



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_49811
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study of the Phytochemical Activities of Some Nigerian Indigenous Kola Nuts Kola Acuminate (Igbo kola nut), Kola Vera (Hausa kola nut), and Garcinia kola (Bitter kola)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<p>Title: Scientific names of different kola Nuts 1- kola Acuminate = Cola acuminata or Kola acuminata 2- Kola Vera = Sterculia acuminata syn Kola vera Correct the scientific names in the title, choose the one of the two spellings of Kola, Cola in scientific names, observation valuable for all the manuscript.</p> <p>Abstract: for correct writing of scientific names <i>Garcinia Kola = Garcinia kola, Kola acuminate = Kola acuminata, Sterculia acuminata syn Kola vera. These three scientific must be wrote like this in the all manuscript</i></p> <p>Study design: the authors forget to add the duration of study in this section</p> <p>Last line of the abstract: <i>garcinia kola = Garcinia kola in the respect of the international writing of scientific names in Systematic or Taxonomy</i></p> <p>The conclusion must bring out the disease treated by phytochemical compounds found in different</p> <p>Introduction: line 7: only a few research have been reported..... = only a few researches have been reported..... Line 8: Moreover, most of the previous research have been on single kolanuts..... = Moreover, most of the previous researches have been on single kola nuts.....</p> <p>Good methodology 2.3.9 Test for Pseudotannins [6] The matchstick was then left undistorted for 5 minutes and observed for a dark purple colouration on it and result was recorded.</p> <p>Results abundant IN THIS TITLE 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, CANCEL AND DISCUSSION</p> <p>Adequate discussion</p> <p>References: the authors must in the respect of the guideline for author harmonize the references Table1: Kola Garcinia = Garcinia kola The authors make attention to the correct systematic writing of binomial names. No confusion of genus and species name is tolerable.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This original research brings out the therapeutic importance of kola nuts currently used in Nigeria. It also shows the important of phytochemicals responsible of the healing of diseases present in kola nuts. These phytochemicals is a way to differentiate the most important kola which is <i>Kola acuminata</i>. This study shows clearly that medicinal plants are good sources of drugs. The methods used are convincing and they contribute to achieve good results with one very important: kola nuts help in the destruction of bad cholesterols. So they are good sources of antioxidants. This work gives a lot of credibility to the use of kola nuts in African traditional medicine and brings more value to kola nuts. But the references are poor and not well presented.</p> <p>For all these reasons I accept the publication of the manuscript subject to corrections</p>	
---	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Tsabang Nolé</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Visiting lecturer at the University of Dschang, Cameroon</i>