



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_47423
Title of the Manuscript:	Association between Microalbuminuria and Hypertension in Type 2 Diabetic Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author has to give his original text to someone who can translate it in English as this one has a lot of grammar problems especially the introduction and the discussion. Try to put numbers on each line so we can refer easily our ideas.</p> <p>Try to read about hypertension and microalbuminuria as it may have a link.</p> <p>The place where the study was done is not the one they should have chosen as even in hypertension patients alone the microalbuminuria can be found. So he had first to look for diabetes patients (T2DM) and then see if they have hypertension. The centre where the patients are coming from is initially a hypertensive one and not a diabetic one.</p> <p>How did you decide to take 180 patients instead of 372 patients.</p> <p>Didn't you find patients with macro albuminuria?</p> <p>You are talking about poor glycaemic control but no evidence in your study. You should have give us the value of HbA1c.</p> <p>In the conclusion you are talking about neuropathy but no tables had shown it.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Kalima Nzanzu Adelard</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Catholic University Of Graben, Democratic Republic Of Congo</i>