EFFECT OF ACHA AND SPROUTED SOYBEANS FLOUR ON THE QUALITY OF WHEAT BASED COOKIES.

ABSTRACT

Cookies was produced from wheat (Trititum,spp), acha (Digitaria exilis), and sprouted soybean (Glycine max) flour blends. The acha and soybean were processed into flour and used to substitute wheat flour at different proportions with 100:0:0 wheat, acha and sprouted soybeans flour (WASSF) as the control, 60:30:10, 50:40:10, 45:45:10, 40:50:10 and 35:55:10 (WASSF). The functional properties of the wheat, acha and sprouted soybean flour blends, physical properties and proximate compositions of the cookies were determined. The functional properties of the flour samples shows that the bulk density, wettability, water absorption capacity, oil absorption capacity and gelatination temperature ranged from 0.63 g/ml-0.99 g/ml, 10.21-12.98 g/sec, 6.53-12.52 g/g, 0.52-0.66 g/g and 63.7-65.1^{o}C respectively. There were significant differences ($p<0.05$) in all the values. The proximate composition of cookies sample showed that crude protein, crude fat, crude fibre, ash, moisture and carbohydrate content ranged from 12.14-16.48 %, 2.10-3.74 %, 1.76-2.55 %, 2.75-8.61 %, 9.18-9.50 % and 59.37-72.06 % respectively. The physical properties of cookies showed that the weight, diameter, thickness and spread ratio ranged from 15.61-17.11g; 61.59-63.20mm; 9.88-11.99mm and 5.28-6.24 respectively. The reference sample had the highest sensory scores for all the attributes. There was significant difference ($p<0.05$) in the colour, texture and aroma but there was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) in the taste and overall acceptability.

KEYWORDS: Cookies, Acha, Sprouted soybeans, Quality and Composite flour.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Cookies are traditionally made from soft wheat and are nutritious and convenience foods with long shelf life. Soft wheat flour has been the major ingredient used in the production of cookies and other pastry products, but they can also be made with non-wheat flours such as sorghum, maize, pearl millet, plantain, *acha* grain, Soybean [1]. In recent years, government has through intensive collaboration with research institutes encouraged the use of composite flours in the production of cookies and related food products such as bread [2].

Composite four can be described as a mixture of several fours obtained from root, tuber, cereal and legume, with or without the addition of wheat four, which is created to satisfy specific functional characteristics and nutrient composition [3].
Wheat (*Triticum* spp) is a grass that is cultivated worldwide. Globally, it is the most important human food grain and ranks second in total production as a cereal crop behind maize, the third being rice. Wheat grain is a staple food used to make flour for leavened, flat and steamed breads, cookies, cakes, pasta, spaghetti, macaroni, noodles, couscous and also for fermentation to make beer, alcohol, vodka or biofuel [4].
Soy bean *(Glycine max)* a grain legume, is one of the richest and cheapest sources of plants protein that can be used to improve the diet of millions of people especially the poor and low income earners in developing countries because it produces the greatest amount of protein used as food by man. Soybeans is an excellent source of protein (40-45%); hence the seeds have the highest~~are the richest in~~ food value of all plant food consumed in the world; Soybeans have 3% lecithin, which is beneficial for brain development [5].

Sprouting is the practice of soaking, draining, and leaving seeds or grains until they germinate or sprout. The increasing interest in functional and healthy food products has promoted the use of germinated soya

Comment [s1]: Please write the scientific names in *Italics* and also carefuly write the scientific name *Triticum* NOT *Trititum*

Comment [s2]: Please write the scientific names in *Italics*

Comment [s3]: Please write the scientific names in *Italics*

Comment [s4]: Better to avoid the words as keywords which is already present in the title.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

bean flour in the manufacture of foods for human consumption [6]. It is known that sprouting induces increase in free limiting amino acids and available vitamins with modified functional properties of seed components [7,—8]. Sprouting is form from seeds during germination of seeds. The sprouts are outstanding sources of protein, vitamins and minerals and they contain such in the respect of health-maintaining important nutrients like glucosinolates, phenolic and selenium-containing components in the isonflavones in the soyabean. As the sprouts are consumed inat the beginning of the growing phase, their nutrient concentration remains very high [9].

Acha (*Digitaria exilis)* is a cereal, traditionally consumed whole as "*tuwo*", couscous, "*gwate*", *achajollof* and *kununacha* [10]. *Acha* is reported to have a high pentosan (3.3%), hence, a high water absorption capacity that could be utilized in baking [11]. *Acha* is rich in micronutrients like iron and iodine (28.5mg/100ml and 22.9mg/100ml respectively) and has about 73% carbohydrates [12]. *Acha* is considered as health grains in a sense that they are often consumed whole and are gluten-free [13]. *Acha* is uniquely rich in methionine and cysteine and evokes low sugar on consumption; an advantage to diabetics [14].

The broad objective of this research was to produce and evaluate the quality of cookies from wheat, *acha* and sprouted soybean flour blends to produce composites flours and cookies from wheat, *acha* and sprouted soybean flour blends.

Comment [s5]: Please check the unit Is it mg/100mL or mg/100g?

