



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_44307
Title of the Manuscript:	HEAVY METALS CONTAMINATION AND POTENTIAL HUMAN HEALTH RISK VIA CONSUMPTION OF VEGETABLES FROM SELECTED COMMUNITIES IN ONELGA, RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA
Type of Article:	Review Paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The word should be "used" ie...metals were used...</p> <p>"The results showed that the mean concentrations of total Pb, Cd...</p> <p>What does the total stand for? The authors wrote "mean concentration", whereas the results that follow were in ranges. If you wanted to use mean, you would have calculated the average of all the individual results for all samples in all locations. Or better still use ranges. Again, the values quoted here in abstract did not correspond with those in the table. For instance, for Pb: you gave range of 0.164-4.925 but in the table, 0168 and 4.908 were found. For Cu: you gave 12.029-46.540 but table had 12.033. These simple blunders are avoidable.</p> <p>Table 1 should not have gridlines.</p> <p>Remove the temperature from "bracket"</p> <p>Always separate the value from the unit at all times. i.e 100 mL. Please use mL not ml. Correct these in the entire work</p> <p>You can't combine ratio and percentage at the same time. Authors should know that 40:40:20 is the same as 2:2:1. The latter is more appropriate as no one wants to know how the preparation is done.</p> <p>the font used for the formula is illegible. Kindly increase to have same font size as the manuscript. Correct this for all formulae</p> <p>the authors asserted that they had made the "ing" subscript, but they only highlighted them. Please correct.</p> <p>Result: Authors should limit this section to results only, since they chose not to combine results and discussion. Presently, the result section has discussion that would have been kept for discussion section alone. This is double work. Kindly expunge all discussions on EDI, THQ etc presented at result section and leave those on Discussion section only.</p> <p>Conclusion: The conclusion presented here is difficult to comprehend. The usage of punctuation marks is very poor, which further compounds the problem. Authors should not also blame the high concentrations of heavy metals to oil exploration and pipeline vandalism because there was no control to back up their claims.</p> <p>Remark: Authors should painstakingly go through the entire work; check punctuations, spacing, grammar, etc and present the entire work in a manner that they would be proud of when published. Author are also encouraged to read other quality published articles to guide them.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ewuzie Ugochukwu
Department, University & Country	Abia State University, Nigeria