SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_45926
Title of the Manuscript:	Production and Evaluation of Ready to Eat Soup Balls from Egusi, Egusi- Kirikiri, Sesame Seed and Groundnut
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The word egusi and egusi kirikiri are in a local language which need to be inverted	
	comma (" egusi"). And all botanical names must be in <i>italic</i> form. The correct form of significant presentation is small letter p not Upper letter P (p< 0.05). Please check and revise it in the abstract and the main text (P< 0.05).	
Minor REVISION comments		
	This is more confusing <i>p-tuber-regium sclerotia</i> botanical names cannot be started with small letter. Please check and revise in the introduction. Materials and Methods	
	Please indicate the form of the groundnut before sorted and soaked i.e. either shelled or unshelled Groundnuts (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i>) were sorted, soaked in warm water (70°C) for 2 min, dried for 1h in a hot air oven at 60°C. The exact word must be used in sciences. oil was manually expelled from the dough. Should read oil was extracted. Please check and revise.	
	Results and Discussion	
	The results must introduce the Table before the actual discussion. The Tables are far from the results in the text. Table 2 was wrongly labelled. Please check and revise. The sub titles and Table titles should not be uppercase throughout except the first letters. Please check and revise	
Optional/General comments		
	Good work done. More work must be done on the discussion. The author is suggested to make some revisions so that the paper will be better understood	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fred Newman Coleman
Department, University & Country	Methodist University College Ghana, Ghana

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)