



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_46396
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Mineral and Vitamin compositions of Leaves of Thaumatococcus daniellii and Alchornea cordifolia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	These two plants are from different families. Therefore, no comparison should be made. Where exactly these plants were collected. When exactly these plants were collected. These plants were how old they were. Can plants be young or old. More information is needed for plants. "Results obtained from the study showed that <i>T. daniellii</i> and <i>A. cordifolia</i> leaves contain considerable amount of Vit B12 and B6 (7.87± 0.07mg/100g) and (4.46±0.02mg/100g) respectively." This sentence in the abstract should be checked. The results should be evaluated together with previous studies.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eray Tulukcu
Department, University & Country	University of Selcuk, Turkey