Comment [s6]: Please check the unit Is it mg/100mL or mg/100g?

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Source of raw materials

The wheat flour (*Triticum eastrum)* and yellow type of soybean (*Glycine max*) were purchased from kaura Namoda main Market Zamfara State. The *Acha* (*Digitaria exilis Staph*) grains, was purchased from Tudun wada main market, Jos, plateau State of Nigeria. The raw materials were properly cleaned by removing extraneous matter prior to their subjection to different processing treatments.

2.2 Processing Methods

2.2.1 Preparation of Acha Flour

Acha flour was produced using the method of Ayo et al.[15]. *Acha* grains were winnowed to remove chaff and dust. Adhering dust and stones were removed by washing in water (sedimentation) using local calabashes. The washed and destoned grains were dried in a cabinet drier at 45°C to a moisture content of about 12%. The dried grains were milled using attrition milling machine and the flour sieved to pass through a 0.4mm mesh size. The *acha* flour was packaged in air tight containers for use as presented in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: Production of A*cha* flour (Modified from Ayo et al. [15])

2.2.2 Processing of Sprouted Soybeans Flour

Sprouting soybean flour was produced by modifying the method described by Iweof [16] as shown in Figure 24. Soybean seeds (2000 g) were sorted, cleaned, washed and soaked overnight in a stainless steel bucket containing clean tap water. The soybeans were spread on a clean jute bag and covered to screen from direct sun light. Water containing small amount of calcium hypochlorite ($CaClO_4$) to discourage the growth of microorganisms, water was sprinkled twice a day at the intervals of nine (9) h. The seeds were allowed to germinate for 96 h at room temperature and cabinet dried at 60°C for 8 h, devegetated by hand rubbing, winnowed and milled into flour using hammer mill (Bremmer, Germany). The flour was sieved with the aid of a 425 µm sieve (Endecotts Ltd, London, England) to obtain a uniform particle size of flour which was packaged in polyethylene bag and stored at 4-6°C till needed as presented in Fig. 2.

Comment [s7]: Please check

Comment [s8]: $Ca(ClO)_2$, Please check the formula



Figure 2: Production of sprouted soybeans flour (modified [16])

2.2.3 Formulation ~~B~~blends of ~~W~~wheat ~~A~~acha and ~~S~~sprouted ~~S~~soybeans ~~F~~flours

Based on the proximate compositions, wheat, sprouted soybeans and *acha* flour was used for the production of cookies formulation. The different wheat, sprouted soybeans and *acha* blends formulated for the cookies are shown in the Table 1.

Table 1: Recipes for Blends of Wheat, Acha and Sprouted Soybeans Cookies

Sample code (WAS~~SF~~)	wheat flour (%)	*Acha* flour (%)	sprouted soybean flour %)	Sugar (g)	Fat (g)	Baking powder (g)	Milk (g)	Salt (g)
100:0:0	100	00	00	120	250	1	50	1
60:30:10	60	30	10	120	250	1	50	1
50:40:10	50	40~~9~~	10	120	250	1	50	1
45:45:10	45	45	10	120	250	1	50	1
40:50:10	40	50	10	120	250	1	50	1
35:55:10	35	55	10	120	250	1	50	1

Comment [s9]: This ration is only for wheat flour, Acha flour and sprouted soybean flour. So, WAS ratio is okay

Comment [s10]: Please check

Key: WAS~~S~~F= Wheat, *Acha* and Sprouted Soybeans flour blends

2.2.4 Production of cCookies Ffrom Wwheat, Aacha and Ssprouted Ssoybeans Fflour Bblends

The method described by Ndife et al. [17] with modification was used in the production of blends of wheat, *acha* and malted soybean flour cookies. Sugar (120g) was added to 250g of margarine in a Kenwood mixer and mixed at medium speed until fluffy. Milk powder were added while mixing and then mixing continued for about 30 min. Sifted flour blends, baking powder were slowly added to the mixture; and treaded to form dough. It was then rolled on a flat rolling board sprinkled with flour to a uniform thickness of about 0.4cm; circular cookies of 5.8 – 6.0cm diameter were cut, placed in oiled baking trays and baked in the oven at 160^{o}C for 15 min. Other samples with different blends ratio and the control with 100% wheat flour were baked in the same manner.



Figure 3: Production of Cookies from wheat, *acha* and sprouted soybean (modified Ndife et al. [17])

2.5 Determination of Ffunctional Pproperties of the cComposite Ccookies from Wwheat, Aacha and Ssprouted Ssoybeans Fflour blends.

2.5.1 Bulk density

A 50 g the flour sample was weight into a 100 ml measuring cylinder. The cylinder was tapped continuously until a constant volume was obtained. The bulk density (g cm-3) was calculated as weight of flour (g) divided by flour volume (cm^3) [18].

2.5.2 Wettabillity and Gelatinization

The wettability and gelatinization were determined according to the method described by [18].

Comment [s11]: Write methodology in details

2.5.3 Water and Oil absorption capacity

Water and oil absorption capacities of the flour samples were determined by Ayo [18] methods. One gram of the flour was mixed with 10 ml of water/oil in a centrifuge tube and allowed to stand at room temperature (30 ± 2ºC) for 1 h. It was then centrifuged at 200 x g for 30 min. The volume of water or oil on the sediment water measured. Water and oil absorption capacities were calculated as ml of water or oil absorbed per gram of flour.

