



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_46222
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical Composition and Antifungal Activity of Ocimum gratissimum (Nchuanwu) leaves Against some Plant Pathogens
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presented work is that of an essential oil and not of an extract. This error should be corrected all over the manuscript. Conclusion from abstract should be strengthened. Authors should underline the importance of the investigation toward others. In other word, what is the new about this study? Or what is its originality? Especially that authors report effects about the antifungal activity of <i>Ocimum gratissimum</i> against some phytopathogens causing crops damages worldwide. Hence, besides the antibacterial effect of the plant , authors should also present the antifungal activity of this plant and shed light about its importance to combat phytopathogens that provoke many crops losses. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All the text should be written in the same size In the whole introduction there are some repeated ideas that should be omitted, moreover there is a lack of references in the first and the second paragraphs. Citation should be written as follow: [1]. I was wondering why the Experimental Procedure of Antifungal Activity was performed by essential oil obtained by hydro-distillation and not by that obtained by GC-MS? The pathogenic fungi should be presented in the "Isolation and Culturing of the Pathogenic Fungi" methodology. On the other hand, all the used fungi should be referenced. A verb is missing in the following sentence (page 7 lines 168- 169): "Synthetic antifungal chemical (Mancozeb) compared favourably with <i>O. gratissimum</i> oil in inhibiting the mycelial growth of all the fungal plant pathogens." Results should be presented in the same order of the methodology. E.g the results of GC-MS should be presented as the first step then the antifungal activity. In the reference list, the names of the journals should be written in abbreviation. 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ilham Zahir
Department, University & Country	University Sultan Moulay Slimane, Morocco