

SDI Review Form 1.6

Type of the Article	Original work
	prognosis.
Title of the Manuscript:	CESAREAN IN ADOLESCENTS AT THE RURAL HOSPITAL OF NEISU (Democratic Republic of Congo): Epidemiologi
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_47573
Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	After reading the manuscript ,I strongly believe that this paper met the basic criteria to be considered as well written. I recommend for publication.	Well written
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed wit that part in the manuscript. It is ma feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Panagiotis Tsikouras
Department, University & Country	Democritus University Of Thrace ,Greece

gical aspects and neonatal and maternal

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her