



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_40278 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | INFLUENCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL KNOWLEDGE ON WOMEN SMALL SCALE FARMERS ADOPTION OF CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE. NAKURU COUNTY, KENYA. |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>TITLE: The Title is not focused, the term “Environmental knowledge” is not clear. It need more reform as it is not only environmental factors can influence the adoption rate on the innovation for small scale farmers.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction is too long, it needs to be streamlined and focus only to the problem background faced by women famers in Nakuru County. 2. Also, the lack of specific crop(s) being produced by these women, created more difficulty for the author to come with a clear articulated problem. 3. Citation in the third line need to be in <i>et al.</i> As there more than two authors. 4. There some contradicting statements particularly at the last paragraph of the introduction; “There is a lot of land degradation in Nakuru County due to deforestation, pollution..... that pose as threats to sustainability of the environment in the study area. Women have goodknowledge of the environment” if women has a knowledge, why land degradation being a problem in Nakuru? 5. How the author is going to explain the influence of environment knowledge in the general objective!!! The general objective should be the output based statement and not active based. Reframe the objective. <p>RESULTS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Results are not well presented which make some difficulties in following the trends. 2. Tables 1-3 are not bordered and formatted, need to be recanted. 3. The inference drawn from Table 1, by author is not valid, as the central tendency of measurement only indicates the mean representation and not the relationship or likelihood of adoption. Thus, authors are advised to use the relevant testing tools or model such a categorical model (Logit & Probit). 4. Also, non parametric test tools such as Kruskal-Wallis Test and Friedman Test which used mode or mean and fit in testing more than two groups. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | Need improvements especially in Results and Introduction sections | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Name: | Petro Maziku |
| Department, University & Country | Tanzania |