 0.50 mm and 9.30 ± 0.33 -
167 10.33 ± 0.89 mm against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* respectively at 25-
168 100mg/ml. At lower concentrations, there were no zones of inhibition recorded.

169 In the ethanol extract, mean zone of inhibition ranged from 9.50 ± 0.28 - 13.33 ± 0.88 mm and
170 10.67 ± 0.67 - 19.00 ± 0.58 mm at concentration range of 6.25-100mg/ml. Higher antibacterial
171 activities were observed at higher concentration compared to lower concentrations of the ethanol
172 extract. It was observed that the antibacterial activity of the plant extract was dependent on the
173 solvent used for extraction and also on the concentration of the extract used. Plants have been
174 reported to be vast repertoire of bioactive phytochemical compound. These compounds which
175 include flavonoids, alkaloids, tannins etc., are usually responsible for the various biologic
176 properties of the plant, including antimicrobial and other medicinal properties. It has been
177 reported that organic solvent such as ethanol, usually extract more of the bioactive
178 phytochemical component of the plant compared to aqueous solvent, hence the reason for higher
179 antibacterial activity in the ethanolic fraction of the leaf extract (Ufelle *et al.*, 2011).

180 Minimum inhibitory concentrations of ethanol extract ranged from 6.25- 50mg/ml against
181 bacteria. While those of aqueous extract ranged from 25-100 mg/ml against bacteria. Minimum
182 bactericidal concentrations of ethanol extract ranged from 25-50 mg/ml. While in the aqueous
183 extract, value was 50mg/ml.

184 Antibiotics sensitivity of the bacterial isolates revealed multidrug resistance of the bacterial
185 pathogens. *Escherichia coli* had the highest multidrug resistance index (0.5) while *Bacillus*
186 *subtilis* had the lowest (0.3).

187 Conclusion

188 This work has shown that *Bryophyllum pinnatum* ethanol and aqueous extracts have potent
189 antimicrobial activities against multidrug resistant clinical bacterial isolates. The antibacterial
190 activity was observed to be dependent on the solvent for extraction and concentration of the
191 extract used.

192 **Competing Interests**

193 All authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

194

195 **REFERENCES**

196 Abdulazeez AA, Hassan AO, Adewole AA and Fadairo JK. Synergistic effect of combined
197 extract of *Bryophyllum pinnatum* and *Aloe barbadensis* enhances antimicrobial activity *in-vitro*.
198 *Global Advanced Research Journal of Medicine and Medical Science* 2014; 3(1): 26-32.

199 Akinyemi KO, Oladapo O, Okwara CE, Ibe CC and Fasure KA. Screening of crude extracts of
200 six medicinal plants used in Southwest Nigerian unorthodox medicine for anti-methicillin
201 resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* activity. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 2005;
202 5: 6-13

203 Gupta R, Lohani M and Arora S. Anti-Inflammatory activity of the leaf extracts/fractions of
204 *Bryophyllum pinnatum* Saliv. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and*
205 *Research* 2010; 3 (1): 1-3.

206 Iwu MW. New antimicrobials of plant origin. **In:** Perspectives on new crops and their uses.
207 Janick, J. (ed). ASHS Press, Alexandria, Virginia. 1999; p457- 462

208 Mann A, Amupitan JO, Oyewale AO, Okogun JI and Ibrahim K. Antimicrobial activity and
209 phytochemical analysis of two Nigerian medicinal plant used for treatment of respiratory
210 diseases. A paper presented at the 5th ChemClass conference, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.
211 2007.

212 Mudi SY and Ibrahim H. Activity of *Bryophyllum pinnatum* s. kurz extracts on respiratory tract
213 pathogenic bacteria. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences* 2008; 1(1): 43 – 48.

214 Nwali BU, Okaka ANC, Ibiam UA and Aja PM. Phytochemical composition of *Bryophyllum*
215 *pinnatum* leaves. *International Journal of Advanced Biological Research* 2012; 2(4): 614-616.

216 Ojewole JAO. Antinociceptive, anti-inflammatory and anti-diabetic effects of *Bryophyllum*
217 *pinnatum* (Crassulaceae) leaf aqueous extract. *Journal of Ethanopharmacology* 2005; 99:13-19

218 Okwu DE and Josiah C. Evaluation of the chemical composition of two Nigerian medicinal
219 plants. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 2006; 5(4):357-361

220 Okwu DE and Nnamdi FU. Two novel flavonoids from *Bryophyllum pinnatum* and their
221 antimicrobial activity. *Pharmceutical Chemistry Journal* 2011; 3(2):1-10.

222 Ufelle SA, Ukaejiofo EO, Neboh EE, Achukwu PU, Ghasi S, Ikekpeazu EJ and Maduka IC. The
223 effect of crude methanolic leaf extract of *Bryophyllum pinnatum* on some haematological
224 parameters in Wistar rats. *Asian Journal of Medical Sciences* 2011; 3(3): 121-124.

225