Comment [s12]: Please check carefully, reference no. 18, Onwuka NOT Ayo

2.5.4 Determination of ~~P~~proximate ~~C~~composition of the ~~C~~composite ~~C~~cookies from ~~W~~wheat, ~~A~~acha and ~~S~~sprouted ~~S~~soybeans ~~F~~flour blends.

The proximate analysis (moisture, protein, ash, fat (ether extract), crude and carbohydrate were determined in duplicate using the methods described by AOAC [19].

Comment [s13]: According to me the methodologies should be elaborated NOT just mentioning the reference

2.5.5 Determination of ~~P~~physical ~~P~~properties of the ~~C~~cookies from ~~W~~wheat, ~~A~~acha and ~~S~~sprouted ~~S~~soybeans ~~F~~flour blends.

The weight of the cookies was determined using Electronic compact weighing balance (model KDBN2010) as described by AOAC [19]. The thickness (mm) and diameter (mm) of the cookies were measured with digital vernier calipers with 0.01mm precision according to the method of Ayo *et al*. [15]. And spread ratio was also determined according to the method of spread ratio was determined according to method described by [20].

2.5.6 Sensory ~~E~~evaluation of the ~~C~~cookies from ~~W~~wheat, ~~A~~acha and ~~S~~sprouted ~~S~~soybeans ~~F~~flour ~~B~~blends.

Sensory evaluation of the cookies was carried out according to the method described by Ihekoronye and Ngoddy [21].

Comment [s14]: I suggest to mention the methods in details

2.6 Statistical Analysis

The Experimental data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means separated by Fisher's least significance difference test using Genstat statistical package, version 17.0.

Comment [s15]: Please cross check with SPSS or SAS, find Table 3 ash content

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Functional Properties of ~~C~~cookie samples from ~~W~~wheat, ~~A~~acha and ~~S~~sprouted ~~S~~soybeans ~~F~~flour Blends

The functional properties of wheat, acha and sprouted soybeans flour blends is presented in Table 2: The results showed that the bulk density ranged from 0.63-0.99 g/ml, Wettability ranged from 0.21-12.98 g/sec, Water absorption capacity ranged from 6.53-12.52 g/g, oil absorption capacity ranged from 0.52-0.66 g/g and Gelatinization temperature ranged from 63.7-65.1^{o}C respectively. Bulk density is a function of particle size as particle size is inversely proportional to bulk density [22]. Bulk density is also an important parameter for determining the ease of packaging and transportation of particulate or powdery foods,there was a gradual increase of the bulk density with increase in the addition of *acha* flour with constant

percentage of the sprouted soybeans. This results is in agreement with the results of [23] with a bulk density of 0.60g/ml-0.85g/ml. Higher bulk density is desirable for greater ease of dispersibility and reduction of paste thickness; while low bulk density of flour is a good physical attribute when determining transportation and storability.

The wettability of the flour sample blends are significantly different from each other, which indicated that the particles size of the formulated samples were totally hydrated between 10.21 to 12.96 seconds. With the highest value of 12.98 seconds in sample 35:55:10 (WAS~~SF~~) and the lowest value of 10.21seconds in sample 100:0:0(100% wheat flour). This may be due to the small particle sizes of the samples, as well as the hygroscopic nature of the *acha* and sprouted soybeans flour. The cookies wettability increased by allowing more water penetration within its matrix with increasing addition of *acha* and sprouted soybeans flour. This may be due to increased fiber content that has been shown to retain water [24].

The water adsorption capacity decreases with increase in *acha* and sprouted soybean flour. This result conforms to the work of [25], where the WAC decreases with increasing protein content. Water absorption characteristic represents the ability of the product to associate with water under conditions when water is limiting such as dough and pastes. Water absorption capacity represents the ability of the products to associate with water under conditions when water is limiting such as dough's and pastes.

The oil ~~A~~adsorption capacity (OAC) decreases as the wheat flour content decrease. The contribution of *acha* and sprouted soybean flour on the Oil Adsorption Capacity was the least. Oil absorption capacity is attributed mainly to the physical entrapment of oils. It is an indication of the rate at which protein binds to fat in food formulations [22]. Oil absorption capacity is useful in formulation of foods such as sausages and bakery products. Fat increases the leavening power of the baking powder in the batter and improves the texture of the baked product.

The gelatinization temperature of the flour samples blends generally increased with increasing addition of *acha* and sprouted soybean flour. Increasing fiber content appears to delay gelation and subsequently its temperature. Thus, higher heat energy is required to attain significant gelation. Case et al. [26] reported that waxy and regular maize gelatinize at 62-72°C, whereas high-amylose starches begin to swell below 100°C, temperatures greater than 130°C are required to fully disperse these starches. This is because more amylose molecules are involved in the crystalline regions of the high amylose starch than in waxy and regular starches [27].

