



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Archives of Current Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_49382
Title of the Manuscript:	EUTHANASIA, FEMALE GENITAL MUTILATION, CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES: AS CONTROVERSAL SOCIETAL ISSUES AND THEIR SCIENTIFIC IMPLICATIONS
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>To authors, I have some advices.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abstract: Please state that this is a review + personal opinion. This manuscript does not adhere to the systematic review process and also this manuscript is not a personal opinion, so called commentary. Readers may not grasp the character of this paper. So, please state that this is a kind of narrative commentary. Title also should indicate this.</li> <li>2. Introduction. You state, "We face lot of different problems in our individual lives and society in general, for example power shortages, bad roads, bad infrastructure, corrupt officials, anti-social elements, communal problems, bad weather, drought disease outbreaks, global warming, food shortages, unemployment, poverty, malnutrition, terrorism, insurgency and many more. The idea is not to list all the problems in the world but to figure out some ways to study these problems and proffer scientific solutions to them. In this paper three societal problems will be discussed namely;" This should be removed. You need not indicate all these problems both social and medical. Instead, for example, I recommend the followings. "Now, three medical and social problems attract wide attention of both medical care-givers and ordinary people. They are 1) 2) 3) (state). Here, we wish to summarize the current view on these three topics. We here did not made systematic review on these issues but we made narative overview on these topics. We also described our fundamental viewpoint based on our experience. We believe that these description will make things clear and thus contribute to sort out the present knowledge on these issues." This is an example and you can edit the one. I mean that you MUST definitely state the paper characteristics and your standpoint in Introduction.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight
--	--------------------	---



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

		<i>that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Shigeki Matsubara</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Jichi Medical University, Japan</i></b>