



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_48146
Title of the Manuscript:	Maternal and Perinatal Outcomes in Childbirths with Meconium Stained Amniotic Fluid in a Low-resourceSetting: A Prospective Cohort Study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Why in your opinion MSAF is more prevalent in developing countries, add the name of different countries where MSAF is more prevalent. 2) What are the drugs associated with the risk of developing MSAF, kindly add them. 3) What is the rationale of the study ? 4) Kindly compare your outcomes of the study with the results of the other African countries and developed countries in discussion. 5) How did you decide the sample size of your study ? 6) I would like you to add a sample of your questionnaire (either in a written form or as picture) 7) Kindly include some relevant articles of some recent publications in your references. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Kindly check for the typing errors. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Manas Bajpai</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>NIMS Dental College, India</i>