Table 2: Functional ~~P~~properties of ~~W~~wheat, ~~A~~acha and ~~S~~sprouted ~~S~~soybeans ~~F~~flour ~~B~~blends

Sample Code (WASSF)	Bulk density (g/ml)	Wettability (g/sec)	Water absorption capacity (g/g)	Oil absorption capacity (g/g)	Gelatinization temperature (^{o}C)
100:0:0	0.63^{a}±0.01	10.21^{a}±0.01	12.52^{a}±0.01	0.66^{d}±0.01	63.73^{a}±0.28
60:30:10	0.69^{b}±0.01	10.64^{b}±0.01	9.85^{b}±0.01	0.62^{c}±0.01	63.97^{ab}±0.05
50:40:10	0.72^{c}±0.01	11.23^{c}±0.01	9.22^{c}±0.01	0.60^{bc}±0.01	63.94^{ab}±0.08
45:45:10	0.83^{d}±0.00	11.88^{d}±0.02	7.85^{d}±0.01	0.57^{b}±0.01	64.16^{b}±0.06
40:50:10	0.93^{e}±0.01	12.32^{e}±0.01	7.36^{e}±0.06	0.53^{a}±0.01	64.59^{c}±0.06
35:55:10	0.99^{f}±0.01	12.98^{f}±0.01	6.53^{f}±0.03	0.52^{a}±0.02	65.12^{d}±0.01
LSD	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.31

Values are means ± standard deviations of duplicate duplications. Means in same column with same superscript are not significantly (p>0.05) different

Key: WASSF= Wheat, *Acha* and Sprouted Soybeans flour blends

Comment [s16]: I prefer to write WAS only as sugar and fat you are adding same for all

Comment [s17]: Soybean flour remains same for all cases except the only wheat flour cookies, thus increased amount of acha might be the reason for the decrease in water absorption capacity.

Table 3: Proximate Composition of Cookies from Wheat, Acha and Sprouted Soybeans Flour Blends

Sample Code (WASSF)	Moisture (%)	Crude protein (%)	Crude fat (%)	Ash (%)	Crude fibre (%)	Carbohydrate (%)
100:0:0	9.18^{a}±0.21	12.14^{a}±0.25	2.10^{a}±0.17	2.75^{a}±0.24	1.76^{a}±0.10	72.06^{a}±0.47
60:30:10	9.47^{b}±0.01	14.82^{b}±0.01	2.87^{b}±0.01	7.22^{b}±0.00	1.97^{b}±0.01	63.64^{b}±0.05
50:40:10	9.45^{b}±0.01	15.50^{c}±0.20	3.40^{c}±0.00	7.56^{c}±0.00	2.21^{c}±0.01	61.75^{c}±0.03
45:45:10	9.50^{b}±0.00	16.25^{d}±0.00	3.60^{d}±0.00	8.25^{d}±0.00	2.40^{d}±0.00	60.80^{d}±0.00
40:50:10	9.45^{b}±0.00	16.34^{d}±0.01	3.74^{d}±0.02	8.50^{e}±0.00	2.52^{e}±0.01	60.05^{e}±0.04
35:55:10	9.45^{b}±0.00	16.48^{d}±0.01	3.75^{d}±0.00	8.40^{e}±0.00	2.55^{e}±0.00	59.37^{f}±0.01
LSD	0.21	0.32	0.17	0.24	0.10	0.48

Values are means ± standard deviations of duplicate duplications. Means in same column with same superscript are not significantly (p>0.05) different

Key: WASSF= Wheat, *Acha* and Sprouted Soybeans flour blends

Comment [s18]: How 8.5 and 8.4 (with SD 0) is not statistically significant?

Comment [s19]: What could be the possible reason of having lower value for 35:55:10 (WAS) formulation

Comment [s20]: Please check the statistical analysis carefully

3.2 Proximate ~~C~~composition of the ~~C~~cookies samples from ~~W~~wheat, ~~A~~acha and ~~S~~sprouted ~~S~~soybeans ~~F~~flour ~~B~~blends

The result of the proximate composition of cookies from wheat, *acha* and sprouted soybeans flour blends is ~~as~~ presented in Table 3: The showed that the moisture content ranged from 9.18-9.50 %, crude protein ranged from 12.14-16.48 %, crude fat ranged from 2.10-3.75 %, ash ranged from 2.75-8.61 %, crude fibre ranged from 1.76-2.55 % and carbohydrate ranged from 59.37-72.06 % ~~respectively~~. The crude protein content of the cookies samples ranged between 12.14-16.48% lowest values recorded in sample 100:0:0 (100% wheat flour) cookies and the highest value of (16.48%) was recorded in sample 35:55:10 (WASSF) cookies. There were significant differences (P<0.05) among the entire samples except for sample 45:45:10, 40:50:10 and 35:55:10 (WASSF) cookies samples which were not significantly different from each other. The increase in protein content was due to the high content of protein in the sprouted soybean and *acha* flour. Therefore, sprouted soybeans and *acha* flour served a complementary purpose in increasing the protein content of the cookies products based with wheat flour and also helps in providing the limiting protein (lysine and tryptophan). The increases in protein content are within the range with the findings of [28] but do not agree with the value reported by Atobatele, and [29]. The sprouted soybean and *acha* fortified cookies will help to alleviate diseases like kwashiorkor that result from higher carbohydrate and high glycemic index food intake. The crude fat contents of the cookies samples were significantly different (p<0.05) amongst six samples. The values of the fat content ranged from 2.10-3.75%. The lowest value was observed in sample 100:0:0 (100% wheat flour) cookies 2.10 % and this is relatively low compared to the values 4.50 % obtained by [23]. The highest value was observed in sample 34:55:10 this could be due to sprouted soybeans added and at different percentage and constant *acha* flour substituted.

