SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_43633
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY ON KNOWLEDGE LEVEL OF KVK TRAINED VEGETABLE GROWERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The references mentioned at the end of the manuscript were not cited in the text.	
	1. Citations should be numbered according to journal format. They were highlighted in the manuscript. The guideline is here under: References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].	
	 References were not arranged according to journal format. All the references were highlighted. The guideline for arranging references is here under: For Published paper: Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. List the first six authors followed by et al. Note: Use of DOI number for the full-text article is encouraged. (if available). Note: Authors are also encouraged to add other database's unique identifier (like PUBMED ID). 	
Minor REVISION comments	1. In the results and discussion section, the following sentence was repeated with a change in numerical value. Present the results in different manner to make a unique paragraph. Otherwise, it will be considered as self-plagiarised paragraph.	
	The correlation coefficient "r" between age (X1) and knowledge level was found to be $r=0.487$, which was significant at 0.05 level of probability. Thus, it can be concluded that age has shown positive significant relationship with level of knowledge of vegetable production technologies. Hence null hypothesis was rejected.	
	2. Discussion of results was not there in the results and discussion section.	
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Venkata Satish Kuchi
Department, University & Country	Dr. YSR Horticultural University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)