



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2019_AIR_46946
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of sugar beet genotypes and harvesting schedules on yield and quality parameters
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Check referencing style with the journal guidelines (especially the position of the date). Place date after the name of journal. Use full stop not comma before the paper title.	
Optional/General comments	Improve the discussion a bit. You kept saying that similar finding were obtained by...what did they work on that is similar to your work. It's a good paper though the experiment was done over 10 years ago.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paul Kweku Tandoh
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana