SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAAR_47522**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effect of cowpea (*vigna unguiculata*) variety and plant spacing on grain and fodder yield**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Describe how the CV was derived (to what entries does the variation pertain?). In particular, explain for Table 3 how the grain yield has a CV for spacing as very low as 1.3 % while the range for the three spacings is 7.3 %, and for fodder yield the CV is 11 % while the range is 6.3 % .** **Discuss the tradeoffs between yields for grain and fodder. Larger spacing means higher fodder yield and lower grain yield. Is it practical to recommend one set of parameters for grain and another for fodder, or is there a practical compromise where both grain and fodder could be used?**	
Minor REVISION comments	Language and editing need revision. For instance • parentheses are not balanced e g line 23 (Anyango *et al.* (2011) • reference Kombiok 2013 merged into Johnsonlii • reference Masenya 2016 lacks publisher • Thilakarathna *et al*. (2017) is not in the reference list • in line 38 the sentence Higher yields… seems incomplete • in line 208 there is something wrong about the sentence This means… • singular,plural, articles need to be reviewed	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Gunnar Bengtsson***
Department, University & Country	***Sweden***