



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_46396
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Mineral and Vitamin compositions of Leaves of Thaumatococcus daniellii and Alchornea cordifolia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: This is a comparative study. What is the justification?</p> <p>Abstract: No rationale No background Why the imperative studies?</p> <p>Introduction As above</p> <p>Determination of Minerals May be AAS would have been more appropriate for Zinc.</p> <p>Results Graphical representation would have been desirable.</p> <p>Discussion Looks more like summary of results. The author(s) should be encouraged to discuss their results.</p> <p>References References are not the same as Refferences.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adeola A. Segun
Department, University & Country	Lagos State University, Nigeria