



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_47845
Title of the Manuscript:	Food Derived bioactive peptides for Health Enhancement and Management of Some Chronic Diseases
Type of the Article	<u>Review Paper</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The current MS proposes to review the food derived bioactive peptides and provides a special emphasis on health enhancement and management of some chronic diseases. To my knowledge a review of this topic is indeed lacking in the literature and therefore the current review-MS is relevant and important. Nonetheless, in my opinion the MS accumulates a series of weaknesses. These weaknesses are mainly centered around language issues, a lack of careful revision for the typo errors and an unspecific choice of words and sentences throughout the text. Although I feel sorry mostly on editing issues, it seems to me that the ability to present the information in an comprehensible and concise manner is a key element especially in a review article. I think that this MS deserves a massive editing.	
Minor REVISION comments	This reading of the publication below should be considered by the authors Potential role of bioactive peptides in prevention and treatment of chronic diseases: a narrative review. Cicero AFG, Fogacci F, Colletti A. Br J Pharmacol. 2017 Jun;174(11):1378-1394. doi: 10.1111/bph.13608.	
Optional/General comments	The entire text needs a serious and careful revision for typo errors and also for language.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mirian Hayashi
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP), Brazil