



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_47629
Title of the Manuscript:	Study the effect of watermelon white rind extract as decreased the detrimental of soybean oil in vivo
Type of the Article	<u>Original research paper</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Rephrase the title</p> <p>The abstract is poorly written.</p> <p>The introduction, materials and methods, result and discussion made this article totally unacceptable.</p> <p>The references are not in line with the Authors guideline.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is totally unacceptable.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Uchendu, Mbah Okuwa</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria</i>