SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_40889
Title of the Manuscript:	PROXIMATE ANALYSIS OF SOME SELECTED INFANT FORMULA SOLD IN MAKURDI METROPOLIS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I read the article under the title "PROXIMATE ANALYSIS OF SOME SELECTED INFANT FORMULA SOLD IN MAKURDI METROPOLIS". The manuscript is within the scope of the journal. It is written clearly and concisely, with good statistical treatment. In my opinion, this manuscript should be published as. The results could serve as a scientific base for those working in the field of food and nutrition. But the paper could require some corrections such as: The abstract and the conclusion should be reformulated and completed by highlighting the results on the importance of different levels of protein, fiber, carbohydrates, etc. for the quality and nutritional intake for babies.	
Minor REVISION comments	In the abstract, it should be noted that Makurdi is located in Nigeria. References must be numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Line 64: I think it is not necessary to describe as much the geography of the country, it scatters the subject. Line 87, 102, 113, 126: There are conventional methods recognized in food analysis for the determination of the moisture content, protein content, fat and fiber content. Rather talk about the method of GUILBOT, FOLCH, GUILLEMET and KEJELDAHL and put the references. Line 116: Provide details for the acid-free verification method. Line 143: It should be checked whether the determination of protein nitrogen is made with HCl or H2SO4 0.1N The presentation of the exhibitors should be arranged in Table 2 Line 250 to 252: This part should not be included in the conclusion but in the method and the results should be more interpreted.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Harimalala Andriambelo Nirina
Department, University & Country	University of Antananarivo, Madagascar

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)