



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_49435
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional and Anti-Nutritional Evaluation of Garri Processed by Traditional and Instant Mechanical Methods
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	This paper deals with the nutritional and anti-nutritional composition of garri, it is important for the usage and production of garri, I support it to be published in the journal after minor revision. 1, the superscribes a,b,c in the tables need to be described in the tale notes; 2, The rate of hydrolysis of cyanogenic glucoside in cassava to produce the poisonous hydrogen cyanide is affected by the addition of palm oil in garri, how about the amount of the oil added ?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yongchun zhu
Department, University & Country	Shenyang Normal University, China