Comment [s21]: Check this value, it should be 8.5

Comment [s22]: Do not repeat the same statement

Comment [s23]: It is better to write that the protein content was found the least in 100% wheat flour cookies and highest in cookies made up of WAS 35:55:10 flour.

The highest fibre value was recorded in sample 35:55:10 cookies and the lowest value in sample 100:0:0. This result indicated that both *acha* and sprouted flour contain larger amount of crud fiber than wheat flour. This could be the reason that ~~an~~ increasing ~~in~~ fiber content was observed as the proportion of *acha* and sprouted soybean flour were added. Similar result was also reported~~observed~~ by Manoela et al. [30] in their study of blending wheat flour with residue from king palm processing which contains higher fiber content than wheat flour. This was due to the high content of fibre in the sprouted soybean flour. Fibre is good for the body as it increases the stool bulk by acting as a vehicle for faecal water.

The ash content of the cookies samples increased with increase in the substitution of wheat flour with *acha* and sprouted soybeans 2.75% to 8.50%. Increase in the ash content indicates that the samples with high percentage of ash will be good sources of minerals. *Acha* seeds are excellent sources of potassium, phosphorus, magnesium, sodium, calcium and iron [31].The ash contents of the cookies samples ranges from 2.75-8.61%. The samples were different at 5% level of significance ($p<0.05$). Sample 35:55:10 Cookies had the highest value of 8.61 % while sample 100:0:0(100% wheat flour) cookies had the lowest value of 2.75 %. Higher ash contents indicated that the mineral content is higher in the *acha* and sprouted soybean flour than in the wheat flour. It was observed that there was an increase in the ash contests of the cookies with increasing level of *acha* and sprouted soybean flour in the cookies samples.

The moisture content for sample 100:0:0 cookies w~~as~~ere the least 9.18 %, significantly less than that found for other formulations. ~~and were significantly different from the other samples~~. This result is in agreement with another study of Joel et al. [23] which ~~who~~ reported moisture contents of 7.24-9.85 % from wheat and full fat soybeans. However, the present study was ~~But~~ not in agreement with the study of Ikuomola et al. [28] wh~~ich~~ich reported moisture content of 3.34-4.06% respectively.

Carbohydrate content decreased with increased substitution of *acha* and sprouted soybeans flour 71.19 % to 66.38 %. There was significant difference ($p>0.05$) between the carbohydrates content of the cookies samples, Reduction in carbohydrate content of the cookies was observed, when the proportion of *acha* and sprouted soybeans flour in the formulation was subtituted. The reason of reduction in carbohydrate content of the cookie could be an increasing in protein, moisture, fat, ash and fiber content of the cookies as the proportion of *acha* flour in the formulation was increased at constant sprouted soybean substitution which leads a reduction in carbohydrate content since carbohydrate is calculated by difference. A similar reduction in carbohydrate content was also reported by Manoela et al. [30] in their study of blending wheat flour with residue from king palm processing which contains a higher fiber, ash and fat content than wheat flour.

Table 4: Physical Properties of the Cookies from Wheat, Acha and Sprouted Soybeans Flour Blends

Sample Code (WASSF)	**Weight (g)**	**Diameter (mm)**	**Thickness (mm)**	**Spread ratio**
100:0:0	15.61^{a}±0.01	63.20^{a}±0.01	11.99^{a}±0.01	5.28^{a}±0.01
60:30:10	16.02^{b}±0.01	62.09^{b}±0.01	10.50^{b}±0.01	5.91^{b}±0.00
50:40:10	16.30^{c}±0.01	61.98^{c}±0.02	10.29^{c}±0.01	6.02^{bc}±0.00
45:45:10	16.71^{d}±0.02	61.88^{d}±0.04	10.21^{cd}±0.01	6.06^{c}±0.01
40:50:10	16.97^{e}±0.03	61.69^{e}±0.01	10.07^{d}±0.04	6.13^{cd}±0.02
35:55:10	17.11^{f}±0.01	61.59^{f}±0.01	9.88^{e}±0.18	6.24^{d}±0.11
LSD	0.04	0.05	0.18	0.12

Values are means ± standard deviations of duplicate duplications. Means in same column with same superscript are not significantly ($p>0.05$) different

Key: WASSF= Wheat, *Acha* and Sprouted Soybeans flour blends

3.3 Physical ~~P~~properties of ~~C~~cookie samples from ~~W~~wheat, ~~A~~acha and ~~S~~sprouted ~~S~~soybeans ~~F~~flour ~~B~~blends

Comment [s24]: According to the formulation design the amount of acha powder was increased gradually NOT the sprouted soybean powder (it was added 10 parts always). So, better to mention acha powder, here.

