



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_46861
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of feeding rice fermented waste on growth and reproductive performances of growing pigs maintained at farmer's door
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: The abstract should be one paragraph without subheadings and should flow in order.</p> <p>Introduction: This section explores other or similar works carried on the subject matter and the gaps identified to carry out this study. This is missing here. Introduction has to be backed with relevant references. This section needs some review.</p> <p>Materials and methods: Can you briefly describe the study area?</p> <p>Results: Graphs can also show clearly differences in growth. Try to consider that as well</p> <p>Reference: There are inconsistencies in the references. Kindly rectify this according to journals procedure.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The objectives of the study should be stated at the end of the introduction.</p> <p>Can you state the proximate composition of the diets?</p> <p>NS or MS? as you denoted as non-significant</p> <p>Results should be compared with similar works from different regions</p>	
Optional/General comments	Check main manuscript for other comments	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Emmanuel T.D. Mensah</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>CSIR-Water Research Institute, Ghana</i>