



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_46612
Title of the Manuscript:	Renal Morpho-Functional Changes in Citrullus lanatus (watermelon) administration to Wistar rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>As per Journal guidelines, it is highly recommended to the author to use a structured abstract in order to ensure conformity.</p> <p>In Discussion, the author is requested to re-visit his referencing. The author used Harvard system in some places like (Seifter, 2011); in some places, the author used Vancouver system like [19] and in some places, whole web addresses is used like electrolyte. The reference list is also a combination of several systems. Please review them</p> <p>Also in the Vancouver system as is recommended in this journal, multiple references are written as [4,5] and not [4&5].</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In page 2, line 4 - The author is advised to include the chemical formula of argentine ($C_{23}H_{26}N_4O_3$) in the text so as to avoid misperception with people not familiar with the compound. 2. In page 4, Determination of sodium – The author is advised to change “adsorbance” to “absorbance” 3. In page 5, calcium determination in serum - the author is advised to change “a complex colours” to “a complex colour” 4. In figure 2 – the author is advised to change “plasmasodium” to “plasma sodium” 5. In plate A and plate B, it will be great if the author reconciled the magnifications. Plate a looks more like x100 while plate B looks more like x400. 	
Optional/General comments	An excellent write-up. Findings were objective and unbiased.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sharafudeen D. Abubakar
Department, University & Country	College of Health Sciences, Nigeria