



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_48143
Title of the Manuscript:	Female Genital Mutilation and Obstetric Outcomes in the Far-North Region, Cameroon: A Case - Control Study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author has made claims on page 14, line 7 to 11, about the prevalence and difference in this prevalence without any evidence to support this claim. It would be helpful to his claim to provide evidence of the types and frequency of each type of FGM encountered in his study under the results section.	
Minor REVISION comments	Minor corrections have been made to spellings and punctuations, as highlighted in the attached copy.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Okon, Okon Asuquo
Department, University & Country	University of Calabar, Nigeria