



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_49653
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF THE LIGHT INFLUENCE ON THE WALNUTS OIL QUALITY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This article consists of 9 total pages. Abstract 0,5 page introduction 0,5 page, Materials and methods, 1,5 page, Results and Discussion 4 pages (2 pages figure and table), conclusion 0,3 page reference 2 pages,</p> <p>In this study, the discussion and the results were insufficient.</p> <p>TITLE: It is suitable for working.</p> <p>Key Words: It is suitable for working</p> <p>Abstract: The study is enough</p> <p>Introduction: information about the botanical and ecological properties of the junglans regia.</p> <p>Materials and methods: information about the location of the plant. how samples were collected. When collected samples. Are samples taken from a single tree or from many trees? The trees were young or old. Immediately after collection of walnut oil was removed. How long the walnuts were kept in the warehouse without breaking. A normal walnut oil specification table is required for comparison.</p> <p>Results Dicussion: For this study is not enough. (calaras2013 light Kogâlniceanu 2013 dark) not equal to the comparison. Table 1 storage time finished in 150 days. other tables finished in 180 days</p> <p>Conclusions: recommendations were made about the study.</p> <p>REFERENCESThe number starts with the number 16 to give the numbers. Why not go in order.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eray TULUKCU
Department, University & Country	University of Selcuk, Turkey