Comment [s25]: Cite reference(s)

Comment [s26]: What is the source of the value 8.61, Table 3 (Ash column) does not have this value, please check carefully

Comment [s27]: Please do not repeat the statement

Comment [s28]: I do not find this value in the corresponding Table

Comment [s29]: My understanding: acha helps improving the fibre content while sprouted soybean improves ash content

Comment [s30]: It would be better if author(s) discuss and find the possible reason of obtaining lower value for WAS 35:55:10 flour

Comment [s31]: Please mention how does it differ from the present study, rewrite the sentence.

Comment [s32]: Please be careful writing the values. I do not find these values in Table 3 (carbohydrate column)

Comment [s33]: Combine this statement with the previous statement.

Comment [s34]: Do not repeat please, it makes the manuscript unnecessary burdensome which is not expected

Comment [s35]: Already mentioned 'similar', it entirely explains. Do not state the same

The result of the physical properties of the cookies from wheat, *acha* and sprouted soybeans flour blends is ~~as~~ presented in Table 4. ~~:~~ The results shows significant different in weight, diameter, thickness and spread ratio at ($p<0.05$) for samples 100:0:0, 60:30:10, 50:40:10, 45:45:10, 40:50:10 and 35:55:10 wheat, *acha* and sprouted soybeans (WAS~~SF~~). The weight, diameter, thickness, and spread ratio of the cookies ranged from 15.61-17.11~~1697g, diameter ranged from~~ 61.59-63.20 mm, 9.88-11.99 mm and 5.28-6.24 respectively. The weight of the cookies samples increased as a result of the increase level of *acha* and sprouted soybean flour substitution, there was significant difference ($p>0.05$) between the various cookies samples. The findings were in contrary to the observation of some researchers who reported significant reduction in the weight of cookies produced from soya bean supplemented with wheat flour [15], cowpea-wheat [20], millet-sesame flour [32], bambara groundnut- maize flour [33] respectively.

Comment [s36]: However, it is also found from the table 4. I think that it is better not to repeat in the text the same which is already being clearly demonstrated by the table

There was a significant difference ($p<0.05$) among~~between~~ the value obtained for the cookie diameter supplemented with *acha* and sprouted soybean flour. As the proportion of *acha* flour increased in the formulation, a decreased in the average cookie diameter was observed. The thickness of the cookies was found to be significantly influenced both by blend proportion and baking temperature ($p>0.05$). However a decreased in the average thickness of the cookies was observed as the proportion of *acha* flour was increased in the formulation with constant sprouted soybean flour. The control (100% wheat) cookie showed a larger thickness (11.99mm) as compared to the other blend proportion. A similar decreased in the average cookie thickness was also reported for cookie prepared with Wheat and sweet potato flour [34], and wheat and residue from king palm processing [30]. The average spread ratio of the cookies showed significantly decreased as the proportion of *acha* flour was increased in the formulation at constant percentage of sprouted soybean flour. The cookie which was made from 100% wheat flour spread larger as compared to the blend proportion. According to [30] the spread ratio of cookies is strongly correlated to the water absorption capacities of flour.

Table 5: Sensory Attributes of the Cookies from Wheat, Acha and Sprouted Soybeans Flour Blends

Sample Code (WASSF)	Appearance	Taste	Texture	Aroma	Overall acceptability
100:0:0	$7.90^{a}\pm0.97$	$7.35^{a}\pm1.09$	$7.70^{a}\pm1.03$	$6.95^{a}\pm0.94$	$7.55^{a}\pm1.05$
60:30:10	$7.35^{ab}\pm1.14$	$7.30^{a}\pm1.08$	$7.00^{b}\pm0.97$	$6.55^{ab}\pm0.94$	$7.40^{a}\pm1.05$
50:40:10	$6.80^{bc}\pm1.24$	$7.15^{a}\pm1.04$	$6.70^{b}\pm0.98$	$6.50^{ab}\pm0.95$	$7.30^{a}\pm1.17$
45:45:10	$6.75^{bc}\pm1.02$	$7.25^{a}\pm1.07$	$6.55^{b}\pm1.15$	$6.40^{ab}\pm0.82$	$7.10^{a}\pm1.29$
40:50:10	$6.45^{c}\pm1.23$	$7.10^{a}\pm1.17$	$6.30^{b}\pm1.22$	$6.30^{b}\pm1.03$	$7.10^{a}\pm1.29$
35:55:10	$6.45^{c}\pm1.19$	$7.05^{a}\pm1.19$	$6.45^{b}\pm1.19$	$6.15^{b}\pm0.93$	$7.05^{a}\pm1.32$
LSD	0.71	0.69	0.69	0.59	0.75

Means in same column with same superscript are not significantly ($p>0.05$) different

Key: WASSF= Wheat, *Acha* and Sprouted Soybeans flour blends

3.4 Sensory ~~A~~attributes of the ~~C~~cookie samples from ~~W~~wheat, ~~A~~acha and ~~S~~sprouted ~~S~~soybeans ~~F~~flour ~~B~~blends

