SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_44078
Title of the Manuscript:	A STUDY OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF SWARNA SUB1 RICE VARIETY GROWERS IN CHANDAULI DISTRICT OF UTTAR PRADESH
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 The objectives of the study were not clearly stated in the Introduction. The last sentence of the Introduction already stated results and not objectives. Further, the Introduction should also contain a good rationale for the conduct of the study. In the Results and Discussion, the discussion part was very limited. Do not merely present the results or findings as regards characteristics, composition, status, distributions, patterns, investment and income. Some implications of such results or findings should also be presented and discussed more deeply. The results of the present study may also be compared with the results of previous studies. In the Summary and Conclusion, include the significance or future significance of the findings of the study. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Subtitles in the Introduction may be deleted (see lines 31, 35 and 40) and instead, transition phrases may be used to connect the ideas at the start of a paragraph to those ideas in the preceding paragraph. Provide some keywords below the Abstract.	
Optional/General comments	 Additional references necessary for a stronger rationale in the Introduction and those that may be needed for an in-depth discussion in the Results and Discussion section may be sought for. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer,
		correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the
		manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should
		write his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>here in details)</u>	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Romer C. Castillo
Department, University & Country	Batangas State University, Philippines

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)