



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_46222
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical Composition and Antifungal Activity of Ocimum gratissimum (Nchuanwu) leaves Against some Plant Pathogens
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Kindly follow the format according to journal requirements. There are numerous grammar, sentence structure and spacing error. Kindly performed proper proofreading. Introduction has been written with old and outdated references. Kindly include last 5 year reference. Kindly include the verification number of plant identified. Kindly provide list of chemicals and their sources under separate heading. Kindly rewrite Experimental Procedure of Gas Chromatography – Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) and cite it. The specifications inside the manuscript have been written not in a correct format. Disc diffusion method has been performed by a number of scientist in recent years. Kindly include latest references.</p> <p>line 100 "Isolation and Culturing of the Pathogenic Fungi" improper words. Rewrite</p> <p>Why you choose Mancozeb? did you calculate the p value? Write complete name of Fungal pathogens. Line 128 Kindly write proper abbreviations. Table1 which values are significant? Number of improper abbreviations. In Fig 1: Structures of some of the compounds obtained from GC-MS Analysis of Ocimum gratissimum This is not the proper method of writing and putting your results. Kindly see this paper Chemical composition and cytotoxic properties of Clinacanthus nutans root extracts</p> <p>Discussion is incomplete and does not contain the similar work that have been performed? No comparison with previous literature. Conclusion has also written poorly</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Muhammad Shahzad Aslam</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Xiamen University, Malaysia</i>