The result of the sensory attributes of the cookies from wheat, *acha* and sprouted soybeans flour blends is as presented in Table 5. ~~:~~ The results showed significant difference in appearance, texture and aroma ~~at (p<0.05) but with~~ no significant difference in taste and overall acceptability ~~at~~ ($p<0.05$) for different formulations samples 100:0:0, 60:30:10, 50:40:10, 45:45:10, 40:50:10 and 35:55:10 wheat, acha and sprouted soybeans (WAS~~SF~~). The cookies appearance ranged from 6.45-7.90, taste ranged from 7.05-7.35, texture ranged from 6.30-7.70, aroma ranged from 6.15-6.95 and overall acceptability ranged from 7.05-7.55 respectively. The brown appearance observed from the cookies samples resulting from Maillard reaction which always associated with baked foods. There were significant ($p<0.05$) difference among the sample means for all appearance scores. An increase in the substitution level of *acha* and sprouted

Comment [s37]: Author(s) can delete the part of the sentence

Comment [s38]: It is already in the table 5, so, there is no need to mention the same in text

soybean flour resulted in a decrease in appearance scores. Cookie produced from samples 40:50:10 and 35:55:10 scored least 6.45 in terms of appearance while the control (100 % wheat flour) cookies had the highest score of 7.90 for appearance. The scores for the appearance decreased with increase in the amount of *acha* flour in the blend, and substitution with 10% constant sprouted soybeans flour also contributed to the decrease in the appearance. The means scores for taste of cookies ranged from 7.05-7.35, there were no significant ($p>0.05$) deference among the cookie the samples. The scores for texture of the cookies samples were not significantly different ($p>0.05$) from each other, but significantly different from sample 100:0:0 (100% wheat flour), sample 60:30:10 (WASSF) had the next highest rating to the sample from sample 100:0:0. Aroma is another attribute that influences the acceptance of baked food products even before they are tasted. Substitution of wheat flour with either *acha* flour or sprouted soybeans flour at different levels significantly ($p<0.05$) affect the sensory score of aroma. The highest score of 6.95 in aroma was observed in sample 100:0:0, while sample 35:55:10 scored the least 6.15. The findings were in close agreement with the findings of [5], who studied the effect of soybeans flour on the functional properties and the potential of soybean and cassava flour blends in cookies production.
The Aroma, appearance, texture and taste indeed influence the overall acceptability of the cookies samples. There was no significant ($p\leq0.05$) difference between control sample and the other samples in terms of the overall acceptability. The control sample had the highest score of approximately 7.55 and sample35:55:10 had the lowest score of approximately 7.05. The final sensory analysis conducted by the panelist was the overall acceptability of the cookie. The overall acceptability of the cookie was significantly influence by the blend proportion ($p< 0.05$).

Comment [s39]: Does the appearance score is based on only brown colour, Is it a kind of visual analog scale?

Comment [s40]: Without having detailed methodology it is difficult to assess this part

CONCLUSION

This study was able to develop composite flour from *acha* and sprouted soybeans for cookies production. The functional properties and proximate composition were elucidated. Acceptable cookies were produced from wheat, *acha* and sprouted soybean flour blends with the 60:30:10 been the most acceptable followed by the 50:40:10 level of supplementation.

REFERENCE

1. Okpala, L., Okoli, E. and Udensi, E. Physico-chemical and sensory properties of cookies made from blends of germinated pigeon pea, fermented sorghum and cocoyam flours. Journal of Food Science and Nutrition. 2013;1: 8-14.
2. Racheal O.O, Margaret A.A. Quality Characteristics of Cookies Produced from Composite Flours of Unripe Plantain, Wheat and Watermelon Seed. Indian Journal Nutrition. 2016;2(2): 117.
3. Abdel-Kader ZM. Enrichment of Egyptian 'Balady bread Part 1. Baking Studies, Physical and sensory evaluation of enrichment with decorticated cracked broad bean flour (Vicia Faba L.) Nahrung. 200;44(6):418-421.
4. Abulude F.O. Distribution of selected minerals in some Nigerian white bread. Nigerian Food Journal. 2005;23: 139-147.
5. Akubor P.I and Ukwuru M. U. Functional properties and biscuit making potential of soybean and cassava flour blends. Journal plant foods for Human Nutrition. 2005:58:1-12.
6. Farrera-Rebollo, M. and Calderon-Dominguez, G. Changes on dough rheological characteristics and bread quality as a result of the addition of germinated and nongerminated soybean flour. Journal of Food and Bioprocess Technology. 2007;1(2), 152-160.

