SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_49437
Title of the Manuscript:	Level of Heavy Metals in Selected Vegetables Collected from Ijagun Dumpsite in Ogun State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The results and discussion sections should be revised into normal prose for improved readability. They currently read more like a bulleted list.	
	The data in figure 3 a-c would be presented in another form. The current presentation does not give the best explanation of the data. I would suggest a table.	
	There are duplicate figure numbers for figures 1, 2 and 3. All figures must be numbered sequentially	
	The conditions for the atomic absorption instrument are not presented in the paper, the authors should include lamp type, wavelength, flame type, etc. I would also like to see the experimental detection limits for their conditions.	
	The dissolution conditions are given for both soil and plants. How do these conditions compare with ASTM standard methods?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The authors have stated this is a review article. It appears to be original research more than a review.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her





SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David W. Johnson
Department, University & Country	University of Dayton, U.S.A.