



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_49488
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of various strengthening systems of openings in composite steel-concrete beams
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments Line 11 Line 13 Line 15 Line 24	Rephrase sentence Remove 'Total' Rephrase Rephrase There are number of grammatical errors. Not possible to list all here. Please see the manuscript attached : Ms_AIR_49488_1	
Minor REVISION comments	Layout of the paper may be as the standards in vogue Use: 'with ----- without'; in this sequence	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Brig Sanjay Prasad
Department, University & Country	India