



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_46979
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacteriology Screening of roasted and raw Chicken sold in Tripoli
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript "Bacteriology Screening of roasted and raw Chicken sold in Tripoli" describes the identification of bacteria associated to raw and roasted chicken in different place of Tripoli. The author has using biochemical and microbiological techniques to accomplish the identification of species-associated to food contamination.</p> <p>The study is relevant to the population in Tripoli because food poisoning contaminated with specific bacteria identified here and generated by incorrectly handled or cooked could carriage a health risk especially in infants or immune-compromised individual.</p> <p>My major criticism in this study is that authors need to improve the quality of scientific grammar.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT Sentences should start with capital letters. Authors mentioned that 50 chickens were sampled and not 40 as shown in "study design".</p> <p>INTRODUCTION The study needs relevant information about the percentage of intoxication, mortality or disease per year related to chicken/food contaminated in the country where was perform this study. Also, how much money the government gives to treat people with the condition that the authors addressed.</p> <p>Line #16. Change "comma" by "dot" Line #30. Change "Eschericiah coli 057:7" by "Escherichia coli 0157:H7" Line #31. Change "perfringes" by "perfringens" Line #33. Change "Camylobacter" by "Campylobacter" Line #35 to #40. Move it to "Discussion"</p> <p>MATERIAL AND METHODS</p> <p>Line #63. Add the word "as following" before "25g". Line #63 to #68. Please use capital letters after each dot. Line #67 and #70. Change "ager" by "agar". Line #90. Please use capital letters after the dot. Line #40. Change "efficlent" by "efficient" Line # 105 to # 115. It sounds like a class protocol. Please, paraphrase it.</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION This part are not presented strong and some paragraphs need to be clarified. Also, the authors did not write about S. Arizona from roasted samples 7, 11, and 22.</p> <p>Line #154 to #155. These belong to methods. Place it in "Sample collection" and add why are those place chosen for the study? Line #160 and #163. Please improve the paragraph. Line #164 and #165. Please finish the sentence. I don't know what do you want to show here. Line#179 and #180. Maybe remove or place it in another part of the manuscript. Line #218.Remove one symbol of "%".</p> <p>Please choose the symbol "%" or the word "percentage".</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>CONCLUSION Line #222. Remove the word “In conclusion”</p> <p>Table 1: Reduce the title. Maybe this could be an alternative: “Biochemical and microbiological tests used to identify bacteria isolated from roasted chicken”.</p> <p>Table 2: Reduce the title. Maybe this could be an alternative: “Biochemical and microbiological tests used to identify bacteria from raw chicken”.</p> <p>Table 3. Reduce the title. Maybe this could be an alternative: “The Percentage of microorganisms isolated from raw and roasted chicken samples”. Authors can then eliminate the row “The percentage %” because is implicit.</p> <p>Figure 1. Change the sentence by “The Percentage of microbial contamination in raw and roasted chicken samples.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Luis Alberto Ramirez Camejo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Purdue University. Indiana</i>