7. Akpapunam, M. A. and Darbe, J. W. Chemical-composition and functional- properties of blends of maize and bambara groundnut flours for cookie production. Plant Foods for Human Nutrition. 1996;46 (2), 147-155.
8. Jideani, V. and Onwubali, F. Optimisation of wheat-sprouted soybean flour bread using response surface methodology. African Journal of Biotechnolology. 2009;8(22): 6364-6373.
9. Webb G.P. Dietary Supplements and Functional Foods, Blackwell Publishing Ltd., Oxford. 1-120:2006.
10. Jideani I.A. Acha, Digitaria exilis, the neglected cereal, J. of Agriculture International. 1990;42, 132-134.
11. Lasekan, O. Chemical composition of acha (Digitaria exilis) flour. Nigerian Food Journal. 1994;12:19 23.
12. Oburuoga, A. C. and Anyika, J. U. Nutrient and Antinutrient composition of mung bean (Vigna radiata), Acha (Digitana exilis) and crayfish (Astacus fluriatilis) Flours. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 2012;11(9): 743-746.
13. Jideani, I. A. and Jidenai, V. A. (2011). Developments on the cereal grains Digitaria exilis (acha) and Digitaria Iburua (iburu). Journal of food science and technology. 48(3): 251-259.
14. Ayo, J. A. and Nkama, I. Acha (Digitaria exilis) West Africa International Journal of Food and Agriculture. 2006;1(1):129-144.
15 Ayo, J. A.; Ayo V. A., Nkama, I.; and Adewori; R. Physical in-vitro digestibility and organoleptic evaluation of “Acha” wheat buicuit supplemented with soybean flour.Niger food journal. 2007;25: 77-89.
16. Iwe, M. O. ‘’The science and Technology of soy bean, Chemistry, Nutrition, Processing, Utilization. Rejoin communication service LTD. Enugu, Nigeria. Pp. 159-262. 2003.
17. Ndife, J., Kida, F. and Fagbemi, S. Production and quality assessment of enriched cookies from whole wheat and full fat Soya. European Journal of Food Science andTechnology. 2014;2(2): 19-29.
18. Onwuka, G.I. (2005). Food Analysis and Instrumentation: Theory and Practice. Surulere: Naphthali Prints.133-139;2005.
19. A.O.A.C. Official Methods of Analysis. 19th edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, D.C., U.S.A. 2012.
20. Okaka, J. C. and Isieh, M. I. Development and Quality Evaluation of Cowpea-Wheat Biscuit. Nigerian food Journal. 1990;8:56-60.
21. Ihekoronye, A.I. and Ngoddy, P.O. Integrated Food Science and Technology for the Tropics. London: Macmillan Publishers Ltd. Pp 165-193.1985.
22. Omimawo IA and Akubor PI. Food Chemistry (Integrated Approach with Biochemcial background). 2nd edn. Joytal printing press, Agbowo, Ibadan, Nigeria. 2012.
23. Joel N, Fatima K. and Stephen F. Production and quality assessment of enriched cookies from whole wheat and full fat soya. European Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2014;2(2):19-29.
24 Biswas, S.K., Chowdhury A, Das J, Karmakar U.K and Shill M.C. Assessment of cytotoxicity and antibacterial activities of ethanolic extracts of Kalanchoe pinnata L. (Family: Crassulaceae) leaves and stems. Int. Journal of Pharm. Sci. Res., 2011;2: 2605- 2609.

25. Malomo, O, Ogunmoyela, O.A.B, Adekoyeni, O.O, Jimoh, O, Oluwajoba, S.O and Sobanwa, M.O.Rheological and functional properties of soy-poundo yam flour. International Journal Food ScienceNutrition and Engineering. 2012;2(6): 101-107.
26. Case, S.E., Capitani, T., Whaley, J.K., Shi, Y.C., Trazsko, P., Jeffcoat, R. and Goldfarb and H.B. Physicalproperties and gelation behavior of a low-amylopectin maize starch and other high-amylose maizestarches. Journal of Cereal Science 1998;27: 301-314.
27. Shi, Y.C., Capitani, T., Trzasko, P. and Jeffcoat, R. Molecular structure of a low amylopectin maizestarch and other amylose maize starches. Journal of Cereal Science. 1998;27, 289-299.
28. Ikuomola D.S., Otutu O.L., and Oluniran D.D. Quality assessment of cookies produced from wheat flour and malted barley (Hordeum vulgare) bran blends, Journal of Cogent Food and Agriculture. 2017;3: 1293471.
29. Atobatele, O.B. and Afolabi, M.O. Chemical Composition and Sensory Evaluation of Cookies Baked from the Blends from the Blends of Soya Bean and Maize Flours. Journal of Applied Tropical Agriculture 2016:Volume 21, No.2, 8-13.
30. Manoela, A.V., Karina, C.T., Rossana, P., Sandra, R. P., and Edna, R. A. Physicochemical and sensory characteristics of cookies containing residue from king palm(Archontophoenix). Mexico. Journal of Food Comp. Anal. 2006;11:298-304.
31. Ojokoh A.O and Onasanya T.T. Effect of Fermentation and Extrusion on the Nutrient and Anti-nutrient Composition of Soy Beans (*Glycine max*, L) and *Acha* (*Digitaria exilis* Stapf), British Microbiology Research Journal. 2017;21(1): 1-21.
32. Alobo, A. P. Effect of sesame seed flour on millet biscuit characteristics. Journal Plant Food Human Nutrition. 2001;56, 195–202.
33. Singh, S., Riar, C. S., and Saxena, D. C. Effect of incorporating sweet potato flour to wheat flour on the quality characteristics of cookies. African Journal of Food Science. 2008;(2):065-072.