



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_49420
Title of the Manuscript:	MGNREGS-Aquaculture Convergence: An Experience from Tripura, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good analysis, Four mayor constraints have been noted, but what are the opinions of the authors having worked in this domain? Complete the discussion	
Minor REVISION comments	The explanations of logical framework had to meet in the introductory part to sustain the problematic The explanations of the pictures and diagrams have been put before these last, what is not easy to really understand the text, it is worth to put them after the pictures	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mbadu Zebe Victorine
Department, University & Country	Democratic Republic of Congo